

Clarification on the treatment of RECONCILIATION INVOICE CHARGE within the ASP File Format

Shipper	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments
British Gas	Oorlagh Chapman	18/05/2016	Support	Y	<p>Please may we clarify, is it certain that a Supplier receives k88 or k89 for meter point level detail even if the site was never an LSP and always an SSP?</p> <p>In the attached word document it states: 'Whilst explicitly this will not affect the UK Link File Formats the record names currently used are <i>RT_K88_RECONCILIATION_INVOIC E_CHARGE_CLASS_4_LSP</i> and <i>RT_K89_RECONCILIATION_INVOIC E_CHARGE_CLASS_1_2_ AND_CLASS_3_LSP</i>. Users should note that variances will include SSP if applicable.'</p> <p>Please clarify why it says if applicable – Would it not always be applicable for the variance to be included?</p> <p>British Gas concluded that they accept this change</p>	<p>If the site was solely SSP for the whole reconciliation period the values will be added into the aggregated values (under K90) and would be viewed via the second level supporting information at individual MPRN level and no information would be presented within the K88 or K89 record.</p> <p>This communication is referring to process required to accommodate threshold crossers within a reconciliation period.</p> <p>Between two reads if there are one or more variances where the site AQ puts it in to the SSP market the reconciliation period and all associated variances will be provided in the individual reconciliation records under K88 or K89, along with the LSP variances covering the whole reconciliation period.</p> <p>This SSP element would not be added into the aggregated values under K90 if presented as part of a threshold crosser reconciliation period</p>

RE: 1575.1 – LH – DA

Clarification on the treatment of RECONCILIATION INVOICE CHARGE within the ASP File Format

Scottish and Southern	Mark Jones	18/05/2016	Not in support	Y	<p>SSE is strongly opposed to this change. We have built our systems to validate and reconcile our invoices for SSP and LSP reconciliations for all supply points when they are in the relevant category, and we would expect all records within the .ASP file formats to be correct for SSPs and LSPs at all times, including where there has been a change from one category to another during a reconciliation period.</p> <p>We see this change as a defect which has been identified in market trials and one which Xoserve should correct in order to ensure that shippers get the correct reconciliation invoice information at all times based on the correct categorisation of SSPs and LSPs. Putting this change in would result in spurious variances being reported from our invoice validation routines.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback.</p> <p>Please note that as per your comments, upon rejection of this change you will see reconciliation periods without the fully corresponding variances.</p> <p>As of 'Go Live' SSP sites have financial values and threshold crossers will occur throughout the year due to rolling AQ.</p> <p>To clarify you are requesting:</p> <p>Per MPRN reconciliation, that has a threshold crossing which includes a portion of SSP, the monthly variances and linked charges for the SSP are sent out within the AML (with financial values included within the aggregated charges on the ASP file) and for the variance and charges for LSP, the values will be at line item level within the ASP file.</p> <p>As a result of this on each file we will include the rec period with start and end date along with start and end reads, which will not be in line with the corresponding variances on each file.</p>
-----------------------	------------	------------	----------------	---	---	--

Clarification on the treatment of RECONCILIATION INVOICE CHARGE within the ASP File Format

Npower	Amie Charalambous	19/05/2016	Not in support	Y	<p>We reject this proposed change as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not in line with the record names in the ASP file format which we've based our solution on. If we include the SSP elements in the K88 we will not be able to report reconciliation volumes between SSP and LSP correctly. <p>Our recommendation is that Xoserve provide the charges as SSP while the MPRN is SSP and LSP for the period where the MPRN is LSP. The ASP would have the LSP elements in the K88/K89 and the SSP elements aggregated in the K90 in line with the current file format. The detailed SSP breakdown would then be available in the AML in line with the current format.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback.</p> <p>Please note that as per your comments, upon rejection of this change you will see reconciliation periods without the fully corresponding variances.</p> <p>As of 'Go Live' SSP sites have financial values and threshold crossers will occur throughout the year due to rolling AQ.</p> <p>To clarify you are requesting:</p> <p>Per MPRN reconciliation, that has a threshold crossing which includes a portion of SSP, the monthly variances and linked charges for the SSP are sent out within the AML (with financial values included within the aggregated charges on the ASP file) and for the variance and charges for LSP, the values will be at line item level within the ASP file.</p> <p>As a result of this on each file we will include the rec period with start and end date along with start and end reads, which will not be in line with the corresponding variances on each file.</p>
--------	-------------------	------------	----------------	---	--	--

RE: 1575.1 – LH – DA

Clarification on the treatment of RECONCILIATION INVOICE CHARGE within the ASP File Format

Simon Power	EDF Energy	19/05/2016	Support	y	<p>We strongly believe that data relating to class 4 LSPs should be included on the ASP file in the K88 lines and data relating to class 4 SSPs need to be included in the K90 records and so feature also in the AML file, which is what the file formats state and what we are expecting, regardless of it being a threshold crosser or not. If this proposal went ahead, then it would require some extensive rework to our systems. It would also cause a misalignment within our financial reporting</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback.</p> <p>Please note that as per your comments, upon rejection of this change you will see reconciliation periods without the fully corresponding variances.</p> <p>As of 'Go Live' SSP sites have financial values and threshold crossers will occur throughout the year due to rolling AQ.</p> <p>To clarify you are requesting:</p> <p>Per MPRN reconciliation, that has a threshold crossing which includes a portion of SSP, the monthly variances and linked charges for the SSP are sent out within the AML (with financial values included within the aggregated charges on the ASP file) and for the variance and charges for LSP, the values will be at line item level within the ASP file.</p> <p>As a result of this on each file we will include the rec period with start and end date along with start and end reads, which will not be in line with the corresponding variances on each file.</p>
-------------	------------	------------	---------	---	---	--

RE: 1575.1 – LH – DA

Clarification on the treatment of RECONCILIATION INVOICE CHARGE within the ASP File Format

Bobbie Gallagher	Scottish Power	19/05/2019	Not in Support	Y	<p>The proposal seems to suggest that where a Class 4 MPRN changes from SSP to LSP (or vice versa), then the entire reconciliation period will be reported on the ASP file and that the SSP portion will be excluded from the Class 3 & 4 SSP aggregation record.</p> <p>Currently we believe (and through the development of GINA) that charges should be generated as SSP up to the point where the Market Category changes to LSP, therefore the SSP period will be on the AML file and the subsequent LSP charges on the ASP file. This change could generate potential misalignment between the reported Market Category on the invoice data and the underlying registration data therefore we believe this change should be rejected and Xoserve provide the charges on the appropriate file for the appropriate variance periods.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback.</p> <p>Please note that as per your comments, upon rejection of this change you will see reconciliation periods without the fully corresponding variances.</p> <p>As of 'Go Live' SSP sites have financial values and threshold crossers will occur throughout the year due to rolling AQ.</p> <p>To clarify you are requesting:</p> <p>Per MPRN reconciliation, that has a threshold crossing which includes a portion of SSP, the monthly variances and linked charges for the SSP are sent out within the AML (with financial values included within the aggregated charges on the ASP file) and for the variance and charges for LSP, the values will be at line item level within the ASP file.</p> <p>As a result of this on each file we will include the rec period with start and end date along with start and end reads, which will not be in line with the corresponding variances on each file.</p>
------------------	----------------	------------	----------------	---	--	--