

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0466 0466A - Daily Meter Reading Simplification (with improved within day data provision)

Consultation close out date: 06 November 2014
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: RWEnpower
Representative: Edward Hunter
Date of Representation: 06 November 2014

Do you support or oppose implementation?

0466 - Oppose

0466A - Oppose

If either 0466 or 0466A were to be implemented, which would be your preference?

Prefer 0466A

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

We believe either of these modifications has the potential to impact the quality and timely delivery of Daily Meter reads. 0466A goes some way to mitigating this however we still believe it represents a risk to data quality.

Modification Panel Members have indicated that it would be particularly helpful if the following question(s) could be addressed in responses:

How many sites are likely to take up the service?

We do not have this information available

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

No

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree that the proposal may be considered to fulfil relevant objective (f.)

Impacts and Costs:

0466/0466A
Representation
00 November 2014

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2014 all rights reserved

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

We will require system changes to support this modification.

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Given the level of current industry change we would require a lead-time of 6 months to implement any changes required.

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text and the proposed ACS (see www.gasgovernance.co.uk/proposedACS) will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No