| Final | Modification Report | At what stage is this document in the process? |
|--------------|---|--|
| | XXX: d Title | 01 Modification 02 Workgroup Report 03 Draft Modification Report 04 Final Modification |
| - | e of Modification: Text Here (<i>Proposer to provide a short description</i>) | |
| | Panel consideration is due on xx month 2016 (JOINT OFFICE USE - delete as appropriate following Panel's delete as appropriate following Panel's delete The Panel recommends implementation (or) The Panel determined that this fast track self-governance modified implemented. (or for alternates) The Panel recommended implementation of Modification 0xxx Modification 0xxxA | |
| \mathbf{c} | (JOINT OFFICE USE - delete as appropriate following Panel's de The Panel does not recommend implementation (or) The Panel determined that this fast-track self-governance modified implemented. (or for alternates) The Panel did not recommended implementation of Modification 0xxx Modification 0xxxA | |
| 0 | High Impact: Here (Proposer to identify impacted parties) | |
| | Medium Impact: Here (<i>Proposer to identify impacted parties</i>) | |
| 0 | Low Impact: Here <i>(Proposer to identify impacted parties)</i> | |

Please remove all green italicised text as you complete the document

| Contents | | | Any questions |
|---|--|----------------|---|
| 1 Summary | | 3 | Contact: |
| 2 Governance | | 3 | Code Administrator |
| 3 Why Change? | | 4 | V email address |
| 4 Code Specific Matters | | 4 | () telephone |
| 5 Solution | | 5 | Proposer: |
| 6 Impacts & Other Considerations | | 5 | Insert name |
| 7 Relevant Objectives | | 6 | email address |
| 8 Implementation | | 8 | |
| 9 Legal Text | | 8 | telephone telephone |
| 10 Consultation | | 9 | Other: |
| 11 Panel Discussions | | 9 | Insert name |
| | | email address. | |
| 12 Recommendations | | | |
| 12 Recommendations | | | telephone |
| 12 Recommendations Timeline | | | |
| | | | e lephone |
| | | | Other: |
| | | | Uther: Insert name Other address. |
| Timeline | dd month year | | Image: state stat |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) | dd month year dd month year | | Image: telephoneOther:Insert nameImage: telephoneImage: telephoneOther: |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) Initial consideration by Workgroup | | | Image: state stat |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) Initial consideration by Workgroup Amended Modification considered by Workgroup | dd month year | | telephone Other: Insert name email address. telephone Other: Insert name |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) Initial consideration by Workgroup Amended Modification considered by Workgroup Workgroup Report presented to Panel | dd month year dd month year | | Image: telephoneOther:Insert nameImage: telephoneImage: telephoneOther: |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) Initial consideration by Workgroup Amended Modification considered by Workgroup Workgroup Report presented to Panel Draft Modification Report issued for consultation | dd month year dd month year dd month year | | telephone Other: Insert name email address. telephone Other: Insert name |
| Timeline Modification timetable: (delete as appropriate) Initial consideration by Workgroup Amended Modification considered by Workgroup Workgroup Report presented to Panel Draft Modification Report issued for consultation Consultation Close-out for representations | dd month year dd month year dd month year dd month year | | telephone Other: Insert name email address. telephone Other: Insert name email address. |

Please remove all green italicised text as you complete the document

1 Summary

What (what change are you proposing, in plain English)

Insert text here

Why (why are you proposing it, what is the defect, in plain English)

Insert text here

How (how do you propose to resolve the situation, in plain English)

Insert text here

2 Governance

Justification for Urgency, Authority Direction or Fast Track Self-Governance

Making reference to the criteria for Self Governance and/or Fast Track, the proposer must describe the governance route they are requesting, explaining in practical terms the level of materiality that justifies the chosen route.

