

# UNC Project Nexus Workgroup Minutes

## Tuesday 08 March 2016

### 31 Homer Road, Solihull B91 3LT

#### Attendees

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HCu)	Joint Office
Chris Warner	(CWA)	National Grid Distribution
Colette Baldwin	(CB)	E.ON UK
David Addison	(DA)	Xoserve
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Ed Hunter	(EH)	RWE npower
Emma Lyndon	(EL)	Xoserve
Huw Comerford	(HCo)	Utilita
Lorna Lewin	(LL)	DONG Energy
Jaimie Simpson*	(JS)	Engie
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Mark Oldbury*	(MO)	Extra Energy Supply Ltd
Michele Downes	(MD)	Xoserve
Mike Fensome	(MF)	RWE npower
Naomi Nathanael	(NN)	Plus Shipping Services
Phil Lucas	(PL)	National Grid NTS
Rachel Duke	(RD)	EDF Energy
Steve Mulinganie*	(SM)	Gazprom
Sue Cropper	(SC)	British Gas

\*via teleconference

Copies of all papers are available at: <http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/nexus/080316>

## 1. Introduction and Status Review

### 1.1. Approval of Minutes (09 February 2016)

The minutes of the previous meetings were approved.

### 1.2. Actions

**0103:** RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to provide volume information on the number of SSP retrospective updates (i.e. day+1) considering the UPD files and consumption adjustments made through CMS

**Update:** DA confirmed some analysis had been undertaken on UK Link for 2015. The analysis indicated that Xoserve had rejected 71,330 transactions due to the effective date submission being prior to an update already on the system. However DA caveated this volume, explaining that the real magnitude has an unknown element in that Xoserve do not know how Shippers manage these submission and whether Shipper systems have automated submission process or if doctored dates had been used. DA explained that from limited discussion with Shippers it would suggest some Shippers submit flows and manage exceptions, however this might not be consistent. The Workgroup believed the action could be closed based on the confidence this provides on Xoserves' ability to process the number of potential submissions. **Closed.**

**0201:** RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to consider the subsequent submission of meter reads following an erroneous installation read.

**Update:** MD explained that from Project Nexus go-live when a meter installation has been submitted and the read is recorded incorrectly, there will be no way of changing the

actual read submissions until RAASP is delivered. Xoserve have looked at how this could be managed going forward and if reads are accepted a consumption adjustment between the installation read and first read will need to be undertaken. If however, the reads are not accepted a dummy meter exchange could be submitted. RD asked for this to be baselined to explain the scenarios. **Closed.**

**0202:** RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to consider AQ adjustment validation when a consumption adjustment has been processed for an erroneous installation read.

**Update:** MD explained that when a consumption adjustment is undertaken for an erroneous installation read the AQ will be validated. Where the consumption adjustment is prior to the “line in the sand” Xoserve will still validate the AQ. MD confirmed that this has been added into the documentation. **Closed**

**0203:** Xoserve to confirm what their existing performance is, the volumetrics and how long it takes to undertake validations currently compared to future arrangements.

**Update:** EL explained there are 4-5 RFA scenarios, some are quick wins whereas some are more complex, resulting in a range of between 5-20 minutes to process validations depending on the level of information provided. EL confirmed that Xoserve are currently updating the RFA documentation to explain the scenarios for Market Trails and when RFA will be introduced in June. **Closed**

**0204:** Consumption Adjustments for Transfer Reads – Xoserve to consider the required changes for delaying read estimations.

**Update:** MD confirmed the Requirements Log had been updated and this would be added to the Requirements Gap Log. **Closed**

**0205:** Prime and Sub-deducts - Transporters to consider how they will notify Shippers and Xoserve of the reconfiguration of sites (ie. MPRN, asset details and reads).

**Update:** Transferred to Distribution Workgroup for discussion on 24 March 2016. **Closed**

### 1.3. Pre-Modification discussions

CWa advised of the need for two transitional modifications. One which will be raised for the March Panel and a further modification to replace Modification 0529 which is likely to be raised for April Panel. CWa explained there is a need to make sure the transitional arrangements work for implementation dates and how the interactions will work.

CWa advised that National Grid Distribution were engaging with the lawyers on a sweep up modification to ensure Code as implemented is whole and this will be brought to the Project Nexus Workgroup to run through in due course.

He also advised that the CSEP transition will need to be considered, to make sure this is closed down and moved to the Modification 0440 arrangements and that the Code accession process for iGTs will need to commence shortly. CWa added that at some point in time the company created by the sale of National Grid Networks will also have to go through an accession process.

