

Standards of Service Query Management

Operational Guidelines

<u>Contents</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Introduction	3
2.	Scope & Definitions	
	- Query Definitions	4 -5
	- Larger and Smaller Supply Point Queries	5
	- Query Categories Excluded	6
3.	Principles of Operation	
	- Query Submission and Receipt	7 - 8
	- Query Investigation and Resolution	8 -10
4.	Projects	11
5.	Performance Calculation and Reporting	12-16
	Appendices	
	Appendix A – Invoicing Query Codes	17 -18
	Appendix B - Operational Query Codes	19
	Appendix C - Invalid Query Category Summary	20

1. INTRODUCTION

The Standards of Service Query Management Operational Guidelines set out the detailed rules of operation for the management of queries. The successful application of its approach is dependant on a series of defined responsibilities, which support an inclusive approach to the management of User raised Invoicing and Operational queries.

These guidelines relate to the governing rules set out in the Network Code. This document sets out the principles of operation of a Standards of Service regime for queries, the scope of queries included in such a regime, together with details of the reporting and calculation of performance. However, this document does not replace or amend any Network Code obligation nor impose any additional obligations. Reference should be made to the Network Code for definitions of the rights and duties of each party in relation to Standards of Service.

It should also be noted that queries relating to Meter Assets will only be included as a Network Code service until the obligations transfer to the Metering Services Contract. It is anticipated that this document will be revised and reissued once Metering Separation has occurred.

The guidelines are to be read in conjunction with Network Code Sections and the definitions used within the document are the same as those stated in Network Code Section S4.8.

2 SCOPE AND DEFINITIONS

The Standards of Service (SOS), defined in the Network Code require certain Planned Performance Levels (PPLs) to be achieved for 4 sub categories. The PPLs and any liability payments arising from the non achievement of these PPLs will be measured separately for these 4 categories. These categories are first split into GT (Transco in its capacity as Gas Transporter) and Meter Asset (Transco in its capacity as a Meter Operator) queries and split further for those relating to Larger and Smaller supply points for these 2 categories.

2.1 Query Definitions (to reflect the position post Metering Separation)

2.1.1 GT Queries

An **Operational Query** is a reasoned and substantiated challenge by a User as to the validity of the following:

- Those Portfolio related data items in the Supply Point Register that have been originated by the GT;

And/or

- Those data items provided or confirmed by the User where they can demonstrate that these have been misrepresented by the GT

And which is intended to require action from the GT to correct invalid and/or missing data and if necessary correct any related information which is derived from that data.

An **Invoice Query** is a reasoned and substantiated challenge by a User regarding the validity of the data that has resulted in the calculation of any such amount that is or was properly payable to include:

- Those relevant related data items that have been originated by the GT.

And/or

- Those data items provided or confirmed by the User where they can demonstrate that these have been misrepresented by the GT

And/or

- Any amounts where the User can demonstrate that such charges have not been properly calculated in accordance with the Transportation Statement.

And which is intended to require action from the GT to correct invalid and or missing data and if necessary correct any related information which is derived from that data.

2.1.2 Meter Asset Queries

A Meter Asset query is “reasoned opposition to the validity of the ***meter asset*** data held or issued by Transco which is related to a specific User ” and which is “... intended to require action from Transco to correct invalid or missing data and if necessary, correct any related information which is derived from that data”.

Similarly, Invoice queries are defined in Section S4.1.1 of the Network Code: “any question or dispute as to the proper calculation of any such amount is or was properly payable”.

NOTE: Meter Asset Queries will be excluded from this regime after Metering Separation.

2.2 Larger & Smaller Supply Point Queries

The SOS will be measured separately for Larger and Smaller supply points. A Larger Supply Point is defined in Network Code as one which the Annual Quantity (“AQ”) is greater than 73,200 kWh . A Smaller Supply Point is defined in Network Code as one which the AQ is not greater than 73,200 kWh.

The categorisation used in this and previous query management regimes, and often referred to by Users has been that of a split by Domestic and Industrial & Commercial (“I&C”) queries. This latter definition is generally accepted to apply to Smaller and Larger supply points respectively.

Larger supply Point Queries

For the purposes of the calculation of query performance a query will be counted within the population of Larger supply point queries if the Domestic/I&C indicator field is populated with an “I” when the query is submitted. It is expected that queries indicated thus, will normally have an AQ greater than 73200 kWh.