Self-Governance Criteria (please delete criteria):

The modification:

- (i) is unlikely to have a material effect on:
 - (aa) existing or future gas consumers; and
 - (bb) competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes; and
 - (cc) the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s); and
 - (dd) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; and
 - (ee) the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures; and
- (ii) is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

Fast Track Self-Governance Criteria (please delete criteria):

The modification:

- a. would meet the self-governance criteria; and
- b. is properly a housekeeping modification required as a result of some error or factual change, including but not limited to:
 - *i.* updating names or addresses listed in the uniform network code;
 - ii. correcting minor typographical errors;
 - iii. correcting formatting and consistency errors, such as paragraph numbering; or
 - iv. updating out of date references to other documents or paragraphs.

Insert text here

Requested Next Steps

This modification should: (delete as appropriate)

- not be subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup
- proceed to Consultation
- be treated as urgent and should proceed as such under a timetable to be directed by the Authority

Rationale for requested next steps inserted here

For instance, if you wish your proposal to be issued directly to consultation without workgroup assessment, you must explain why such an assessment is not required and include details of any premodification engagement.

3 Why Change?

This section sets out the defect in Code, which may be an error, an omission or something the Proposer wishes to change. The context for the proposal must be clearly set out and should explain:

- 1. What the driver is and which parties are impacted;
- 2. Why this is a Code matter (in the case of new additions); and
- 3. What the effects are should the change not be made.

Insert text here

Insert subheading here (if required)

Insert text here

4 Code Specific Matters

Please include any Code Related Documents or Guidance notes that are relevant. Weblinks are very helpful. Also any specific analytical or assessment-related skills you believe would aid the assessment.

Reference Documents

Insert text here

Knowledge/Skills

Insert text here

Please remove all green italicised text as you complete the document

5 Solution

The solution must clearly set out the contractual (UNC) changes required, not the detail of the process/system change required.

Any additional explanation that Proposers believe is helpful, but that is not intended to be written into Code, must be clearly marked as such ("for information only" or "for the avoidance of doubt" or similar works well in such situations) to aid with the development of legal text.

Insert subheading here (if required)

Insert text here

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

All parts of this section must be completed; showing "None" where the Proposer believes this is so.

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

In the event there is an impact on an SCR, Proposers must confirm that they have Ofgem approval to proceed.

Insert text here

Consumer Impacts

Proposers must provide their view of the impacts on all consumer groups that may be affected; this will be supported by further input from Workgroup participants later in the process. If 'none', please also explain.

Insert text here

Cross Code Impacts

Please identify any other impacted energy code – a full list is available in the CACoP (Ofgem) - and the extent of those impacts e.g. a similar modification has been raised in another Code.

Insert text here

EU Code Impacts

Insert text here

Central Systems Impacts

Proposers must provide their view of the impacts on central systems (inc. Gemini and UK Link) that may be affected; this will be supported by further input from the Central Data Services Provider (Xoserve) later in the process. If 'none', please also explain.

Insert text here

Workgroup Impact Assessment (Joint Office to complete)

Insert text here

| User Pays | (Proposer to | complete th | his table) |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|

| User Pays | | |
|---|--|--|
| Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification. | Insert text here, such as: No User Pays service would be created or | |
| | amended by implementation of this modification and it is not, therefore, classified as a User Pays Modification. | |
| Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view. | Insert text here | |
| Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers. | Insert text here | |
| Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve. | Insert text here | |

7 Relevant Objectives

| Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives: | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Relevant Objective | Identified impact | |
| a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system. | Positive/Negative/None | |
| b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters. | Positive/Negative/None | |
| c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations. | Positive/Negative/None | |

| d) | Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers. | Positive/Negative/None |
|----|---|------------------------|
| e) | Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers. | Positive/Negative/None |
| f) | Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code. | Positive/Negative/None |
| g) | Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. | Positive/Negative/None |