DA provided an outline of the proposed modification which is intended to be raised at the March Panel and a summary of discussions held at the Data Cleansing Workgroups. He advised there is a population of sites on UK Link systems where a live meter is attached but a valid meter read has not been provided for a considerable amount of time. Where there are sites which have not provided a read for a period of 7 years an estimate will be used as part of the migration of sites, and an estimate will be used at the “line in the sand” which will allow Shippers to replace the read should they be able to obtain one. SP explained having an actual read available for the “line in the sand” date would be very slim. CB also emphasised that if Shippers had reads for a site these would have been submitted.

RD asked if iGT elected sites would be included in scope of this modification. BF also asked what the intended implementation date would be and how the modification would be timetabled to achieve the desired implementation date. DA explained that the new UK Link system will not go back beyond 7 years.

CB asked about how these sites would be targeted. DA explained that at migration all sites without a read for 6 ½ years will get an estimated read. SM asked how Xoserve were going to provide an estimate without a history of reads/consumption. DA confirmed some of these meters have got meter readings but these are very old and some haven't got any readings. Where there are no meter reads available the only thing to do is to provide an estimated of all zeros to open up a cyclic read window. DA suggested one solution could be to allow Shippers to submit readings with less stringent validation but these sites would need to be ring fenced to avoid other sites having reads submitted with less stringent validation applied. DA explained that ideally Xoserve want to get Shipper reads in. CB enquired about what the number of sites that needed to be targeted. EM confirmed that the Data Cleansing Pack details the figures/volumes. DA explained the enduring and transitional arrangements will need to be considered

## 2. Workgroups

### 2.1. 0531 – Provision and Development of Industry Testing Prior to Nexus Go-live

*(Report to Panel 19 May 2016)*

[www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0531](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0531)

## 3. Prime & Subs Re-engineering Communication

The Workgroup briefly discussed and agreed that this item should be referred to the Distribution Workgroup meeting on 24 March 2016.

## 4. Requirements Log

MD explained no further additions have been added to the Requirements Log.

CB enquired about Requirement 58. MD explained this process relates to the transfer read after a retrospective date. CB asked about the BRD this related to. She explained that where estimated reads are replaced is this based on an agreement between all the affected parties and she remained concerned about Xoserve replacing reads which could affect a parties close out position. MD explained the options and that a change request had been raised to delay Xoserve intervention and allow parties a period to provide a read. This was going to be added to the Requirements Gap Log to make sure considerations were picked up.

MF also asked about the priorities for the Requirements Log and if any priorities need to be considered. MD and EM agreed to consider this further.

**Action 0301: Xoserve to review the Requirement Log and Requirements Gap Log and consider if any implementation priorities will be required.**

The Workgroup considered the interim arrangements for RAASP deferral and balancing the requirements. It had been agreed that if there were no comments these would be baselined and the documents published under the Project Nexus Transition Baseline and Xoserve Transition webpages.

MF enquired about the BRD updates. MD confirmed a number of references had been updated.

## 5. Issues

No new issues raised.

## 6. Any Other Business

None raised.

## 7. Diary Planning

*Further details of planned meetings are available at: [www.gasgovernance.co.uk/Diary](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/Diary)*

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time/Date	Venue	Workgroup Programme
10:30 Tuesday 12 April 2016	31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	Nexus Workgroup including: Workgroup 0531
10:30 Tuesday 10 May 2016	31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	Nexus Workgroup
10:30 Tuesday 14 June 2016	31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	Nexus Workgroup
10:30 Tuesday 12 July 2016	31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	Nexus Workgroup

Action Table (as at 08 March 2016)					
Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0103	12/01/16	2.0	<b>Amended Action:</b> Xoserve to provide volume information on the number of SSP retrospective updates (i.e. day+1) considering the UPD files and consumption adjustments made through CMS.	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Closed</b>
0201	09/02/16	3.0	RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to consider the subsequent submission of meter reads following an erroneous installation read.	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Closed</b>
0202	09/02/16	3.0	RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to consider AQ adjustment validation when a consumption adjustment has been processed for an erroneous installation read	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Closed</b>
203	09/02/16	3.0	RAASP delivery deferral - Xoserve to confirm what their existing performance is, the volumetrics and how long it takes to undertake validations currently compared to future arrangements.	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Closed</b>
0204	09/02/16	5.0	Consumption Adjustments for Transfer Reads – Xoserve to consider the required changes for delaying read estimations.	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Closed</b>
0205	09/02/16	6.0	Prime and Sub-deducts - Transporters to consider how they will notify Shippers and Xoserve of the reconfiguration of sites (ie. MPRN, asset details and reads).	All DN's	<b>Transferred to Distribution Closed</b>

---

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0301	08/03/16	4.0	Xoserve to review the Requirement Log and Requirments Gap Log and consider if any implementation priorities will be required.	Xoserve (MD)	<b>Pending</b>