

**Project Nexus Workgroup  
Outstanding Areas Log**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date Raised</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Heading</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Outstanding Area</b>	<b>Actions/Resolution</b>	<b>Date Closed</b>
1	23/03/2011	Closed	Negative energy	Settlement	It is possible, for example due to a faulty meter on a DM site, for a negative balance to occur in an LDZ. Spurious values are generally detected & amended before D+5 however upto D+5, & if un-resolved, after close out, question raised as to whether the negative balance should be smeared across all sites within the LDZ. Although the energy would be adjusted this causes issues for the industry.	Presentation provided on effects of not smearing negative energy.	Discussed at 19/04/11 Workgroup, agreed negative is a possibility
2	23/03/2011	Closed	Must Reads	Settlement	Current Must Read obligations & triggers may not be appropriate for sites with a Smart meter. The Must Read requirement provides assurance & protects industry from LSP that have not been read for a number of months. Currently the trigger is 4 months for monthly read meters and 24 months for annual read meters.	Must Read triggers agreed for all 4 processes. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 24/05/11,
3	23/03/2011	Closed	Incentives on submission of valid reads	Settlement	Should there be incentives on Shippers to submit valid reads based on the number of sites in their portfolio. Current obligations for cyclic readings: Monthly Read Meters (M3.4) A valid meter reading is obtained not less than once every 4 months and, in each calendar month, not less than 90% of the Monthly Read Meters Annual Read Meters (M3.5) A valid meter reading is obtained not less than once every 24 months and, in each period of 12 months, not less than 70% for SSP & 90% for LSP	Performance targets agreed for all 4 processes. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 24/05/11,
4	23/03/2011	Closed	Read submission deadline	Settlement	Should a there be a time limit for submission of reads after the read date. Current obligations: (M3.3.4) Valid meter readings provided for NDM Supply Meter Points; Not less than 50% by the 10th business day after the meter read date. Not less than 100% by the 25th business day after the meter read date.	Read submission deadlines agreed for all 4 processes. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 24/05/11,

**Project Nexus Workgroup  
Outstanding Areas Log**

ID	Date Raised	Status	Heading	Topic	Outstanding Area	Actions/Resolution	Date Closed
5	23/03/2011	Closed	Change of regime/read frequency	Settlement	Current UNC rules provides 8 business days notice to transfer regimes and 10 business days to change read frequencies. Are there any impacts if minimum (1 day) notice was given or, Shippers were not required to elect a regime or read frequency & the relevant process was triggered depending on when & how the read(s) were received.	2 business days notice agreed for both regime & read frequency changes. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 20/06/11.
6	29/03/2011	Live	Change of Shipper	Settlement	Transfer read process, read window & submission timescales	Update 01/06/11: process agreed in principle. To be reviewed in August in for any further developments from SMIP.	For further review August 2011
7	29/03/2011	Live	Gas Nominations	Settlement	Determination of nominations which are aligned to the daily allocation process	Once allocations have been fully defined, determine how day-ahead gas nominations are calculated	
8	29/03/2011	Closed	Read Validations	Settlement	Determine standard minimum read validation rules	Read validation principles agreed. Further work required on tolerances. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 24/05/11,
9	Taken from AMR issue log	Live	Record AMR equipment	SP Register	Should the type of AMR equipment be recorded by Xoserve so the Check Read obligation can be monitored & recognise when a re-synch is expected	To be discussed under Supply Point Register topic	
10	Taken from AMR issue log	Closed	Check Read	Settlement	When should the Check Read apply? With the number of different sites having AMR equipment fitted should the Check Read obligation vary depending on site/AQ etc.	Check Read frequencies agreed for all 4 processes. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 24/05/11,
11	Taken from AMR issue log	Live	Re-Synchs	Rec.	Should there be a different process for Adhoc Re-synchs?	To be discussed during reconciliation. Need to consider if a different rec process is required for ad-hoc re-synchs & the treatment of the energy.	
12	Taken from AMR issue log	Closed	Re-synch at Shipper Transfer	Settlement / Re-synch	At transfer of ownership should a re-synch be carried out to ensure the energy is apportioned correctly to the Outgoing Shipper? Currently reconciliation apportions energy to the new Shipper who bears any risk over the period where a transfer has taken place.	Current principle to apply; Incoming Shipper bears the risk. BRD updated	Requirement captured at meeting on 21/06/11

**Project Nexus Workgroup  
Outstanding Areas Log**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date Raised</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Heading</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Outstanding Area</b>	<b>Actions/Resolution</b>	<b>Date Closed</b>
13	24/05/2011	Closed	Read Accepted	Settlement	Should the response from the GT include notification of the reads that have been accepted aswell as read rejections?	Agreed that response files to contain file level summary & rejection details only. BRD updated	requirement captured at meeting on 20/06/11
14	24/05/2011	Closed	Notification of last actual read etc.	Settlement / SP Register	Should information regarding last read submitted, date of last check read etc be notified to the Incoming Shipper?	Post meeting update: The date the meter was last read (LAST-READ-DATE) & date of the last meter inspection (LAST_INSPECTION_DATE) is submitted at D-5 of the Confirmation effective date on the MRI file, U06 record. Check read details should also be submitted.	Requirement captured at meeting on 20/06/11.
15	01/06/2011	Live	Rec. rollover tolerances	Rec.	Should there be a reconciliation rollover tolerance and, if so, what should the tolerance be and if they should be 1 tolerance for all sites or different depending on AQ/Process?		
16	20/06/2011	Live	Bulk updates/transfers	Settlement	Can a Shipper submit bulk updates for changes to read frequencies for example. This could be by read frequency, market sector, whole portfolio.		
17	20/06/2011	Live	Transition to new AQ regime	AQ	For the AQ to reflect consumption more accurately it could take 12 months of regular reads or 12 months following the smart meter being installed.		
18	20/06/2011	Closed	Quarterly AQ review	AQ	In a regime of meter point reconciliation is a monthly AQ re-calculation still required?	Agreed by the workgroup that a monthly AQ review regime is adopted for all sites.	Requirement captured at 05/07/11 meeting
19	20/06/2011	Closed	Nomination of SOQ & SHQ	AQ	For the 4 different processes who should nominate the SOQ & SHQ - Shippers or GT?	Agreed by the workgroup that Shipper nominates SOQ & SHQ for Process 1 sites only	Requirement captured at 05/07/11 meeting
20	20/06/2011	Closed	Historic AQ used to validate the read	AQ	An historic AQ is used to validate the read, this may cause read failures for instances when site consumption suddenly changes or meter isolated. Need a new processes wherby an AQ can be revised in a timely fashion.	Requirement agreed at workgroup. Appeal process discussed but requires further work around the business rules. Requirement captured in BRD.	Requirement captured at 05/07/11 meeting

**Project Nexus Workgroup  
Outstanding Areas Log**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date Raised</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Heading</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Outstanding Area</b>	<b>Actions/Resolution</b>	<b>Date Closed</b>
21	21/06/2011	Live	Reconciliation for NDM CSEPs	Rec.	Where all sites are subject to individual meter point reconciliation need to consider impacts on CSEPs & where energy is smeared.		
22	05/07/2011	Live	Static SOQ	AQ	Transporters require a static SOQ to reflect User commitment.		