OR, for Section Y (Charging Methodology) Modifications

| Impact of the modification on the Relevant Charging Methodology Objectives: | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Relevant Objective Identified impact | | |
| a) Save in so far as paragraphs (aa) or (d) apply, that compliance with the charging methodology results in charges which reflect the costs incurred by the licensee in its transportation business; | Positive/Negative/None | |
| aa) That, in so far as prices in respect of transportation arrangements are established by auction, either: (i) no reserve price is applied, or (ii) that reserve price is set at a level - (I) best calculated to promote efficiency and avoid undue preference in the supply of transportation services; and (II) best calculated to promote competition between gas suppliers and between gas shippers; | Positive/Negative/None | |
| b) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the charging methodology properly takes account of developments in the transportation business; | Positive/Negative/None | |
| c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers; and | Positive/Negative/None | |
| d) That the charging methodology reflects any alternative arrangements put in place in accordance with a determination made by the Secretary of State under paragraph 2A(a) of Standard Special Condition A27 (Disposal of Assets). | Positive/Negative/None | |
| e) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. | Positive/Negative/None | |

In the case of a modification to a NTS Charging Methodology, please state why the modification does not conflict with:

(i) paragraphs 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Standard Condition 4B of the Transporter's Licence; or

(*ii*) paragraphs 2, 2A and 3 of Standard Special Condition A4 of the Transporter's Licence;

In the case of a modification to the NTS Connection Charging Methodology, please contact the Joint Office for the appropriate relevant objectives.

Demonstration of how the Relevant Objectives are furthered inserted here

8 Implementation

As far as they are known, the anticipated implementation costs for all industry parties (e.g. Transporters, Shippers, adjacent TSOs, Storage/Terminal Operators, central systems, customers) should be provided.

Provide any views you have on implementation timescales, including the costs and benefits of a range of implementation options where appropriate.

If a suggested implementation date is not provided and the decision is to accept the modification, then the Transporters will set the implementation date.

If a timescale for implementation is proposed, the format explained below **must** be used, and brief reasons provided for each suggested date.

- At least two fixed implementation dates must be specified, and for each of these the latest date by which an implementation decision is required if the date is to apply: e.g. 01 June 2014 if a decision to implement is issued by 15 May 2014; 01 September 2014 if a decision to implement is received by 06 August 2014.
- In addition, a backstop lead time must be specified to allow for any later decision date: e.g. if a decision to implement is received after 06 August 2014, implementation 21 business days following the decision to implement.

Suggested wording for Self-Governance Modifications:

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

Suggested wording for Fast Track Self-Governance Modifications:

As fast track self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a unanimous vote in favour of implementation by the Modification Panel, subject to no Objection being raised.

9 Legal Text

Proposers are welcome to provide Suggested Legal Text alongside their modification, but are under no obligation to do so unless Fast Track procedures are requested (see above).

Legal text will be drawn up by the relevant Transporter at a time when the modification is sufficiently developed in line with the Legal Text Guidance Document.

Legal Text has been provided by [name] and is [included below/published alongside this report]. The Workgroup has considered the Legal Text and is satisfied that it meets the intent of the Solution.

Text Commentary

Insert text here

Text

Insert text here

10 Consultation

The Joint Office will provide a summary of any Representations received.

Panel invited representations from interested parties on [dd mmm yyyy]. The summaries in the following table are provided for reference on a reasonable endeavours basis only. We recommend that all representations are read in full when considering this Report. Representations are published alongside this Final Modification Report.

Representations were received from the following parties:

| Organisation | Response | Relevant Objectives | Key Points |
|--------------|----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | Support | a - positive b – neutral etc | • |
| | Oppose | | • |

11 Panel Discussions

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of the Panel discussions that inform any decisions taken. This will include a record of Panel's views on the representations, the outcome of any votes and, where alternates exist, Panel's preference.

Discussion

Insert text here

Consideration of the Relevant Objectives

Insert text here

Determinations

Insert text here

12 Recommendations

Panel Determination [Fast Track/Self-Governance]

Members agreed:

• that Modification 0xxx should [not] be implemented

Panel Recommendation [to Authority]

Members recommended:

- that Modification 0xxx should [not] be implemented
- that Modification 0xxx better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than Modification 0xxxA