Smaller Supply Point Queries

For the purposes of the calculation of query performance a query will be counted within the population of Smaller supply point queries if :-

- for Operational queries, the Domestic/I&C indicator field is populated with a “D” when the query is submitted
- for Invoicing queries, the Domestic/I&C indicator field is **not** populated with an “I”

It is expected that queries indicated thus will have an AQ of not greater than 73,200 kWh.

Transco may choose, from time to time, to sample queries submitted to confirm whether the indications given to the queries, in respect of I&C/Domestic categorisation conforms to the Network Code definitions of Larger and Smaller supply points. If any significant mis-categorisation is found then Transco will address this with the User concerned, with a view to ensuring that future query submissions are categorised correctly.

2.3 Query Categories Excluded

2.3.1 - GRE Invoice Queries are excluded from this regime as these are subject to their own individual standard “**GRE Incentives**”

2.3.2 DUP queries will be excluded from any incentive payments associated with the 4 day standard for GT SOS.

2.4 Query Categories for inclusion

Only those queries which are submitted in accordance with section 3 and correctly having a designated contact code which is included within Appendix A or Appendix B shall be counted within the Standards of Service regime for queries.

The split of query contact codes, designated as **GT** and **Meter Asset** is also identified in Appendices A&B and this shall be used as the basis for calculation of performance for GT and Meter Asset queries.

3 PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION

For the SoS to operate for Query Management, there is a requirement for both Transco and Users to adhere to a set of principles and supporting business rules. This section states the principles and rules which will apply to the SoS regime.

3.1 General – Query Submission & Receipt

Transco can best resolve queries when they are clearly specified and contain the necessary information for allocation to the service provider best suited to resolve them. Those queries which are not clearly specified will require additional work before they can be adequately progressed.

To help Transco provide an effective and efficient service, the following principles have been identified that will apply to the SoS regime.

- a) For the purposes of the SoS, queries will be “counted” at supply point or meter point level for Operational queries, and at charge item level for Invoice queries.
- b) Users should aim not to submit "double" queries and Transco will seek to identify instances of such submissions (a “double” query is one which is duplicated or replicated and the term “double” is used to differentiate these from queries submitted under the DUP contact code)
- c) Users should submit queries under the appropriate query category as defined in Appendix A & B.
- d) Users shall provide the appropriate mandatory information which Transco requires to properly resolve the query. Transco may reject and return to the relevant User any queries which do not satisfy the mandatory information required. In order for the query to be accepted for measurement (within the SoS), Users will be required to provide a minimum set of mandatory data items in use at that time. Where possible, Users should also provide further optional and desirable information to assist the resolution of the query, specifically the Users view of what constitutes resolution. Details of the file format and mandatory data items for each contact code are contained in the ConQuest Query Management File Formats Appendix M1. Information indicating preferred wording of queries and indications as to what additional (non-mandatory) information should be provided to assist in speedy query resolution can be found in the ConQuest Handbook.
- e) Measurement within the SOS will only apply to those queries issued to Transco in the agreed format.
- f) Users shall submit their queries in a balanced and a regular manner on a reasonable endeavours basis, so as not to disrupt the Query Management process and in order to assist Transco to efficiently resolve queries.

- g) Transco will process unavoidable excessive influxes of queries from Users and will respond to them on a reasonable endeavours basis. Users shall endeavour to give prior notification to Transco of any unavoidable excessive influxes of queries, which may be considered for definition as a Project. (See Section 4).
- h) Transco will provide Users with an appropriate and up-to-date contact point for query submissions, including addresses, telephone/fax numbers and e-mail addresses, for each type of query included in the SoS regime.
- i) Users will submit queries using the following media:
 - i). EFT (Electronic File Transfer)
 - ii). Web

Only in exceptional circumstances and by mutual agreement would queries be accepted by :-

- iii). Email (No file attachments)
 - iv). Fax
 - v). Letter
- j) For queries requiring additional information in excess of that set out in 3.1(d), Transco will request the information, and will reflect the appropriate start / stop time accordingly (see 3.2g).
- k) The first Transco day will be the day on which the query was received unless this is a non business day, in which case, it will be the immediately following business day.
- l) Transco will provide adequate information to enable efficient query identification by the User. As a minimum (where originally provided by the User) this shall include:

Transco's Contact Reference Number
 User's Query / Reference Number (supplied by User)
 Meter Point Reference
 Stakeholder Personnel Name
 Confirmation Reference Number (Invoice Queries)

- m) If Transco fail to satisfy the minimum criteria set out in (l) above, the User will be entitled to reject the request, and the start / stop time shall revert to Transco from the date of Transco's failure to satisfy such minimum criteria.

