

## Project Nexus Workstream Minutes

Monday 29 March 2010

### Teleconference

#### Attendees

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Mike Berrisford (Secretary)	(MiB)	Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Bill Goode	(BG)	National Grid Transmission
Chris Warner	(CW)	National Grid Distribution
David Harries	(DH)	Total Gas & Power
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	xoserve
Gareth Evans	(GE)	Waters Wye Associates
Graham Wood	(GW)	British Gas
Jemma Woolston	(JW)	Shell Gas Direct
Joanna Ferguson	(JF)	Northern Gas Networks
John Stewart	(JS)	RWE npower
Karen Kennedy	(KK)	ScottishPower
Martin Brandt	(MB)	Scottish & Southern Energy
Richard Street	(RS)	Corona Energy
Sallyann Blackett	(SB)	E.ON UK
Sean McGoldrick	(SMG)	National Grid NTS
Shirley Wheeler	(SW)	xoserve
Stefan Leedham	(SL)	EDF Energy
Steve Mulliganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Steve Nunnington	(SN)	xoserve

#### 1. Introduction

BF welcomed all to the meeting.

##### 1.1 Review of Minutes

The minutes of the 16 February 2010 meeting were accepted.

##### 1.2 Review of actions

**Action NEX0027:** xoserve (SW) to ensure that following the production of the Post Central Communications Provider prospectus, issues identified for consideration under the SPA heading (customer access to data, meter read submission time, Supplier access to behavioral information) are included in the SPA ToR.

**Update:** SW explained it would not be possible to complete this action until the Central Communications Provider (CCP) prospectus is known. **Pending.**

**Action NEX0029:** xoserve (SW) to ensure that the Milestone Plan includes the Market Differentiation Workgroup.

**Update:** SW confirmed that an updated plan had been published on the Joint Office web site. **Complete.**

#### 2. Update on the Revised Workgroup Approach and Plan

*Members agreed to undertake the following items out of sequence.*

##### 2.1 Topic Workgroup Timeline Tracking Plan (as at 23/03/2010)

SW provided a brief verbal update of the Topic Workgroup Timeline Tracking document advising members that this now includes Market Differentiation. She reminded members that Part 1 was completed in 2009 with Part 2 due

to get underway in due course. When SM enquired if consideration of Part 2 could be brought forward in light of industry discussions on the related Market Sector Code issues, SW suggested discussing the detail under item 5 below.

BF asked, and members approved the plan as presented.

### **3. Topic Workgroup(s) Terms of Reference**

BF informed members that there were no items to consider.

### **4. Workgroup Reporting**

#### **4.1 Final Allocation Workgroup Report**

When asked if members would be happy to approve the Final Allocation Workgroup Report, RS advised that he had not yet had chance to read the document.

BF provided a brief overview of the main items of interest at which point JW raised her concern with the High Level Principles, item 3, specifically whether or not agreement of the proposed utilisation of a correction factor is appropriate at this time in light of work being undertaken by UNC Modification 0229 "Mechanism for correct apportionment of unidentified gas". SB pointed out that these are only presented as assumptions. FC pointed out that for any system changes to be formally proposed, a new UNC modification would be required.

When asked, members agreed to approve the report on the basis that the High Level Principles are presented as assumptions.

#### **4.2 Start/End Date Progress Tracking**

BF explained that there were no issues to report.

#### **4.3 Consider Topic Monthly Workgroup Reports**

##### **4.3.1 AQ Workgroup**

BF provided an overview of the report informing members that at this early stage there are no issues identified and that whilst three (3) meetings are planned for this workgroup, a fourth (4<sup>th</sup>) could be required. SN did not share this view suggesting that three should be sufficient.

##### **4.3.2 SP Reconciliation Workgroup**

BF provided a verbal update informing members that only one meeting had been undertaken so far, focusing on testing the current business processes. The workgroup will be discussing these in more detail at the next meeting. Again three (3) meetings are planned for this workgroup. FC suggested that assuming reasonable progress can be made at the next meeting, three meetings should be sufficient.

### **5. Next Steps: diary/planning**

Members debated the merit of including Market Sector Code considerations within the Project Nexus arena, sooner rather than later. SW pointed out that timing and issues relating to workgroup inter-dependencies mean that it may be more beneficial to discuss MSC within the Distribution workstream. Additionally, SW pointed out that from a Nexus perspective, previous decisions have been made on the assumption that MSC or similar would be implemented. Views remained polarised as to whether or not Nexus is the correct vehicle in which to discuss the matters.

Discussions culminated in agreement to place MSC impacts on the April Workstream agenda, for consideration of when and where best to discuss the matter.

**Action NEX0030: Joint Office (MiB) to ensure that Market Sector Code Impacts is included as an agenda item for the April 2010 Workstream teleconference meeting.**

The following meetings are scheduled to take place during March/April/May 2010:

<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Location</b>
AMR 1 Workgroup	31/03/2010	ENA, 52 Horseferry Road, London.
Workstream	13/04/2010	Teleconference
AQ 3 Workgroup	16/04/2010	NG, 31 Homer Road, Solihull.
AMR 2 Workgroup	20/04/2010	ENA, 52 Horseferry Road, London.
SP Rec 3 Workgroup	27/04/2010	NG, 31 Homer Road, Solihull.
Workstream	11/05/2010	ENA, 52 Horseferry Road, London.
AMR 3 Workgroup	12/05/2010	ENA, 52 Horseferry Road, London.

## **6. AOB**

SW advised members that a copy of the Project Nexus Project Brief (Baselined) v3 0 would be provided for publication alongside these minutes on the Joint Office of Gas Transporters web site.

## Appendix 1

## Action Table

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
NEX 0027	18.01.10	4.1	Ensure that following the production of the Post Central Communications Provider prospectus, issues identified for consideration under the SPA heading (customer access to data, meter read submission time, Supplier access to behavioral information) are included in the SPA ToR.	xoserve (SW)	Pending
NEX 0029	16.02.10	4.2	xoserve to ensure that the Milestone Plan includes the Market Differentiation Workgroup.	xoserve (SW)	<b>Completed.</b>
NEX 0030	29.03.10	5.	Ensure that Market Sector Code Impacts is included as an agenda item for the April 2010 Workstream teleconference meeting.	Joint Office (MiB)	To be completed.