

Transmission Workgroup 7<sup>th</sup> September 2023



- This year's DSR reforms have been implemented following Ofgem approval of UNC Mods 0844 and 0845 plus consequential changes to the DSR Methodology
- Seeking continuous improvement, we have conducted a 'lessons learnt' exercise internally, reflecting both on the 'what' and the 'how' of this year's reform programme
- The following slides capture our views and we are keen enrich this by hearing from our customers and stakeholders too
- We will take the feedback and learning points on board
  - Specifically as we prepare to review certain aspects of the reforms and plan / work through the next set of DSR reform for 2024/25
  - Generally into the Markets team to inform best practice on other UNC Mods / projects

What went well?	Why?	Key learning points
Prioritisation of which reforms to deliver for winter 2023/24 and what should wait for 2024/25	<ul> <li>Use of UNC Request route (0835R) to get everything on the table, then discuss with industry what should then be included in 0844 and 0845</li> </ul>	UNC Request is a useful route where there are a lot of issues but the solutions are still unclear
Reforms implemented without using urgent mod route	Sufficient time and effective planning	<ul> <li>Identify issues and communicate plan early</li> </ul>
Timely Ofgem decisions on mods, methodology and derogations	<ul> <li>Early regulatory engagement, plan shared showing the date when decisions were requested</li> <li>Pragmatic approach (e.g. derogations rather than Licence change)</li> </ul>	Highlight 'critical path' early to relevant stakeholders
UNC Panel unanimous vote to recommend implementation of 0844 and 0845	<ul> <li>Nature of subject matter</li> <li>Effective development process</li> <li>Commitments to GDNs to work collaboratively on communication processes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Understand which bits of a Mod are important to which stakeholders and agree a plan to deliver on them</li> </ul>
No alternative mods were raised and we were able to agree with industry on almost everything	<ul> <li>Listened to and acted on concerns e.g. credit for Consumer DSR - discussion with EBCC and proposed a compromise position</li> </ul>	Continue to work collaboratively with all stakeholders during mod development

What went well?	Why?	Key learning points
Delivered progress and substantive change whilst recognising all the detail may not be perfect	Pragmatic and transparent about what could be achieved this year	<ul> <li>Our NGT value: 'Progress: keeping our eyes firmly on moving forward, taking accountability and making decisions in an agile way' is a good one</li> </ul>
Delivered direct contracting	<ul> <li>Challenged received wisdom and a previous NGT view</li> <li>Procured expert advice and shared with industry</li> <li>Provided assurance to industry that this would be ring-fenced to DSR only</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conventions can be challenged and viewed differently when context changes</li> <li>Bear in mind the 'flip-side' could be regulatory instability</li> </ul>
Complex legal drafting delivered on time	<ul><li>Expert legal support</li><li>Innovative approach</li></ul>	<ul> <li>If projects are to be delivered by more than one mod, ensure each is capable of stand- alone implementation</li> </ul>
Regular communications with consumers to share and gain feedback on UNC proposals	<ul> <li>Creation of effective communications channel with consumers and associations</li> <li>JO and ENA timely communications on our behalf</li> <li>Use of Slido to gain feedback on options</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use associations to help with communications e.g. Energy UK, EIUG, CIA, MEUC</li> <li>Share draft presentation material to enrich slidepacks and ensure no surprises</li> </ul>

What went well?	Why?	Key learning points
Tender documentation issued on time (even a little early)	<ul> <li>Effective internal team-working between Markets and Commercial and Incentives teams</li> </ul>	
Fuel-switching directions updated / expanded to reflect the DSR reforms	<ul> <li>Relationships with key officials in DESNZ, DEFRA and EA established last year as well as familiarisation with subject matter</li> </ul>	Some stakeholders would have welcomed clarity earlier

What did <u>not</u> work well?	Why?	What should we do differently
Negative feedback from one consumer about our issue of a DSR letter to MDs of heavy gas users	Failure to check in with working level contacts before issuing the letters	<ul> <li>Only send such mailshots to businesses with whom we do not have a working level arrangement already</li> <li>Test any plans for senior level engagement with any working level contacts first</li> </ul>
Zero responses to the DSR methodology consultation	<ul> <li>Crowded out by the 0844 and 0845 consultations?</li> <li>Perceived as less important than the mod consultations?</li> </ul>	Where a mod requires a methodology change, ensure this is clearly articulated in the mod and communicated in the Workgroups
NGT and industry were unable to agree which party should have the obligation to inform the shipper in case of Consumer DSR exercise.	Different views / perceptions of who is best placed to do this in principle and in practice	

#### **Customer and Stakeholder Feedback**