3.2 Query Investigation and Resolution

Successful achievement of the planned performance levels will depend on Transco's ability to investigate and resolve queries. There is a recognition that in many cases there is a need for a joint and collaborative approach to the operational resolution of queries. The following provide further details of the regime which will support the previously stated principles.

- a) Transco will endeavour to provide a reasonable resolution to all accepted queries and a query may be deemed resolved if investigation demonstrates that no corrective action is required.
- b) The resolution of queries will be communicated to the User by Transco. Should Transco consider that consultation is necessary prior to a proposed resolution, this will be communicated to the User ahead of such implementation.
- c) The parties will make reasonable endeavours to resolve a query.
- d) Following resolution, a query will be deemed to be “resolved” for the purposes of calculation of achieved performance levels and will have the ConQuest Case Event Description (CED) of AWAJ or CLRD. Those queries not requiring financial adjustment will also be deemed “closed”. These queries will have a ConQuest Case Event Description of CLRD. Any queries which require financial adjustment, ie. CED is AWAJ, will progress for calculation of Invoice adjustment and will be closed (CED = CLRD) once the adjustment has been processed.
- e) A query will clear this stage (AWAJ or CLRD as stated in 3.2(d)) on the day that Transco communicates, via the media defined in 3.1(i), to the User that the resolution has been completed. For the avoidance of doubt this will be deemed to be the date on the communication.
- f) It is recognised that Users may raise queries in good faith that, after investigation, do not necessitate an amendment to Transco’s data. This information will be recorded. Queries falling into this category will be classified as invalid queries. Certain types of these invalid queries will be counted within a “Shadow Log” regime. Further details of this are given in Section 5.
- g) Queries that pass from direct Transco control will have the relevant time period attributed to the User or User agent (start/stop clock time). For the purposes of measuring start/stop clock time, such queries will be deemed to have their query outstanding time attributed specifically to the relevant User(s).
- h) Re-submission of Previously Submitted Queries (PSQ) will be identified separately, indicating reason for re-submission of original query.
- i) In some circumstances, there may be a need for the relevant User(s) to complete corrective actions or provide information in a reasonable time period, before the query can be resolved. In such cases the relevant User(s) will take this action. The following details instances when Transco will measure this corrective action.
 - i) Measurement will be activated when Transco is unable to action/investigate the query due to action or information being required from a User or User agent(s) outside of Transco’s control. For the purposes of measurement against the SoS, the day on which measurement ceases will be attributed to Transco.

- ii) Users shall endeavour to respond to Transco within the following time period. Queries which satisfy i(i) for an uninterrupted period of 20 Business days will be closed. In this scenario Transco will communicate its intention to close the query after 10 business days and in the absense of a response the query will be closed on the 20th business day.

Note: Should a response be required from a User other than the User raising the query, the query will not be closed but the query will be deemed to remain outside of direct Transco control (clock stopped) until a response is returned

- iii) Measurement against Transco will recommence on the day after it receives information or action from the User.

Note: The 'rules' regarding Transco and User receipt days are therefore equivalent in terms of time allocation per query.

4 PROJECTS

4.1 Overview

Projects agreed between Users and Transco will be for a specific query exercise, generally covering a single query category, and will typically relate to high volume events. Queries which are dealt with within a project framework will not be included within the SOS regime.

5 PERFORMANCE CALCULATION AND REPORTING

It is recognised that it is of most benefit to both Transco and to Users to establish the performance standards achieved on a regular basis and promptly. Previous SOS regimes have concluded that this is best achieved by reporting performance against a set timeframe and also to adopt an output based calculation and reporting mechanism.

To this end the performance levels will be calculated against queries **resolved** (see 3.2(d)) within a **calendar month**.

5.1 Operation of the Shadow Log

The principle of the Shadow Log as agreed during the development discussions will apply to the regime for query management. The Shadow Log will reflect the impact of User's performance on the achievement of the standard.

It is presumed that Users submit queries in good faith but there are occasions when the query is deemed to be invalid. For Query Management it has been accepted that queries which are deemed to be invalid are to be used as the measure within the Shadow Log.

