

DSC Change Proposal

Change Reference Number: XRN4752

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Section A1: General Details				
Change Title	Meter Read Performance			
Date Raised	30/08/2018			
Sponsor Organisation	Xoserve			
Sponsor Name	Rachel Hinsley			
Sponsor Contact Details	0121 623 2854			
	Rachel.hinsley@xoserve.com			
Xoserve Contact Name	Rachel Hinsley			
Xoserve Contact Details	0121 623 2854			
	Rachel.hinsley@xoserve.com			
Change Status	With DSG			
Section A2: Impacted Parties				
Customer Class(es)	⊠ Shipper			
	☐ National Grid Transmission			
	☐ Distribution Network Operator			
	□IGT			
Section A2, Proposer Positivements / Final (radiined) Change				

Section M of UNC states the read obligation for Class 2 and Class 3 Supply Meter points. Within Code this section also states:

- TPDM5.7.4 Each User shall secure that the requirement in paragraph 5.7.1 is complied with in respect of at least 97.5% of Relevant Class 2 Supply Meters every Day and the CDSP shall notify each User of its performance in such respect
- TPDM5.8.5 MD is the number of Relevant Class 3 Supply Meters and the CDSP shall notify each User of its performance in such respect.

This states that the CDSP has an obligation to report the performance. There are service lines set up for us to provide read performance reports; we need a CP to enact this.



Copy of Service lines relating to meter reac

A report specification has been created and is available here:



Report Specification Template - Read perf

It is proposed to provide these reports anonymously until the PARR is amended to provide non-anonymised reports to PAC (completed under UNCC; not the DSC).

Proposed Release	Ad-hoc – data release	
Proposed Consultation Period	☑ 10 Working Days	



	☐ 20 Working Days		
	☐ 30 Working d	lays	
	Other:	•	
Section A4: Benefits and Justifica	tion		
Benefit Description			ead performance to be
What, if any, are the tangible benefits of intro change?			aging meter read submission
What, if any, are the intangible benefits of int	roducing this	and transparency	
change? Benefit Realisation		As soon as deliver	ed
When are the benefits of the change likely to		As soon as deliver	eu
Benefit Dependencies	N/A		
Please detail any dependencies that would be scope of the change, this could be reliance o			
reliance on some other event that the project			
control of.	oun Booommone	dations	
Section A5: Final Delivery Sub Gr Until a final decision is achieved, please refer			
, p			
Final DSG Recommendation	Approve / Reject	t / Defer	
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb	/ Jun / Nov XX or A	Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY
Section A6: Funding			
Funding Classes	⊠ Shipper 100%		
		d Transmission	XX%
		Network Operator	XX%
		Network Operator	XX%
Service Line(s)	☐ IGT XX% Service Line 18 – Provision of user reports and information		
ROM or funding details	N/A	— 1 10VISION OI USEI	reports and information
Funding Comments	Shippers agreed for this to be 100% Shipper funded		
Section A7: CHMC Recommendat			
Change Status			
	☐ Defer – Issue	to Consultation	
	☐ Reject		
Industry Consultation	☐ 10 Working D	Days	
	☐ 20 Working D	ays	
	☐ 30 Working d	lays	
	Other:		
Expected date of receipt for	XX/XX/XXXX		
responses (to Xoserve) DSC Consultation			
DSC Consultation	□ Voc		
Issued	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Date Issued	△ INU		
Comms Ref(s)			
Number of Responses			
Section A8: DSC Voting Outcome			
Solution Voting	Shipper Shipper		Approve
· ·		d Transmission	NA
		Network Operator	NA
		140tWork Operator	NA
Meeting Date	12/09/2018		LVA
Release Date	TBC		
	· - v	ceed to DSG	



Please send the completed forms to: <u>mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>

Document Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Draft	30/08/18	Rachel Hinsley	New Change Proposal
2.0	Approved at ChMC	14/09/18	Rachel Hinsley	Update from ChMC meeting on 12/09 added ti A7 and A8.
3.0	DSG notes added	20/09/18	Rachel Hinsley	DSG notes from 17 th September added
4.0	DSG notes added	05/10/18	Rachel Hinsley	DSG notes from 17 th September updated with discussions from 1 st

