

## UNC Variation Request

### 0696 - Addressing inequities between Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NExAs

**Date:** 21 February 2020

The Proposer, Gazprom Marketing & Trading, requests a variation to this modification, pursuant to UNC Modification Rules Section 6.5.1(c) of the UNC.

#### Reason for Variation

To take into account development in the workgroup

#### Nature of Variation

The following text is added to the solution

#### **Business Rule 3**

In the event of a Ratchet occurring that exceed the SOQ as set out in the NEXA then the PMSOQ will not increase in line with normal practice. Instead the PMSOQ will be capped in line with the SOQ set out in the NEXA. In such circumstances the Ratchet charge will be based on the SOQ that caused the Ratchet to occur. If in the relevant billing period (as set out in B4.7.13) a subsequent Ratchet occurs then any Ratchet Charge will not include any charges that have already been incurred under the previous Ratchet.

For the avoidance of doubt we are comfortable, to avoid system changes, that such a correction can happen after a Ratchet charge has been raised

E.g. SP1's SOQ is currently 90

SP1 uses 120 on Day X and Ratchets

This exceeds the SOQ set out in the NEXA for SP1 of 100

The PMSOQ will not exceed 100 as set out in the NEXA

A Ratchet charge will be based on 120

SP1's SOQ is currently 100

SP1 uses 110 on Day Y and Ratchets

This exceeds the SOQ set out in the NEXA for SP1 of 100

The PMSOQ will not exceed 100 as set out in the NEXA

A Ratchet charge will not be applied as the Shipper has already been charged for 120

**Proposer's Representative**

Steve Mulinganie

**Proposer**

Steve Mulinganie