It should be noted that Transco's current system for managing Operational and Invoicing queries, ConQuest, already has a set of business rules and functionality associated with the flagging of a query as valid or invalid. However, for the purposes of the Shadow Log, the categorisation detailed in **Appendix C** will be used to determine if a query will be classed as within the valid or invalid population.

For the calculation of the shadow log impact on query liabilities, a 2-step approach has been taken. Firstly the impact on query performance for the 4, 10 and 20 day performance calculations and secondly the impact on payments for those queries which are over 40 days old at closure.

General principles 4, 10 and 20 day performance calculations:

1. All relevant Invalid queries (as per Appendix C) are deducted from the total population on a 1 for 1 basis. The number of such queries will be classed as "**shadow log relevant invalid Queries**" for the calculation of any liability payments due.
2. Invalid queries are still retained within the "resolved" population in recognition that Transco will have expended time and effort in order to resolve these queries.
3. Should a User have a population of "**shadow log relevant invalid queries**" then this will be used in the calculation of that Users Final Achieved Performance as specified in section 5.3.

The second part of the calculation relates to those queries that are over 40 **Transco days** old at resolution.

** a “**Transco Day**” is a Business day where the query is within the direct control of Transco for its resolution.*

General principles :-

1. The number of queries resolved in over 40 **Transco days** is the **Initial Population**
2. Relevant Invalid queries (as per Appendix C) are deducted from the Initial Population on a 1 for 1 basis to determine the **out of standard revised population**
3. The deduction on a 1 for 1 basis will take place against the total population of out of standard queries within each banding of 20 **Transco Days**. The table below is used to illustrate this principle.
4. Transco will pay to each User a payment for each query within the **out of standard revised population** for each complete block of 20 **Transco Days** that the query was unresolved over 40 days.

Period	No. of Out of Standard queries	No. of Invalids	Out of standard revised population
41-60 days	23	2	21
61-80 days	7	1	6
81-100 days	1	1	0
101-120 days	5	1	4

5.2 Operation of Volume Scaling for User Queries

It is accepted that variable volumes of query submissions would have an impact on the ability of Transco to meet its performance standards for resolving queries. Although, at an aggregate level, individual peaks would be smoothed out by troughs from other Users it is felt that there could still be times when sudden increases in the daily volumes of queries submitted (whether this be collectively by small increases by a number of Users or by very large submissions by one or two Users) could occur and this would result in an increased probability of not meeting planned performance levels

Consequently, it is proposed that a volume limit is applied for the Query Management regime which will protect Transco from large swings in query volumes, and avoid any perverse incentive to submit volumes en-masse in order to hinder Transco's ability to meet the obligations introduced by such a regime. This proposal also seeks to encourage moderated behavior from all Users so that any inappropriate action of one or a few Users, by

the submission of excessive query volumes, could impact on the performance afforded to all other Users.

Determination of User Limits

For each User an average daily limit is determined, based on the **daily average** of **valid*** queries received each month. The **total number of valid queries** will be determined from the total number of queries submitted minus the number of **invalid*** queries cleared in that month.

** The agreed list of valid/invalid query categories agreed for use in the Shadow Log will be used on the basis for determining the number of valid queries submitted by each User in a Calendar month.*

The **daily average** of any month will be calculated by dividing the **total number of valid queries** submitted each month by a User, by the number of business days within the month.

The **current month daily limit** will be taken to be the **highest daily average** within the preceding 3 months +20%. The limit will change month on month against this 3 month rolling highest figure.

The table below is used to illustrate this principle.

Month	Business Days in month	Actual Total Queries	Actual Total Valid Queries	Valid Daily Average	Current Month Daily Limit (rounded up)
1	21	451	406	19.3	-
2	21	500	450	21.4	-
3	20	601	541	27.1	-
4	22	482	434	19.7	33
5	21	467	421	20.1	33
6	20	593	534	26.7	33
7	21	397	358	17.1	32

Very Low Volume Waiver

It is recognised that there are some Users who only submit very small volumes of queries every month. For these Users, an absolute increase of say, 5 queries, could in fact represent a 100% increase in their average submitted volumes. Given the limited impact of these small number of queries, it is proposed that the lowest value used for a **User's Current Month Daily Limit** is * [X] queries.

** This figure is to be considered within the views of Users during the consultation process for Modification Proposal 0565.*

Calculation of Adjusted Performance

On each day in the current month that the User exceeds their **current month daily limit** (the limit) the amount by which the limit has been exceeded (**the daily excess**) is counted. A sum of the daily excesses for the month is then calculated.

