

Stage 01: Modification

0451A

Individual Settlements For Pre-Payment & Smart Meters

At what stage is this document in the process?

01

Modification

02

Workgroup Report

03

Draft Modification Report

04

Final Modification Report

Increase the accuracy of reconciliation for SSP Pre-Payment customers



The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:

- be treated as an alternative to 0451



High Impact:
Small Suppliers



Medium Impact:
Large Suppliers, Xoserve



Low Impact:

0451A









Modification

02 August~~04 July~~ 2013

Version 24.0

Page 1 of 9

© 2013 all rights reserved

Contents		
1	Summary	3
2	Why Change?	4
3	Solution	5
4	Relevant Objectives	8
5	Implementation	9
6	Legal Text	9
7	Recommendation	9
About this document:		
This is an alternative to Modification 0451 which excludes any retrospective elements.		
		
		Any questions?
		Contact: Code Administrator
		 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
		 0121 288 2107
		Proposer: Colette Baldwin
		 colette.baldwin@eoneenergy.com
		 telephone 02476 181382
		Licence Holder: Alex Ross-Shaw
		 aross@northerngas.co.uk
		 0113 397 5326
		Systems Provider: Xoserve
		 commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com

1 Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

As an alternative to Modification 0451, self-governance is not appropriate.

Why Change?

Under the current regime all SSPs are subject to RbD, and their allocation is determined by the EUC1 banding. Evidence submitted by Winchester Gas suggests that the profile of a pre-payment customer is flatter than that of a standard domestic credit meter customer which effectively results in an over allocation of gas during the winter period and under allocation of gas during the summer period for this sector of the market. The impact of the disparity in allocation costs compared to the billed consumption has a detrimental financial impact on shippers, especially on smaller niche shippers.

Solution

It is proposed that Xoserve develop, before the start of the 2013/14 gas year, an estimated profile for pre-payment meters using the pre-payment usage data submitted by Winchester Gas along with any other supporting data available from other shippers. Each month Xoserve would then calculate energy ~~allocations~~
allocation based on this new profile, applying weather correction values. Prepayment sites would then be reconciled after month end based on the average SAP price for that month. All supply points with either a pre-payment meter or Smart meter operating in pre-payment mode, would be eligible to be billed to this new profile. ~~It This~~ is intended that this solution will only apply until the UK Link Replacement is delivered.

Relevant Objectives

Implementation of this proposal would facilitate improved allocation of energy which would be more reflective of the gas consumed by pre-payment customers. It would reduce the financial risk that shippers, particularly ~~smaller niche shippers~~ are exposed to in a more general allocation profile for all SSPs.

Implementation

The Workgroup is requested to address the request in Ofgem's decision letter granting urgent status for Modification 0451, that the assessment process "should include the potential benefits or constraints of the timetable initially set out in the proposal, and include an alternative fixed implementation date and a backstop lead time, in accordance with UNC Modification Rules 6.2.1 (e)."

2 Why Change?

As stated above it is believed that pre-payment customers have a flatter profile than that under which they are currently allocated via the RbD regime (EUC1B), this can cause them to be over allocated in the winter and then under allocated in the summer. The financial implications of this can be considerable for smaller shippers particularly those who concentrate on the pre-payment market. Due to the flatter nature of the pre-payment profile a shipper may find that over the course of the year the under and over allocations cancel themselves out, however due to the variance of system buy and sell prices over the winter and summer months, a shipper can find themselves with a considerable deficit. Having a profile that dis-advantages any supplier that wishes to concentrate on this area of the market affects competition.