Template Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
3.0	Approved	17/04/2018	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11 th July

Section C: DSC Change Proposal: DSG Discussion

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)



Section C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations					
DSG Date	17/09/18				
DSG Summary					
The prioritisation score of 26% was presented to DSG. This change originated from the Performance Assurance Committee; the purpose of the change is to provide greater detail and context on Meter Read Performance reports.					
Capture Document / Requirements					
DSG Recommendation	N/A				
DSG Recommended Release	N/A				



Appendix 1

Change Prioritisation Variables

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	☐ CMA Order	☐ MOD / Ofgem	
	□ EU Legislation	☐ License Condition	
	☐ BEIS	□ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal	



	☐ SPAA Change Proposal ☐ Additional or 3 rd Party Service Request			
	☐ Other(please provide details below)			
Diagon coloct the quotemor				
Please select the customer group(s) who would be impacted	Shipper Impact □ iGT Impact □ Network Impact			
if the change is not delivered	□Xoserve Impact □National Grid Transmission Impact			
Associated Change reference				
Number(s)	None			
Associated MOD Number(s)				
,	MOD0664			
Barrier I Island (Carl				
Perceived delivery effort	$\boxtimes 0 - 30$ $\square 30 - 60$			
Base the age is at investor the	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days			
Does the project involve the processing of personal data?	Yes (If yes please answer the next question)			
'Any information relating to an identifiable	□ No			
person who can be directly or indirectly				
identified in particular by reference to an identifier' – includes MPRNS.				
A Data Protection Impact	☐ New technology ☐ Vulnerable customer data ☐ Theft of Gas			
Assessment (DPIA) will be required if the delivery of the	☐ Mass data ☐ Xoserve employee data			
change involves the processing of	☐ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business			
personal data in any of the	☐ Other(please provide details below)			
following scenarios:	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection			
Change Beneficiary	Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.			
How many market participant or segments	☐ Multiple Market Participants☐ Multiple Market Group☐ All industry UK Gas Market participants☐ Xoserve Only			
stand to benefit from the introduction of the change?	 ✓ One Market Group ✓ One Market Participant 			
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 3: Record/submit Data in Compliance with UNC			
Area	· ·			
Number of Service Areas	☐ All ☐ Five to Twenty ☐ Two to Five			
Impacted	⊠ One			
Change Improvement Scale? How much work would be reduced for the	☐ High			
customer if the change is implemented? Are any of the	following at risk if the change is not delivered?			
-	☐ Customer(s) incurring financial loss ☐ Customer Switching at risk			
Are any of the	e following required if the change is delivered?			
	ed Customer Testing Likely Required Customer Training Required			
	own Impact to Systems / Processes			
Primary Application impacted	⊠BW □ ISU □ CMS			
	□ AMT □ EFT □ IX			
	☐ Gemini ☐ Birst ☐ Other (please provide details below)			
Business Process Impact	□AQ □SPA □RGMA			
	☑Reads ☐Portal ☐Invoicing			
	☐ Other (please provide details below)			
Are there any known impacts to	☐ Yes (please provide details below)			
external services and/or systems				
as a result of delivery of this change?	□ No			
Please select customer group(s)				
who would be impacted if the	☐ Shipper impact ☐ Network impact ☐ iGT impact			
change is not delivered	☐ Xoserve impact ☐ National Grid Transmission Impact			



Workaround currently in operation?			
Is there a Workaround in	□ Yes		
operation?	⊠ No		
If yes who is accountable for the	☐ Xoserve		
workaround?	☐ External Customer		
	☐ Both Xoserve and External Customer		
What is the Frequency of the			
workaround?			
What is the lifespan for the			
workaround?			
What is the number of resource			
effort hours required to service			
workaround?			
What is the Complexity of the	☐ Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error)		
workaround?	☐ Medium (moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of		
	human error in determining outcome)		
	☐ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of		
	human error in determining outcome)		
Change Prioritisation Score	26%		

Document Control

Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1	Draft	27/04/18	Anesu Chivenga	