User performance for the month (which will be used in determining if any incentive payments are due) shall be calculated as follows :-

5.3 Calculating Final Achieved Performance

Transco will calculate the performance level achieved for each User each calendar month and subsequently calculate any liability payment due to each User against the 4 following categories

- GT Queries – Larger Supply Points
- GT Queries – Smaller Supply Points
- Meter Asset Queries – Larger Supply Points
- Meter Asset Queries – Smaller Supply Points

For each of the 4 categories above calculations will be made to determine the percentage of queries for each User which have been :-

- resolved within 4 **Transco Days** within the calendar month
- resolved within 10 **Transco Days** within the calendar month
- resolved within 20 **Transco Days** within the calendar month

Transco will also determine for each User the number of queries

- resolved in over 40 **Transco Days** within the calendar month as specified in section 5.1

For the 4, 10 and 20 day standard the calculation of performance (expressed as a percentage) will be made as follows:-

Final Achieved Performance (percentage) = No. of Queries resolved within **D** days x 100

$$A - B - C$$

NB: In exceptional circumstances ,it is possible for this formula to produce:

a Final Achieved Performance of greater than 100% (where the number of queries resolved is greater than the number received minus invalid and daily excess queries)

a negative Final Achieved Performance (where the number of invalid queries submitted plus the sum of daily excess queries is greater than the total number of queries received).

In both cases Transco will be deemed to have met the required PPL.

Where :-

A is the Total number of queries resolved in the month

B is the number of Shadow Log Relevant Invalid Queries (see 5.1)

C is the sum of the daily excesses (see 5.2)

D is 4, 10 or 20 days respectively.

5.4 Calculation of any liability payments due to each User

Where the Final Achieved Performance is less than the required Planned Performance Level then liability payments will be calculated. Any determination of liability payments due to each User shall be calculated in accordance with Network Code Section S4.8.

Appendix A

ConQuest Invoice Contact Codes

The following table provides a comprehensive list of Invoice contact categories and their associated sub-categories.

Contact Code	Description	Invoice Type(s)	GT Queries	Meter Asset Queries
COR	Corrector Queries	AD*, AMI, CAZ, COM, REC		✓
DLQ	NDM Datalogger queries of both reads and Assets	AD*, AMI, CAZ, COM, REC	In future will no longer receive	In future will no longer receive
EXT	Challenge to the Exit Zone details held on SPA for a site	AD*, CAP, CAZ, COM	✓	
ISO	Challenge to isolation status of a Supply Point	AD*, AMI, CAP CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
MTR	Challenge to Meter attributes	AD*, AMI, CAZ, COM, REC		✓
PPM	Pre-Payment Meter Query	AD*, AMI, CAZ		✓
PRS	Any query disputing the relationship between Prime & Sub deduct meters	AD*, AMI, CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
CFQ	Challenge to the Correction Factor	AD*, COM, REC		✓
DMQ	DM Datalogger queries of both reads and Assets	AD*, AMI, CAZ, COM, REC	✓ Read	✓ Asset
AQQ	Challenge to validation of a AQ	AD*, CAP, CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
DUP	Multiple MPRNs created for one meter	AD*, AMI, CAP CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
EUC	Queries challenging End User Category	AD*, COM, REC, CAP, CAZ	✓	
SOQ	Challenge to the Supply Off-take Quantity	AD*, CAP, CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
ADJ	Query challenging an adjustment	AD*, AMI, NTE, REC	✓	
AMC	Challenge to the Meter Work Charges	AMI	Long term will move to Non GT ✓ (rates only)	✓

Contact Code	Description	Invoice Type(s)	GT Queries	Meter Asset Queries
CSE	Incorrect charges applied to a CSEP	AD*	✓	
IRC	Challenge to the Meter Read Charges	AD*, CAZ	✓ Long term will move to Non GT	
ITR	Challenge to the charges levied on the Ad-Hoc (interest charges) invoice	AD*	✓	
LIA	Challenge to the charges levied on the Ad-Hoc (liability charges) invoice	AD*	✓	
MFF	Meter Read Frequency used to bill against is incorrect	CAZ, AD*	✓	
MRQ	Any contact challenging the reconciliation charges based on the validity of an NDM meter read	REC, AD*		✓
NTE	Challenge to the charges levied on the NTE invoice	NTE	✓	
OVR	Any contact challenging the validity of an overrun charge	AD*, NTE	✓	
RAC	Any contact challenging the validity of a ratchet charge	COM, AD*	✓	
RAT	Query challenging the rate used	AD*, AMI, CAP, CAZ, COM, NTE, REC	✓	
RBD	Reconciliation by Difference	REC, AD*	✓	
SQQ	Billed Supply Off-take Quantity is incorrect	AD*, CAP, CAZ, COM, REC	✓	
UNQ	Query challenging Unique Site configuration	AD*, AMI	✓	✓ Where Spa Data provided by User
UQS	Incorrect charges applied to a Unique Site	AD*, AMI	✓	

