From: .Box.UKLINK.Manual uklink@xoserve.com 
 Subject: 1484 - LH - SN - Representation Matrices for November 2015 Change Pack Paet 2 of 2
 Date: 8 December 2015 17:43
 To:

Communication Ref1484 – LH -SNSubjectRepresentation Matrices for November 2015 Change Pack Part 2 of 2Date08/12/2015

Dear colleague,

Further to the Change Pack, **1478 – LH - SN - UK Link Change Pack November 2015** issued on 20/11/2015, representations have been received for the following communications:

 Communication Ref:
 1478.1 – LH - SN

 Subject:
 COR1154 Further additional records including within the Master Control Sheet V1

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.2 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 COR3841 - Shipper Verification of Meter and Address details following System Meter Removals (UNC Modification 0518)

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.3 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 COR1154 - Treatment of Token File

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.4 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 Proposed Data Fix for Meter Link Code

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.5 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 Migrating to SharePoint from Xoserve.com

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.6 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 Decommissioned DPP Hierarchy

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.7 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 466AV - Daily Meter Reading Simplification (with Improved within day data provision)

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.8 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 UKLP Enduring Transformation Rules

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

 Communication Ref:
 1478.9 - LH - SN

 Subject:
 Amendments to the current 'MNC' creation process

 Closeout Date:
 04/12/2015

Following representations, Xoserve intends to seek approval from the UK Link Committee on the 10th December

If you have any questions in relation to this communication, please contact via uklink@xoserve.com

Regards Steve

Steve Nunnington – Development Manager steve.j.nunnington@xoserve.com | 0121 623 2563 В



Address: Xoserve Limited, 31 Homer Road, Solihull, B91 3LT Company Website: <u>http://www.xoserve.com</u>

This e-mail, and any attachments, are intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain confidential and/ or privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately and do not disclose, copy, distribute or take any other action based on this email. You may report the matter by sending an email to us at

.box.xoserve.communications.internet@xoserve.com. After notifying us please then immediately delete this email and any attachments.

We do not warrant that this email or its attachments are virus free. Please therefore ensure you have adequate virus protection before you open or detach this email or any of its attachments.

Emails to any xoserve.com email address may be subject to monitoring for operational reasons or other lawful business practices.

Xoserve Limited. Registered Office: 1-3 Strand, London WC2N 5EH. Registered in England and Wales. Company Number 5046877. VAT Registration No. 851 6025 43.



#### RE: 1478.1 – LH – SN COR 1154 Further additional records included within the Master Control Sheet V1

| Shipper     | Name               | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments   | Xoserve Comments   |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| British Gas | Oorlagh<br>Chapman | 04/12/2015 | NA                                | Yes     | The dates that these files are expected to be<br>delivered is not clear. We would like to<br>request the introduction of the following<br>information to clarify in a single place the<br>milestones for delivery of the changes,<br>specifically for Market Trials testing:<br>• Mkt Trials Release Id e.g. Release 1,<br>Release 2, Release 3, etc (i.e. assumes the<br>code will be released into Mkt Trials test<br>environment using a release based strategy)<br>• Mkt Trials Release Date<br>• Mkt Trials Level | We do not intend to include<br>within the master control<br>sheet the market trials<br>release dates for changes,<br>as the dates for changes<br>are dependent on the<br>impact assessment. Where<br>impact assessment is<br>completed before approval<br>is sought at UKLC we will<br>endeavour to include a<br>release date before the<br>approval is sought.<br>However where a change is<br>more complex then it may<br>prove difficult to assess the<br>amount of work required<br>and hence the appropriate<br>release date. We are trying<br>to speed up the approval of<br>the change process to give<br>the industry more certainty<br>and time to build their<br>solution but Xoserve will<br>still need to consider the<br>implications on their own<br>build and commercial<br>activities before committing<br>to a specific release date. |
| Npower      | Kiran Samra        | 04/12/2015 | In Support                        | Yes     | Npower support the changes.  | Thank you for your   |

National Grid 7/12/15 14:07

Page 1 of 2

V1

#### RE: 1478.1 – LH – SN COR 1154 Further additional records included within the Master Control Sheet V1

| comment |
|---------|

Page 2 of 2

V1

# RE: 1478.2 – LH – SN

COR 3841 - Shipper Verification of Meter and Address details following System Meter Removals (UNC Modification 0518)

| Shipper | Name        | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In<br>Support | Publish | Shipper Comments  | Xoserve Comments              |
|---------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------------|
| Npower  | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | In Support                           | Yes     | Supports this change as it would be an extra step to avoid 424/425 penalties. | Thank you for your<br>comment |
|         |             |            |                                      |         |   |                               |
|         |             |            |                                      |         |   |                               |
|         |             |            |                                      |         |   |                               |

