

From: .Box.UKLINK.Manual uklink@xoserve.com 
Subject: 1515 - ML - SN - Representation Matrices for January 2016 Change Pack Part 1 of 2
Date: 5 February 2016 16:21
To:

B

Communication Ref 1515 – ML - SN
Subject Representation Matrices for January 2016 Change Pack Part 1 of 2
Date 05/02/2016

Dear colleague,

Further to the Change Pack, 1502 – LH - SN – UK Link Change Pack January 2016 Part 1 of 2 issued on 15/01/2016, representations have been received for the following communications:

Communication Ref: 1502.2 – LH - SN
Subject: Updated SPA Consolidated Rejection code V26 For Approval
Closeout Date: 29/01/2016

Communication Ref: 1502.4 – LH - SN
Subject: COR1154.15 UKLP Including Nexus Requirements – Shipper Rejection Codes V2.4
Closeout Date: 29/01/2016

Following representations for 1502.2 and 1502.4, Xoserve intends to seek approval from the UK Link Committee on 11th February 2016

Communication Ref: 1502.5 – LH - SN
Subject: Move UK Link Documentation and UK Link Programme File to SharePoint Implementation Date
Closeout Date: 29/01/2016

The following communications issued for comment or representation within the January 2016 Change Pack solicited **no** responses

Communication Ref: 1502.1 – LH - SN
Subject: EU Code Change Phase 3 Delivery External Screen Changes
Closeout Date: 29/01/2016

The contents of the other communications will be discussed at an additional meeting on 9th February 2016

Communication Ref: 1502.3 – LH - DA
Subject: Invitation to the Method of transportation of the Invoicing Supporting Information Files, Compression Meeting & Presentation
Closeout Date: 29/01/2016

If you have any questions related to this communication, please contact me via uklink@xoserve.com

Regards
Steve

Steve Nunnington – **Development Manager**
Steve.j.nunnington@xoserve.com | 0121 623 2563



Address: Xoserve Limited, 31 Homer Road, Solihull, B91 3LT
Company Website: <http://www.xoserve.com>





1502.2.doc



1502.5.doc



1502.3.doc



1502.4.doc

RE: 1502.2 – LH – SN
Updated SPA Consolidated Rejection code V26 For approval

Shipper	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments
British Gas	Oorlagh Chapman	28/01/16	NA	Y	<p>On the most recent version of the Shipper Rejection Codes (V2.4) as provided within communication 1502.4, there are a number of rejection codes highlighted as decommissioned with a reason 'Existing code in legacy. Not required in new system'.</p> <p>Some of these rejection codes are missing in 'SPA Consolidated List v26FA' document e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MPQ00029 AQ/WC not calculated due to the existence of a Backstop Date • CPN00322 Insufficient Consumption Data to Calculate AQ <p>Please update these error codes in the relevant documentation to maintain consistency.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The codes:</p> <p>MPQ00029 AQ/WC not calculated due to the existence of a Backstop Date</p> <p>CPN00322 Insufficient Consumption Data to Calculate AQ</p> <p>are both current failure to calculate reasons. These are not classed as rejection codes and are therefore not held on the SPA rejection code list. They have been struck through on the UKLP list to reflect that they will not be carried forward. The failure to calculate reasons have now been issued within a separate document available on Xoserve.com under approved documents:</p> <p>http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-change-programme/uk-link-programme/uk-link-programme-file-formats/</p>

Invitation to the Method of transportation of the Invoicing Supporting Information Files, Compression Meeting & Presentation

Shipper	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments
EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	29/01/2016	NA	Y	<p>It is important we understand how this will work in regards to selecting certain files for compression and not others.</p> <p>Also can you confirm how you will manage the transfer to our IX client. We understand that the key point where the increased data transfer times will occur is between your servers and the IX client and we want to ensure this will not impact on other file transfers. We do not believe there will be an issue once the files arrive on our client as these will be in our estate.</p>	<p>We have specifically offered this as an option for three file types that are outbound from Xoserve to the system user. Those file types are AML, COI and CZI.</p> <p>That scope is specifically based out outbound supporting information files, which are the largest predicted outbound files. Whether it could be offered for other files has not been checked/confirmed/proposed.</p> <p>I understand that we already operate Network Quality of Service (QoS) on the I'X links. However, this operates basically at a network address level. We can set aside network bandwidth in a priority order across three types of usage – Gemini screens/APIs, file transfer and our own network management access.</p>

Invitation to the Method of transportation of the Invoicing Supporting Information Files, Compression Meeting & Presentation

					<p>Can you please share any presentations that were made at the meeting on 26th January.</p>	<p>As such we do not have a mechanism for prioritising one file transfer over another file transfer and so the reality is that file transfers will compete with each other within the same I'X bandwidth available. The relative scheduling of file transfers and whether or not your network link is saturated at a point in time will determine if there is any consequence of that.</p> <p>These have been published on Xoserve.com under 'supporting documents'</p> <p>http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-change-programme/uk-link-programme/uk-link-programme-file-formats/</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

RE: 1502.4 – LH – SN
COR1154.15 UKLP Including Nexus Requirements – Shipper Rejection Codes V2.4 Approved