Appendix B**ConQuest Operational Contact Codes**

Contact Code	Description		GT Queries	Meter Asset Queries
ADD	Any contact challenging the address/postcode details of a site held on Sites & Meters.	Address Amendments	✓	
PAM	Any contact challenging the postcode details of a site held on Sites & Meters.	Post Code Amendments	✓	
AGG	Any contact challenging a response to a request to aggregate a group of meters under one supply point.	Aggregation Responses	✓	
DAG	Any contact challenging a response to a de-aggregation, which requested a group of meters to be separated from one supply point.	De-aggregation Responses	✓	
CDQ	Any contact challenging the consumption of a site where the reads are confirmed to be correct.	Consumption Dispute	✓	
COR	Any contact challenging information received from an NDM Corrector.	Corrector – NDM		✓
DLQ	Any contact challenging an NDM Datalogger read or asset data held on Sites & Meters.	Datalogger - NDM	No longer receive	No longer receive
DUP	Any contact querying multiple MPRN's for one meter point or where an MPRN has been set up on Sites & Meters for an IPGT site.	Duplicates	✓	
FMQ	Any contact reporting a possible faulty I&C meter.	Faulty Meter		✓ Possible P & S Relationship
ISO	Any contact challenging the isolation status of a supply point.	Isolation Status	✓	
APP	Challenge to Bottom Stop SOQ	Appeal Queries	✓	
MNC	A request to create a Meter Point Reference Number for a meter that is live, but not on Sites & Meters.	M Number Creation	✓	
MAQ	An MAQ contact is raised following an unbundled read rejection, which results in an inaccuracy being identified in the meter asset details held on Sites & Meters. This contact must contain full meter asset details thus allowing Sites & Meters to be updated by data acquisition.	Meter Asset Query		✓
MTR	Any contact challenging the attributes of a meter or its details held on Sites & meters.	Meter Details		✓
MIS	Any contact regarding a meter point held on Sites & Meters that has never had any meter asset information attached.	Missing Meter Details		✓
PRS	Any contact challenging the Primary, Sub or Free standing relationship between meters held on Sites & Meters.	Primes & Subs	✓	
NOM	Any contact challenging the reason for a specific Nomination response.	Nomination Responses	✓	
CNQ	Any contact challenging the reason for a specific response to a Confirmation file.	Confirmation Responses	✓	
CFQ	Metering Correction Factor			✓
DMQ	Metering Daily Metered		✓	

Appendix C

Invalid Query Category Summary – Shadow Log Inclusion & Exclusion

Category	Explanation	ConQuest category	Include in Shadow Log
1	Inter User Dispute	Invalid	No
2	Invalid Challenge, however, S&M updated following investigation e.g MNC query submitted – however, no need to create a new MPR – as it already exists for the site, however, Address Amendment undertaken as a result of query submission. (Plot to postals).	Valid	No
3	Auto Closure by ConQuest. Action by User not completed within agreed time.	Invalid	Yes
4	No amendment required to S&M following challenge e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Query is really an RFI - Data already amended prior to submission of query - CSEP site - Contact previously resolved - “Double” already open 	Invalid	Yes
5	Valid when raised – invalid when cleared eg: Meter Exchange believed to have occurred, however, no asset update has been sent to the User. When the User submits the query the exchange has still not been updated on the system – but by the time the query is investigated – the amendment has gone through automatically.	Invalid	No
6	Closed original query and raised a new external contact to enable the deletion of a 3rd party MPRN	Invalid	No
7	Advised to resubmit under a different contact code or as a different Transco Activity	Invalid	No
8	Poorly worded queries eg. Query is incomprehensible and no determination of the challenge can be determined or is inappropriate, for example “please investigate gas leak”	Invalid	Yes