### RE: 1478.3 – LH – SN COR1154 – Treatment of Token File

| Shipper                  | Name         | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments  | Xoserve Comments   |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---|--|
| Scottish and<br>Southern | Anne Jackson | 04/12/2015 | Support                           | Yes     | In the event that the compression solution is<br>the mechanism adopted to send the support<br>files for invoicing, we would like the Token<br>file to be part of that solution and see this as<br>an efficient way of checking that all files have<br>been received and are accounted for.<br>We therefore believe there are benefits to<br>retaining the file as part of the compression<br>solution and would want to keep it.  | Compression is<br>independent of the token<br>file proposal, i.e.<br>compression can be<br>implemented independent<br>of implementing the token<br>file solution and vice versa.<br>Both can also be<br>implemented together.  |
| British Gas              | Oorlagh      | 04/12/2015 | Not In Support                    | Yes     | British Gas currently opposes this change.<br>Our main concern remains as to the driver<br>for this change which was stated as a lack of<br>capacity on connections to the UK Link<br>Service, a lack of a generic industry wide<br>product based solution and the introduction<br>of a new file type which is not extensible<br>across different file types or groups as the<br>file type extension does not identify the file<br>types and sequence numbers compressed<br>and therefore requires the TKN file to be<br>opened parsed and interpreted by a new<br>solution. This is not simple and is a<br>significant change for UK Link. Logically if a<br>user has a low connectivity threshold then<br>they would have a small portfolio so we will<br>need to understand the connectivity issue on<br>top of the solution.<br>Despite many previous interactions, the 'TKN'<br>file design is presented in Xoserve Change<br>Packs akin to an approved change. This has | The communication seeks<br>to provide the clarification<br>on the potential use of the<br>file formats so that Users<br>may make an informed<br>position whether they<br>intend to use this file. This<br>communication was issued<br>at the request of the UK<br>Link Committee.<br>The TKN change proposal<br>has already been changed<br>to enable Users to identify<br>the associated file type<br>within the existing UK Link<br>File naming conventions. |

### RE: 1478.3 – LH – SN COR1154 – Treatment of Token File

|        |             |            |                          |     | been highlighted to Xoserve. Xoserve have<br>replied that this change has not been agreed.<br>However, the communication suggests<br>otherwise. Please can the communication<br>reflect the situation accurately, and a<br>Programme wide clarification be issued to<br>state the current position, and any future<br>milestones.   |   |
|--------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|-----|---|---|
| Npower | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | More Clarity<br>Required | Yes | <ul> <li>We would require more clarity of the requirement, and proposed options before we can make a decision. Our understanding is that each individual file contains full transactional details and are uniquely sequenced. If this is the case we would question the need for the TKN file but we think it is critical we are clear on: <ol> <li>The transactional unit from a Business process perspective – i.e. any dependency <b>between</b> individual files</li> <li>Volumes – i.e. are there additional scenario where this still needs a resolution – is compression (rather than TKN files) still required – as we thought this was part of the issue?</li> <li>Proposed options pros / cons – i.e. we highlighted the above points several months ago (in support of the BG feedback) – still not seen the BG options presented</li> </ol> </li> </ul> | You are correct in your<br>assertions that each<br>individual file contains full<br>transactional details and is<br>uniquely sequenced. In the<br>absence of the token file,<br>what you won't know, as<br>the transmission of a file in<br>multiple parts begins, is<br>how many parts to expect.<br>You may find the token file<br>beneficial in this context.<br>Compression is<br>independent of the token<br>file proposal, i.e.<br>compression can be<br>implemented independent<br>of implementing the token<br>file solution and vice versa.<br>Both can also be<br>implemented together. |

#### RE: 1478.3 – LH – SN COR1154 – Treatment of Token File

# RE: 1478.4 – LH – SN Proposed Data Fix for Meter Link Code

| Shipper | Name        | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments            | Xoserve Comments              |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Npower  | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | In Support                        | Yes     | Npower support the changes. | Thank you for your<br>comment |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |

# RE: 1478.5 – LH – SN Migrating to SharePoint from Xoserve.com

| Shipper     | Name               | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments   | Xoserve Comments   |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| British Gas | Oorlagh<br>Chapman | 04/12/2015 | Not In Support                    | Yes     | British Gas currently opposes this change.<br>Can you please confirm the process for<br>creating a log on?   | Full details for first time<br>login and Microsoft account<br>activation, can be found<br>within our User Guide<br>located on Xoserve.com<br><u>http://www.xoserve.com/w</u><br><u>p-</u><br><u>content/uploads/Extranet-</u><br><u>site-user-guide-V1.pdf</u>   |
|             |                    |            |                                   |         | We currently reference Xoserve.com links in<br>various locations within our project<br>documentation, this will need to be updated<br>and seems unnecessary, given the current<br>solution is useable. | Following feedback from a<br>number of stakeholders,<br>the current platform is not<br>suitable to meet the needs<br>required to store and<br>search the UK Link<br>documentation. SharePoint<br>provides these facilities and<br>is already used to store<br>commercially sensitive<br>information. The decision<br>was taken after<br>consultation with a number<br>of our stakeholders and<br>SharePoint would appear to<br>be the most popular<br>solution.<br>As we would not want to<br>cause confusion by storing |
|             |                    |            |                                   |         |  | be the most persolution.<br>As we would n  |

# RE: 1478.5 – LH – SN Migrating to SharePoint from Xoserve.com

|        |             |            |            |     | Please confirm can we continue to embed<br>links within our documentation or would we<br>need to download and attach? | than one location, we do<br>not intend to maintain the<br>UK Link documentation<br>held on Xoserve.com into<br>the future.<br>Embedding the new links<br>into your documents<br>wouldn't work given the<br>security measures in place<br>for the proposed solution.<br>Direct links are not<br>advisable to use as an<br>attachment since document<br>access on the new system<br>needs user authentication<br>therefore attaching a direct<br>hyperlink may not work<br>effectively. |
|--------|-------------|------------|------------|-----|---|---|
| Npower | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | In Support | Yes | Npower support the changes.   | Thank you for your comment  |

### RE: 1478.6 – LH – SN Decommissioned DPP Hierarchy

| Shipper     | Name               | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments  | Xoserve Comments  |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---|---|
| British Gas | Oorlagh<br>Chapman | 04/12/2015 | NA                                | Yes     | Please confirm if this is being<br>decommissioned. The Master control sheet is<br>not clear, it states decommissioned and is<br>also struck through. Is this being<br>decommissioned? | The DPP Hierarchy and File<br>Record (N11) will be<br>decommissioned as at<br>Nexus go Live. The Master<br>Control sheet reflects this<br>by being struck through<br>and being recorded as<br>decommissioned against<br>the Hierarchy and File.<br><b>NB.</b> For further clarity<br>when the master control<br>sheet V17 is published the<br>struck through will be<br>removed from the wording<br>decommissioned (column k) |
| Npower      | Kiran Samra        | 04/12/2015 | In Support                        | Yes     | Npower support the changes.   | Thank you for your<br>comment   |
|             |                    |            |                                   |         |   |   |
|             |                    |            |                                   |         |   |   |

# RE: 1478.7– LH – SN 466AV - Daily Meter Reading Simplification (with improved within day data provision)

| Shipper | Name        | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments            | Xoserve Comments              |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Npower  | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | In Support                        | Yes     | Npower support the changes. | Thank you for your<br>comment |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |

#### RE: 1478.8 – LH – SN UKLP Enduring Transformation Rules

Page 1 of 2

V1

1

#### RE: 1478.8 – LH – SN UKLP Enduring Transformation Rules

|  | Firstly we would like to know if we will<br>receive a report on shipper level of the<br>populated MPRNs. | portfolio detailing MPRNs<br>for which enduring<br>transformation rules are<br>applied. The industry level<br>count is being provided<br>monthly. |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|

Page 2 of 2

V1

# RE: 1478.9 – LH – SN Amendments to the current 'MNC' creation process

| Shipper | Name        | Date       | In Support<br>/<br>Not In Support | Publish | Shipper Comments            | Xoserve Comments              |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Npower  | Kiran Samra | 04/12/2015 | In Support                        | Yes     | Npower support the changes. | Thank you for your<br>comment |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |
|         |             |            |                                   |         |                             |                               |