Shipper	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments			
EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	29/01/16	NA	Y	<p>We raised a Question (Q&A) with Xoserve as shown below with their response (provided 12/01)</p> <p>There does not seem to be any 'created' code in the version we are being asked to review.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Please clarify which code we would receive where we send you a fault notification and there is already an active fault recorded against the meter. Are there codes missing?</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Thank you for your question, it would appear that a rejection code has not been created for this scenario, therefore we have requested for one to be created and this will follow in due course.</td> </tr> </table> <p>If there are rejections in the pipeline please can you share these so we have a complete picture of what has been added and what is expected to be added in the future?</p>	Please clarify which code we would receive where we send you a fault notification and there is already an active fault recorded against the meter. Are there codes missing?	Thank you for your question, it would appear that a rejection code has not been created for this scenario, therefore we have requested for one to be created and this will follow in due course.	<p>There are no new 'created' rejection codes within v2.4 as this version was approved at UKLC. The communication was highlighting that the file and record level rejections had merged with the application level rejections as was requested.</p> <p>A new rejection code was requested based on your Q&A. This is in the process of being reviewed and assessed. If it is feasible to implement, this will be communicated to the industry at the earliest opportunity.</p>	
Please clarify which code we would receive where we send you a fault notification and there is already an active fault recorded against the meter. Are there codes missing?	Thank you for your question, it would appear that a rejection code has not been created for this scenario, therefore we have requested for one to be created and this will follow in due course.								
Npower	Kiran Samra	29/01/16		Y	<p>Following approval at the last UK LINK meeting we were expecting to see the ERR/FRJ rejection codes included:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">FIL 001 24</td> <td style="width: 60%;">File rejected and will not be processed</td> <td style="width: 25%;">New</td> </tr> </table>	FIL 001 24	File rejected and will not be processed	New	<p>Thank you for your comments. The rejection code FIL00124 had not been included as Xoserve required completing an internal impact assessment to confirm whether we could take if forward as an enduring</p>
FIL 001 24	File rejected and will not be processed	New							

RE: 1502.4 – LH – SN
COR1154.15 UKLP Including Nexus Requirements – Shipper Rejection Codes V2.4 Approved

						<p>solution. This has since been confirmed. Version 2.5 containing this rejection code will be issued within the February change pack.</p>
British Gas	Oorlagh Chapman	28/01/16	NA	Y	<p>On the most recent version of the Shipper Rejection Codes (V2.4) as provided within communication 1502.4, there are a number of rejection codes highlighted as decommissioned with a reason 'Existing code in legacy. Not required in new system'. Some of these rejection codes are missing in 'SPA Consolidated List v26FA' document e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MPQ00029 AQ/WC not calculated due to the existence of a Backstop Date • CPN00322 Insufficient Consumption Data to Calculate AQ <p>Please update these error codes in the relevant documentation to maintain consistency.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The codes:</p> <p>MPQ00029 AQ/WC not calculated due to the existence of a Backstop Date</p> <p>CPN00322 Insufficient Consumption Data to Calculate AQ</p> <p>are both current failure to calculate reasons. These are not classed as rejection codes and are therefore not held on the SPA rejection code list. They have been struck through on the UKLP list to reflect that they will not be carried forward. The failure to calculate reasons have now been issued within a separate document available on Xoserve.com under approved documents:http://www.xoserve.com/index.php/our-change-programme/uk-link-programme/uk-link-programme-file-formats/</p>

RE: 1502.5 – LH - SN.5 – LH – SN
Move UK Link Documentation and UK Link Programme File to SharePoint Implementation Date

Shipper	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments
EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	29/01/2016	NA	Y	<p>I have attempted to set up a Microsoft Account but am having an issue where I receive a message saying I have an account when I attempt to create a new one, but am told I do not have an account when I try and reset the password. We expect quite a lot of users to try and set up access and want to know if Xoserve will provide an extra level of support during this period.</p> <p>It may also be worth re-publishing the guide but with UK Link Documentation as the example in the screen shots rather than Gemini.</p> <p>It would also be worth adding an FAQ to cover the most common problems. If the FAQ was published and linked to online this could be added to as different issues were experienced.</p> <p>It would also be good to have some clarity on how LSO's will feature in the new</p>	<p>If Users experience difficulties with their SharePoint Accounts, they can raise the issue via: extranetadmin@xoserve.com</p> <p>Xoserve created many Users accounts upon UK Link Docs closure, therefore Users may already have a Microsoft accounts. To confirm if you already have an account, please contact the email address as above.</p> <p>The Screen shots of Gemini are from the current view of the SharePoint site, once the UK Link documentation has been uploaded to SharePoint, the User Guide will be updated to reflect the new views.</p> <p>All frequently asked questions can be collated and published within Xoserve.com</p> <p>We would request that LSO details are populated as if</p>

RE: 1502.5 – LH - SN.5 – LH – SN
Move UK Link Documentation and UK Link Programme File to SharePoint Implementation Date

					<p>process. These still appear on the form but are optional fields. It would be good if I can provide my business users with a guide on the whole process including what to enter on the form requesting access.</p> <p>The move of UKLINK Documentation to Sharepoint was approved with an implementation date of 1st April. I requested the old Xoserve.com site be left until the end of June. Once SharePoint is implemented on 1st April the documents will no longer be updated on the old site.</p>	<p>there are any concerns regarding the account or requester we will verify the details through the organisations LSO.</p> <p>However, an LSO is not required for maintaining access to Microsoft, the Users have the ability to reset their own password.</p> <p>SharePoint will be implemented on the 1st April 2016, with the old site remaining in situ until 30th June 2016 with a disclaimer stating that the site will no longer be maintained</p>
British Gas	Oorlagh Chapman	28/01/2016	Yes	Y	British Gas accepts this change	Thank you for your comment