Performance Assurance Committee (PAC): Issue Register (as at 03 May 2017)

Issue Ref.	Issue	Background	Issue Originator	Date Created	Status	Priority for Discussion (H/M/L)	Status Date	Status Summary/Action taken	Next Review
PAC002	Scope: Part 1 General; paragraph 5 - Agreeing the PAFA Scope, cost estimates	Agreeing such matters ahead of a forthcoming gas year will become more relevant for year 2 onwards, since year 1 is more about engaging a PAFA, gathering data and initial risk identification.	PAC	29/06/2016	Live	Low	29/06/2016	No action until mid-2017	01/05/17
PAC007	contacts to be established; need to understand the purposes (such as	After considering the Electricity Incentive scheme it could be necessary to be able to quickly reach a variety of contacts throughout the industry to understand/address areas of poor performance	PAC	14/03/2017	Live	Medium	14/03/2017	New issue - suggested completion August 17	01/06/17
	river might be benefits in providing some 'preventative services' (such as systems/process verification, formal training	After considering the Electricity Incentive scheme it could be necessary to be able to quickly reach a variety of contacts throughout the industry to understand/address areas of poor performance	PAC	14/03/2017	Live	Low		New issue - suggested completion December 17	01/10/17

Performance Assurance Committee (PAC): Closed Issues

PAC001 Signing of Let Confidentiality Engagement PAC003 Engagement requirement to expertise to shature PAC004 Annual Indust Statement PAC005 User Represent PAC Member	ce Assurance Framework Document 5 - Provision and Letters of Agreement and/or littly for Members and Alternates Int of Specialist Experts - Future It to procure ad hoc independent support areas of a specialist	Initial letters are more about compliance with Code and not about maintaining confidentiality of data. It is recognised that expert input and/or analysis will be required and PAC needs to decide if it wants a direct engagement relationship or via PAFA. Initial thoughts are that PAC needs to be the effective 'client'. An annual statement from PAC to the	PAC Ofgem (JD)	29/06/2016	Closed	Low	10/10/2016	DNOs were requested to develop a Confidentiality Agreement for incorporation into Document 5 and review the existing content of Document 5 and the definition (page 5, Section 1). In particular to consider whether an indemnity of some form is required for PAC Members and/or PAFA Drafting included in v1.5, to be agreed in Jan 17 To be considered - possible creation of a	Completed at Jan 17 meeting
PAC003 requirement to supertise	It to procure ad hoc independent support areas of a specialist	analysis will be required and PAC needs to decide if it wants a direct engagement relationship or via PAFA. Initial thoughts are that PAC needs to be the effective 'client'.	Ofgem (JD)	29/06/2016	Closed				
PAC005 User Represe		An annual statement from PAC to the				Medium	10/10/2016	standing Register of Independent Experts (similar to OC ITE?). Confidentiality? Nomination? Areas of expertise? Skillsets? Funding? Wording agreed at Oct 16 PAC	Completed at Oct meeting
PAC Member PAC006 Ofgem should	ustry Workplan and Budget	industry about the work areas and likely budget would be a positive move - would allow some consultation/feedback and understanding of the costs to be incurred (and budgeted for).	Chairperson (LJ)	29/06/2016	Closed	Low	10/10/2016	Noted that a similar arrangement existed in the equivalernt electricity industry arrangements. To be included in the next iteration of the Framework Document - action PAC1003.	Completed at Jan 17 meeting
PAC006 Ofgem should	esentative appointment rules	The Framework document needs to be amended to accommodate differing situations of nominees; directly employed or otherwise. This is not needed until the 2017/18 gas year User Representation process - however UNCC (Aug 16) have requested progression.	Chairperson (LJ)	27/07/2016	Closed	High	31/08/2016	To be included in the next iteration of the Framework Document - action PAC0804d. Drafting included in the v1.2 ToR and the appropriate Assurance letter in the Framework Document v1.6	Completed at Jan 17 meeting
voting)	pership - to consider whether uld be included explicitly (non-	Ofgem have obligations under the CMA report to ensure that a PAF is implemented by June 17 - also means that Ofgem would have sight of attributable information.	Chairperson (LJ)	31/08/2016	Closed	Low	10/10/2016	Is a confidentiality agreement required? Action PAC0809 Ofgem should be able to attend meetings but not qualify as a Member. To be included as a line in the PAF document as "Ofgem Representative" - link to Action 0804d	Completed at Nov meeting
PAC007 Transporter S Liabilities	·	TSLs driven by sections of the UNC removed by the Nexus and FGO modifications are not necessarily not required going forwards. (i) It it expected that CMSG (a DSC committee) will establish suitable CDSP measures and this needs to be monitored.	Chairperson (LJ)	10/01/2017	Closed	Low	14/02/2017	New at Jan 17 meeting (after SoS review). RP is looking at the code requirements that drive the TSLs to see whether a mod is required to remove the reporting obligation. As part of this he will consider alternative routes to consider the transporter standards. Contract Managers group is already looking at this. PAC agreed to defer to them to avoid duplication.	Completed at Feb 2017 Meeting
	r Standards of Service and	(ii) Transporter standards removed by thee mods should be considered and if necessary defined							