0451A

Modification

02 August~~04 July~~ 2013

Version 2~~1~~.0

Page 4 of 9

© 2013 all rights reserved

3 Solution

It is proposed ~~that~~ any pre-payment meter or Smart meter ~~operating~~ in pre-paypayment mode, be reconciled on a profile ~~for~~ each LDZ, or group of LDZs dependent on the Transporters' Agent, on behalf of the Transporters, (referred to as Xoserve in the remainder of these business rules) ~~judgement~~ Xoserve's judgement of the most appropriate approach to aggregating the available data, ~~derived~~ derived for pre-payment meters rather than the current ~~EUC1~~ EUC-4 profile ~~which is based on the usage from a credit meter~~. Xoserve shall produce the pre-payment profile ahead of the gas year 2013/14 using the best data available to them at the time the profile is produced.

Detailed Business Rules:

1. Scope

1.1 - Any supply point that has a pre-payment or smart meter ~~operating~~ in pre-paypayment mode, which is held on the Supply Point Register (SPR) ~~is~~ to be reconciled to the alternative pre-payment profile.

1.2 – For the multi-metered supply points the aggregated AQ will need to be below and remain below the LSP threshold and all meters must be either be pre-payment or smart meters ~~operating~~ in pre-paypayment mode for the supply point to use the alternative profile.

1.3 - It is intended that this modification will be superseded by the UK Link Replacement and the UNC modifications related to this.

1.4 – Xoserve will take a snap shot of all meter points that are shown on the SPR as having a pre-payment or smart meter on the 15th of the month (or nearest practical businessworking day) to identify the potentially eligible meter points.

1.5 – To identify the smart meters ~~operating~~ in pre-paypayment mode, each shipper ~~may~~ will provide monthly, no earlier than the 16th of the month and no later than the 28th of the month, a list of meter points where they reasonably believe a smart meter ~~was~~ is installed ~~and that is~~ operating in pre-paypayment mode on 15th of each month. This list will contain the MPRN. ~~Any not in MPR and MSN and the Xoserve snapshot taken under 1.4 will not~~ meter-listed must be eligible. If a list has been provided by any Shipper in one month and no list is received in held on the following month, Xoserve shall use the list provided by the Shipper in the previous month. For the avoidance of doubt, any MPRN included in a list provided by Shippers is expected to remain there for a whole year – reports will be submitted by Xoserve to the Authority to provide reassurance that Shippers are not electing meter points only at times when a credit is expected SPR.

1.6 – Any smart meter not in pre-paypayment mode, or in pre-paypayment mode that is not provided in the report in 1.5, will remain subject to the EUC1 profile.

1.7 No subsequent amendments to the snapshot taken by Xoserve nor the information provided by Shippers will be accepted nor actioned – the initial eligible supply point identification will be sacrosanct and this is a one-off adjustment, never to be revisited.

2. Conditions for eligibility when the snap shot (1.4) is taken.

2.1 - Must be a SSP, with either a pre-payment or smart meter in pre-paypayment mode installed that is held on the Supply Point Register. The status of the smart meter will be determined by the monthly shipper report in 1.5.

2.2 - For the avoidance of doubt, it is proposed that this modification is applicable only to supply meter points on Large Transporter networks, i.e. excluding iGTs.

2.3 - The following events will automatically cause the meter point to revert to being subject to the EUC1 Profile:

- i. The meter is exchanged and a dumb credit meter is installed.
- ii. The smart meter is changed to credit mode.
- iii. As part of an AQ review or appeal the supply point of which the meter point is part becomes an LSP.

3. Energy and Transportation Reconciliation

3.1 – The supply ~~meter~~ point remains in the SSP regime for all purposes except it will be subject to the new pre-payment profile rather than the EUC1 profile.

3.2 - Energy allocation on the day is unchanged – the supply ~~meter~~ point retains the same EUC and ALP. ~~WAR bands do not apply.~~

0451A

Modification

02 August~~04 July~~ 2013

Version 24.0

Page 5 of 9

© 2013 all rights reserved

- 3.3 - The supply points will be billed after month end on an unchanged basis.
- 3.4 - Each reconciliation billing period~~month~~, for eligible supply points identified by Xoserve in accordance with paragraph 1, a subsequent credit or debit will be calculated as the difference between the energy and transportation commodity charges allocated (as in 3.2) and the energy and transportation commodity charges that Xoserve calculate would have been allocated based on the derived pre-payment profile, with appropriate adjustments made for weather. To derive values, energy will be multiplied by average SAP for the reconciliation billing period. Transportation charges will be based on the applicable charging statement for the reconciliation billing period.

