

**DSC Chang Proposal**

**Change Reference Number: XRN4693**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| **Change Title** | CIC, CIR, CAI, CAO, DCI, DSCO, CIN, CCN, CUN Files - CSEPs: IGT and GT File Formats |
| **Date Raised** |  |
| **Sponsor Organisation** | Wales & West Utilities |
| **Sponsor Name** | Richard Pomroy |
| **Sponsor Contact Details** | [Richard.Pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk](mailto:Richard.Pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk) 07812 973337 |
| **CDSP Contact Name** |  |
| **CDSP Contact Details** |  |
| **Change Status** | **Proposal** / With DSG / Out for Consultation / Voting / Approved or Rejected |
| **Section 1: Impacted Parties** | |
| **Customer Class(es)** | ☐ Shipper  ☐ National Grid Transmission  x Distribution Network Operator  x iGT |
| **Section 2: Proposed Change Solution / Final (redlined) Change** | |
| Files Affected: CIC, CIR, CAI, CAO, DCI, DCO, CIN, CCN, CUN.   1. Condition 16 Max AQ and Condition 16 Max SHQ 2. Create New Field in all listed IGT and GT Files: “Condition 16 Max SHQ” (currently only “Condition 16 Max AQ” exists in file formats) 3. Validate New Field in all listed IGT and GT Files: Where a “Condition 16 Max SHQ” is given it must match the “CSEP Connection Max SHQ”. 4. Validate Existing Field in all listed IGT and GT Files: Where a “Condition 16 Max AQ” is given it must match the “CSEP Connection Max AQ”. 5. IGT System Max SHQ 6. Create New Field in the CIC, CIR, CAI, CAO, CCN and CUN Files: “IGT System Max SHQ” (currently only “IGT System Max AQ” exists in file formats) 7. Validate New Field in all listed IGT and GT Files: The “IGT System Max SHQ” must be equal to or less than the “CSEP Connection Max SHQ”. 8. IGT System Max AQ 9. Add Field to the CCN and CUN Files: “IGT System Max AQ” (currently only included in IGT file formats and is not sent to the GT) 10. Validate Existing Field in all listed IGT and GT Files: The “IGT System Max AQ” must be equal to or less than the “CSEP Connection Max AQ”. | |
| **Proposed Release** | **Release/ June/19** |
| **Proposed IA Period** | 10WD / **30WD** / XXWD |
| **Section 3: Benefits and Justification** | |
| 1. Condition 16 Max AQ and Condition 16 Max SHQ 2. Create new “Condition 16 Max SHQ” field: Currently only the “Condition 16 Max AQ” is provided in the listed files, to complete the CSEP record and ensure that the data held by the IGT and GT match, the “Condition 16 Max SHQ” should also be provided by both parties. 3. Validate new “Condition 16 Max SHQ” field and 4. Validate the existing “Condition 16 Max AQ” field: These validations would be added to the XoServe system to ensure data integrity. If an IGT or GT submitted a file, e.g. DCI, where the “Condition 16 Max SHQ” was higher than the “CSEP Connection Max SHQ” the file would reject and the GT would have to correct the fields and resubmit the CSEP record. 5. IGT System Max SHQ 6. Create new “IGT System Max SHQ” field in the CIC, CIR, CAI, CAO, CCN and CUN Files: Presently only the “IGT System Max AQ” is included in the CIC, CIR, CAI and CAO files, to complete the CSEP record the “IGT System Max SHQ” should also be provided. 7. Validate new “IGT System Max SHQ” field: This validation would be added to the XoServe system to ensure data integrity. If an IGT tried to submit a file, e.g. CIC, where the “IGT System Max SHQ” was higher than the “CSEP Connection Max SHQ” the file would reject and the IGT would have to correct the field and resubmit the CSEP record. 8. IGT System Max AQ 9. Add the “IGT System Max AQ” field to the CCN and CUN Files: In the current file formats the GTs do not receive the “IGT System Max AQ” that IGTs allocate to a CSEP. This additional data item will help the GTs build a clearer picture of the phasing of a CSEP. 10. Validate the “IGT System Max AQ” field: This validation would be added to the XoServe system to ensure data integrity. If an IGT tried to submit a file, e.g. CIC, where the “IGT System Max AQ” was higher than the “CSEP Connection Max AQ” the file would reject and the IGT would have to correct the field and resubmit the CSEP record. | |
| **Section 4: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations** | |
|  | |
| **DSG Recommendation** | Approve / Reject / Defer |
| **DSG Recommended Release** | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Section 5: DSC Consultation** | |
| **Issued** | Yes / No |
| **Date(s) Issued** |  |
| **Comms Ref(s)** |  |
| **Number of Responses** |  |
| **Section 6: Funding** | |
| **Funding Classes** | ☐ Shipper XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ National Grid Transmission XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ Distribution Network Operator XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ iGT XX% = £XXXX.XX  TOTAL = £XXXX.XX |
| **Service Line(s)** |  |
| **ROM or funding details** |  |
| **Funding Comments** |  |
| **Section 7: DSC Voting Outcome** | |
| **Solution Voting** | ☐ Shipper Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ National Grid Transmission Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ Distribution Network Operator Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ iGT Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain |
| **Meeting Date** | XX/XX/XXXX |
| **Release Date** | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA |
| **Overall Outcome** | Approved for Release X / Rejected |

