

UNC Workgroup 0430 Minutes
Inclusion of data items relevant to smart metering into existing
industry systems
Thursday 03 January 2013
via teleconference

Attendees

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HC)	Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Adam Pearce	(AP)	ES Pipelines
Anne Jackson	(AJ)	SSE
Bridget Morgan	(BM)	Ofgem
Chris Spence	(CS)	EDF Energy
Colette Baldwin	(CB)	E.ON UK
David Speake	(DS)	British Gas
Joanna Ferguson	(JF)	Northern Gas Networks
Lorna Lewin	(LL)	DONG
Mark Pitchford	(MP)	RWE npower
Steve Nunnington	(SN)	Xoserve

Copies of all papers are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0430/030113

Workgroup Report is due to the UNC Modification Panel on 21 March 2013

1. Review of Minutes and Actions from previous meeting

1.1. Minutes

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted.

1.2. Actions

No outstanding actions to review

2. Workgroup Discussion

JF was keen to progress with the Workgroup Report and consider any issues participants may have with the modification.

CS wished to raise the following items for discussion:

- Introduction of single service provision in time for DCC testing (iGT039)
- Need for DCC data providers to comply with ISO 27001 as per DECC STEG / CESG security requirements v0.5
- Review of data quality of DCC data providers and potential need for a data cleanse prior to DCC testing
- Introduction of SM payment method in Industry flows to negate the need for suppliers to notify GT's on change of mode
- Review of validation rules for MTD
- Review of GT rules on installing supplier when Xoserve receive updates
- Progression of o/s areas of SWIG analysis by joint iGT/GT/Shipper meeting e.g. resolution of mismatches between DCC and MAM
- Inclusion of electronic method for notification and resolution of GT issues during smart rollout

- Provision of a more accurate conversion factor to reflect non-provision of altitude / temperature correction in Gas Smart Metering system

JF explained that a modification had been raised for the single service provision and that some of the items raised by EDF Energy would need to be considered by the appropriate workgroups for DECC SMART Metering Programme, Workgroup 0440: Project Nexus – iGT Single Service Provision and iGT040.

CS was concerned with elements of smart metering and single service provisions, which he wished to be raised within Modification 0430. The Workgroup participants understood and agreed that there was a number of unresolved issues identified by EDF Energy but believed these issues needed to go back to the appropriate forum. It was agreed that the issues raised by EDF Energy are valid and need to be addressed but need to be considered by a much wider industry forum.

JF highlighted the recent amendments to the modification and confirmed that two ACS' will be raised to support the consultation process. JF explained that one ACS will be based on a supply meter point count for all Shippers and another ACS will be based on mandated meter points.

SN provided a presentation, which had previously been provided to the UK Link Sub-committee. SN explained the required UK Link changes. Xoserve recognised Shippers would like a long term solution, however they had looked at the facilitation element of the modification, noting other solutions could be offered. The solution presented a cost efficient and quick solution that could be amended in future if required. SN explained that Xoserve was not proposing a manual exception or update process.

CS was not in support of the solution offered by Xoserve and preferred to have an update process. CS expressed concern with the derivation and the ability to retrospectively correct data with audit trails. SN explained that such a solution at this stage would be very costly and for such a process this would cost more and would take longer to implement.

SN understood that the UK Link sub-committee are considering the data flows and timescales to assess the ability for Shippers to receive the data flows. The Workgroup considered the delivery of the changes. SN explained that Xoserve could deliver a solution early/mid March, however SPAA changes wouldn't be made until April. It was understood that the flows could be changed very quickly but there may be implications on internal Shipper systems and change of supplier flows. MP was keen to co-ordinate the changes with the SPAA changes. It was recognised that some of the changes were under SPAA governance. The Workgroup considered whether the SPAA changes could be made under the SPAA governance process for existing items without waiting for the modification, which would introduce new data items. It was agreed to co-ordinate the changes and work towards the 01 April 2013 as the ideal implementation date.

SN explained the DCA implementation costs for the modification will be provided but the long term DCA would take longer to produce.

3. Workgroup Report

CS would have preferred to delay the completion of the Workgroup Report to allow consideration of iGT040, however he accepted that Modification 0430 was limited in its scope. CS believed that bringing forward the single service provision would assist Modification 0430. SN explained that single service provision is not scheduled until 2015.

The Workgroup considered the Workgroup Report and the necessary amendments were noted.

JF explained that the legal text would be provided for the Workgroup to consider offline. As the Workgroup felt the legal text would be very finite they agreed to finalise the report and provide the legal text alongside the report. BF explained the expectation for

Workgroups to consider the legal text before reporting to Panel and the likelihood of the Panel referring the Workgroup Report back to the Workgroup. However, the Workgroup preferred not to delay the Workgroup Report and consultation and to communicate any objections or concerns they may have to the Joint Office no later than 5pm on 04 January 2013. If there were any issues were raised with the legal text it was agreed the Workgroup Report would not be submitted to the January UNC Panel meeting.

4. Any Other Business

None identified.

5. Diary Planning

No further meetings were planned.

Action Table

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update