

# xserve



respect > commitment > teamwork

## Project Nexus Workgroup

### AQ Issues

7<sup>th</sup> February 2012

# Introduction

- Xoserve is developing internal requirements based on the BRDs developed at PN UNC.
- First topic is Rolling AQ
- During the internal workshops a number of issues / questions have been raised
- These need to be discussed and conclusion agreed by PN UNC

# AQ Issues / Questions

1. Assumption: the ‘Spec Calc’ will not be required for ‘Rolling AQ’ as this is currently used for AQ Appeals & Amendments. Is this correct?
2. Assumption: only validated meter reads will be used for AQ calculation.
  - Issue for transition whereby 9 months of validated reads may not exist
3. Concerns raised regarding the use of estimated reads for AQ calculation
  - This contradicts the Rolling AQ concept & the business drivers/ goal for accurate AQ’ s
  - For Products 1, 2 & 3: actual daily reads will be loaded for the majority of sites/days therefore is there a need to use estimated reads for AQ calc?

**XXserve**



respect > commitment > teamwork

# AQ Issues / Questions cont.

4. Assumption: Requirements developed for rolling AQ assumes single metered Supply Points
5. Proposal: BRD states (8.3) where an 'Optimum Read' is not available: Look for read upto 36 months old, where no read available look for a more recent read upto a minimum of 9 months).
  - In order to calculate an AQ based on more recent reads would it be better to look for a more recent read first?
6. Possible Risk: An outgoing Shipper could submit reads upto transfer of ownership (D-1) which are incorrect and may affect the AQ for incoming Shipper.
7. Option to calculate the AQ throughout the month, as opposed to a set day in the month.
  - May mean more than 1 AQ notification during the month e.g. where reads are replaced or more than 1 read submitted during the month
  - However, this would provide time to replace or submit a new read

**Xserve**



respect > commitment > teamwork