**Please send the completed forms to:** [**.box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com**](mailto:.box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com)

**Section B: DSC Change Proposal: Initial views**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **User Name** | **Katy Binch** | |
| **User Contact Details** | **katy.binch@espug.com** | |
| **Section B1: ChMC Industry Consultation (based on above change proposal)** | | |
| 1. Do you think the change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or the market?  Please can you provide the rationale for your response | | |
| No | | |
| 1. Do you think the change proposed will benefit your organisation and / or the market? Please provide any quantifiable outputs as well as any assumptions. | | |
| Yes, the change will enable both GTs and IGTs to reconcile network usage more accurately. | | |
| 1. Considering any functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this to be implemented within a major release? Based on your answer how long a lead time would your organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of 4 months, minimum of 6 months) | | |
| Yes, June 2019 is suitable if it is 6months after baselined design. | | |
| 1. As currently drafted the Change Proposal impacts on service area 6. The funding for this area is [X% Shipper funding, X% NTS, X% DNS X% iGTs]. Do you agree with the principles of this funding? | | |
| Yes, the funding should be split 50% DNs and 50% IGTs. | | |
| **Change Proposal in principle** | | Approve |
| **Publication of consultation response** | | Publish |

**Please note we have also received the following consultation response from Northern Gas Network for XRN4691, XRN4692, XRN4693 and XRN4694:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **User Name** | Shanna Key |
| **User Contact Details** | SKey@northerngas.co.uk |
| **NGN supports these Change Proposals**  These change proposals seek to introduce new data fields and validations into the GT & iGT CSEP file formats received from Xoserve in order to make them more informative and relevant. The new data fields proposed are: Nested CSEP Indicator, Parent CSEP ID, CSEP Hierarchy Level, CSEP Connection Max AQ, Connection Date, CSEP Status, iGT System Max AQ, iGT System Max SHQ and Condition 16 Max SHQ. The new validations proposed are in relation to specifying invalid GT Reference Numbers, minimum levels for CSEP Connection Max AQ & SHQ, iGT System Max AQ & SHQ and Condition 16 Max AQ & SHQ, and Connection Date and CSEP Emergency Cover Date optionality.  **Reasons for Support/Opposition:**  We support all four change proposals as we agree that the new data fields and validations proposed are sensible amendments which could make the files more informative, and in the case of the CIN, more relevant as it would only be received when inconsistencies are present for crucial data items.  **Impact and costs:**  We believe that the implementation of these proposals would have minimal impact on NGN.  **Implementation:**  We agree with the proposed implementation date of June 2019.  **Additional Comments**  We believe the addition of criteria that states cancelled GT reference numbers must not be used would not be of benefit to industry as there is currently no systemised way to enforce it. | |
| **Change Proposal in principle** | Approve |
| **Publication of consultation response** | Publish |

**Document Control**

**Details**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Version** | **Owner** | **Review Frequency** | **Next Review Date** |
| XRN Template |  | Emma Smith |  |  |

**Version History**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | **Author(s)** | **Summary of Changes** |
| 1 | Draft | 29/03/18 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Name** | **Role** | **Business Area** | **Date** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Name** | **Role** | **Business Area** | **Date** |
|  |  |  |  |  |