

**DSC Change Proposal**

**Change Reference Number: XRN4692**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Change Title** | CSEPs: IGT and GT File Formats |
| **Date Raised** |  |
| **Sponsor Organisation** | Wales & West Utilities |
| **Sponsor Name** | Richard Pomroy |
| **Sponsor Contact Details** | Richard.Pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk 07812 973337 |
| **CDSP Contact Name** |  |
| **CDSP Contact Details**  |  |
| **Change Status** | **Proposal** / With DSG / Out for Consultation / Voting / Approved or Rejected |
| **Section 1: Impacted Parties** |
| **Customer Class(es)** | ☐ Shipper☐ National Grid Transmissionx Distribution Network Operatorx iGT |
| **Section 2: Proposed Change Solution / Final (redlined) Change** |
| Files Affected: CIN1. Reduce the number of “Triggers” in the CIN File
2. Current CIN File Process: the current CIN file is produced if there is an inconsistency in any of the data items provided by the IGT and GT.
3. Suggested CIN File Process: change the validation process, so that only inconsistencies in crucial data items lead to the creation of a CIN.
4. Add the CSEP Status Field
5. Current CIN File: the current CIN file does not include a field for the “CSEP Status”.
6. Suggested CIN File: add the “CSEP Status” field and validate to ensure that there is a match.
 |
| **Proposed Release** | **Release / June/19** |
| **Proposed IA Period**  | 10WD / **30WD** / XXWD |
| **Section 3: Benefits and Justification**  |
| 1. Reduce the number of “Triggers” in the CIN File
2. The current process looks for any inconsistencies across all of the fields in the DCI (GT file) and the CIC/CAI (iGT Files). For example, if the IGT names the site “CSEP off High Street” and the GT names it “CSEP at High Street”, even if all other data items match, a CIN file would still be produced and sent to both parties. In practice this means that a CIN file is generated every time XoServe receive an update to the CSEP record.
3. Suggested CIN File Process: change the validation process, so that only inconsistencies in crucial data items lead to the creation of a CIN. This will reduce the number of files received by the IGTs and GTs and minimise the likelihood of significant inconsistencies being overlooked.

Critical Data Items:“CSEP Post Town”, “CSEP Postcode Outcode”, “Number of ISEPs”, “LDZ Identifier”, “CSEP Exit Zone Identifier”, “CSEP Connection Max AQ”, “CSEP Connection Max SHQ”, “Condition 16 Max AQ”, “Condition 16 Max SHQ” (new field, included in the “CSEP Creation Process” change form), “Nested CSEP Indicator”, “Directly Connected CSEP ID”, “Directly Connected CSEP GT Reference Number”, “IGT Short Code”, “CSEP Status” (new field, below”)Currently the GTs do not raise Nested CSEPs with XoServe which means that they do not appear in the CIN files. Making the “Nested CSEP Indicator”, “Directly Connected CSEP ID”, “Directly Connected CSEP GT Reference Number” critical data items will not change this as there will be nothing for the iGT file to match to. However, by making these critical items now, they are available if we wish to change the process so that GTs do submit Nested CSEPs.1. Add the CSEP Status Field
2. Current CIN File: the current CIN file does not include a field for the Status. However, the status is submitted to XoServe on all files, DCI (GT file) and the CIC/CAI (iGT Files), so there is no requirement for a change to these file formats.
3. Suggested CIN File: the CSEP Status is a critical data item, and should therefore be included in the CIN file format and validated to ensure that any inconsistency is highlighted.

Please note – to enable the validation to work correctly on the CSEP Status, the GT DCI/DCO and iGT CIC/CIR, CAI/CAO files must all contain the same statuses: CA – Cancelled; RQ – Requested; DE – Dead; LI – Live. Currently different files have different options, e.g. currently GTs cannot submit a CSEP as Live. |
| **Section 4: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations**  |
|  |
| **DSG Recommendation** | Approve / Reject / Defer  |
| **DSG Recommended Release** | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Section 5: DSC Consultation**  |
| **Issued** | Yes / No |
| **Date(s) Issued** |  |
| **Comms Ref(s)** |  |
| **Number of Responses** |  |
| **Section 6: Funding** |
| **Funding Classes**  | ☐ Shipper XX% = £XXXX.XX☐ National Grid Transmission XX% = £XXXX.XX☐ Distribution Network Operator XX% = £XXXX.XX☐ iGT XX% = £XXXX.XXTOTAL = £XXXX.XX |
| **Service Line(s)** |  |
| **ROM or funding details**  |  |
| **Funding Comments**  |  |
| **Section 7: DSC Voting Outcome** |
| **Solution Voting**  | ☐ Shipper Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain☐ National Grid Transmission Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain ☐ Distribution Network Operator Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain☐ iGT Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  |
| **Meeting Date**  | XX/XX/XXXX |
| **Release Date** | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA |
| **Overall Outcome**  | Approved for Release X / Rejected  |

**Please send the completed forms to:** **.box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com**

**Document Control**

**Details**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Version** | **Owner** | **Review Frequency** | **Next Review Date** |
| XRN Template  |  | Emma Smith  |  |  |

**Version History**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | **Author(s)** | **Summary of Changes** |
| 1  | Draft  | 29/03/18  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Reviewers**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Name** | **Role** | **Business Area** | **Date**  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Approvers**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Name** | **Role** | **Business Area** | **Date** |
|  |  |  |  |  |