4. Reconciliation by Difference

- 4.1 – The volumes and values under 3.4 are assigned to the annual reconciliation pot (E7.2.1 (b)) and therefore fall within RbD. The supply points within the ambit of RbD remain unchanged – i.e. sites eligible under paragraph 1 remain in RbD.

5. Threshold Crossers~~AQ Review~~

- 5.1 – If due to any reason an eligible~~AQ appeal~~ a meter point crosses the threshold from an SSP and moves to becomes an LSP and the re-confirmation is after the 15th of the month it will continue to benefit from~~be reconciled based on~~ the alternative pre-payment profile until the end of the month inend, after which the snapshot was taken, point it will be reconciled as an LSP.

6. Change of Shipper

- 6.1 – If a change of shipper occurs after the 15th of the month the losing shipper will continue to receive the invoice calculated in accordance with 3.4 until the month end based on the alternative pre-payment profile, after which the gaining shipper will then be reconciled going subject to the 3.4 mechanism going forward.

7. Charging

- 7.1– The User Pays approach applies for development and ongoing costs, with Shipper invoices based on market share of all SSPs on [15] September 2013 for development costs. A transactional basis is proposed and on [15th] of each month for ongoing costs, with charges based on each Shipper's share of the number of meter points that are adjusted each month..-

~~8. Retrospection (not applicable to 0451A)~~

- ~~8.1 – During October 2013 Xoserve will run the snap-shot report in 1.4 historically for the period 1st October 2012 to 30th September 2013 to identify those sites eligible for the alternative pre-payment profile as per the conditions in paragraph 2. The shippers will also run the report in 1.5 for the same period so that the smart meters in pre-payment mode can be identified. A shipper will need to of provided this report by the 31st October 2013 for the supply points to be re-billed. Xoserve will calculate the difference between the energy and transportation commodity charges allocated and the energy and transportation commodity charges that would have been allocated based on the derived pre-payment profile, with appropriate adjustments made for weather for the period 1st October 2012 to 30th September 2013. A subsequent credit or debit will then be issued to each shipper.~~

User Pays

Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.

Classification as user pays due to the change that would be required within Xoserve's systems

0451A

Modification

02 August~~04 July~~ 2013

Version 24.0

Page 6 of 9

© 2013 all rights reserved

Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.

100% cost to users

Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.

All shippers based on their SSP market share on ~~{15}~~ September 2013 for development costs A transactional basis is proposed and on ~~{15th}~~ of each month for ongoing costs, with charges based on each Shipper's share of the number of meter points that are adjusted each month...:-

Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.

Xoserve development costs are expected to be in the range of £300k to £500k. Ongoing costs are expected to be under £20k per month.~~TBC~~

0451A

Modification

02 August~~04 July~~ 2013

Version 2~~1~~.0

Page 7 of 9

© 2013 all rights reserved

4 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

Accurate cost allocations are a fundamental underpinning of a competitive market. Implementation would result in transportation and energy costs being more equitably allocated by better recognising the true usage pattern of PPMs over the year. More cost reflective reconciliation on this sector of the market may be especially important for any smaller supplier that would want to focus on this area.

5 Implementation

The Workgroup is requested to address the request in Ofgem’s decision letter granting urgent status for Modification 0451, that the assessment process “should include the potential benefits or constraints of the timetable initially set out in the proposal, and include an alternative fixed implementation date and a backstop lead time, in accordance with UNC Modification Rules 6.2.1 (e).”

6 Legal Text

Legal text for this modification is to be provided by the Transporters.

7 Recommendation

The proposer recommends that this modification is progressed as an alternative to Modification 0451.