UK LINK PROGRAMME DOCUMENT REVIEW SHEET

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Settlement BRD
Author:	Xoserve

REVIEWER DETAILS

Reviewers		Review Criteria	Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks
Mike Fensome @ npower			03/04/2015		
Leigh Chapman @ firswt-utility			07/04/2015		

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. 3 = Further review required.

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragraph	Bullet	Comment	Reviewer	Comments Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments	Response to Authors comments
4.4	4	1.2			Updates to the document refers to changes in sections 5.11.6, 5.11.7, 5.11.10 which do not exist. A new section 5.12 as been inserted which knocks out the numbering.	Mike Fensone	Accept	Thank you. References updated to 5.12.	
4.4	35	5.12			Although the new Section 5.12 helps to clarify its actually making it difficult to maintain traceability of all requirements.	Mike Fensone	Reject	The business rules for a Class Change as been removed from the original section 5.11 as the process is different for a Class change to a change to Meter Read Frequency & Batch. The two processes need to be seperated. Traceability will be maintained as all changes are tracked.	
4.4	13	2.1			The 'Summary of the 4 Meter Reading and Settlement Processes' table on page 14 (v4.4 of Settlement BRD) is no longer correct. For Product 4 the read submission deadline has changed from 25 calendar days to 25 business days as per section 5.17.3.	Leigh Chapman	Accept	Thank you. I shall correct the information in the table. It was changed from 'calendar days' to 'business days' to reflect the current timescales for submitting reads for NDM meter points.	

Document Review Sheet V2.0 19th December 2013

UK LINK PROGRAMME DOCUMENT REVIEW SHEET

Document Review Sheet V2.0 19th December 2013

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Reconciliation I
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers	Review Criteria

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. 3 = Further re

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragrap h	Bullet	Comment

<u>B</u>	R	D		

Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks

Reviewer	Comment s Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments

Response to Authors comments

Document for Review:	Project Nexus AQ BRD
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers	Review Criteria
Mike Fensome @ npower	

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. 3 = Further re

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragrap h	Bullet	Comment
5.5		8.8	8.6.3		Section states: An AQ Correction can only be raised calculation of the AQ except where an AQ was una calculated due to the meter read failing the Outer Market Breaker) validation. Question: Does this mean you have resolved the is been discussing at PNUNC whereby you couldn't secorrection if it failed market breaker but the AQ habeen calculated?

Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks
16/05/2015		

	Reviewer	Comment s Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments
I following a ble to be Tolerance (sue we have end an AQ dn't previously	Mike Fensome	Reject	Where a read has failed the Outer Tolerance (Market Breaker) but the read is correct an AQ Correction needs to be raised to amend the AQ to enable reads to be accepted. The issue discussed at PN UNC is the read submitted will still not be accepted as the AQ used to validate the read will be the AQ effective on the date of the read. A new read will need to be obtained & submitted with a read date equal to or later than the AQ effective date.

Response	to Authors	comments	

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Supply Point Regis
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers		Review Criteria
Emily Wells @ Corona Energy		

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. <math>3 = Further re

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragrap h	Bullet	Comment
3.5	19	8.1			Text states that the last Check Read will be issued to the new Shipper has been deleted however the Read date is included in the MRI file formats.

BRD)
	BRD

Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks
28/04/2015		

	Reviewer	Comment s Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments
in the MRI file last Check	Emily Wells	Accept	Apologies the statement in the BRD is incorrect and this will be updated in version 3.6 of the BRD. As you state, the date of the last Check Read will be issued to the new Shipper in the MRI file. The MIN file is used to notify the registered shipper 1 month before the Check Read is due.

Response to Authors comments

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Retrospective Upda
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers	Review Criteria
Emily Wells @ Corona Energy	

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. <math>3 = Further re

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragrap h	Bullet	Comment
4.2	25	8.2.7			This requirement and discussions at previous UKLII will not be possible to submit retrospective update however, the RTO file formats do suggest a remove (TRANS line REMVE is an option)

tes	B	R	D

Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks
28/04/2015		

Reviewer	Comment	v	Accepted	/Rejected	Author Comments
Emile Wells					An asset can not be removed (unless part of an exchange) for a date prior to actual reads recorded on UKLink. However, the REMVE is still required on the RTO file to allow the registered Shipper to remove an asset in a previous Shippers ownership. The update will only be accepted where no actual reads are recorded and the transfer read was estimated.
					Emile Wells

Response to Authors comments	

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Invoicing BR
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers	Review Criteria

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. <math>3 = Further re

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragrap h	Bullet	Comment

D		

Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks

Reviewer	Comment s Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments

Response to Authors comments

Document for Review:	Project Nexus Transition BRD
Author:	Xoserve

Reviewers	Review Criteria	Date	Outcome (1,2,3)	Remarks
Sue Cropper, British Gas		23/06/2015		
Project Nexus Workgroup		15/07/2015		
Sue Cropper, British Gas		28/07/2015		

Outcome: 1 = Accept. 2 = Accept with minor comments. No further review required. 3 = Further review required.

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragraph	Bullet	Comment	Reviewer	Comments Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments	Response to Authors comments
1.2		5.1			The last bullet point that has been recently added, at PNUNC on 15 July it was said that as the system will be suspended, by default the C&D obligations will be suspended for the appropriate number of days too. This reads to be the opposite, can you clarify please	BG	Accept	Removed from the document as C&D obligations should not be referenced in a UNC document.	
1.2		7.1			The last but one bullet on changing class post go live. The legal text 5.2.8 clarifies this in more detail than the BRD, suggest expand the BRD explanation as this is the document that people will be referring to.	BG	Accept	Document updated	
1.2		7.11			Meter Readings, there appears to be a lot more detail in the legal text, section 7.4 than in the BRD. Given that strictly speaking the legal text should be based on the BRD I would anticipate and suggest that more detail be added to the BRD.	BG	Accept	Updated relevant section of the document. Some parts of the legal text under 7.4 is covered in the BRD in Section 7.8	
1.2		7.1.3			Dead to Live, can this be made clearer on what the transition impacts are please i.e. an MPR that is DE before go live can be made LI after go live, as opposed to an MPR that is made DE after go live.	BG	Accept	Document updated	
1.2					Do we need something on AUG? There is something in the legal text, although see my note below on this.	BG		Passed to Dentons	
Legal Text					there are numerous date references in sections 5,6 and 7 and I would suggest a thorough review	BG	Accept	Updated	

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragraph	Bullet	Comment	Reviewer	Comments Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments	Response to Authors comments
Legal Text		3.6			AUG Given the implementation of Mod 473, does this legal text still work? I'm not sure and would welcome an experts view	BG		Passed to Dentons	
Legal Text					Do we need anything adding to cover the C&D obligations mentioned in the BRD under section 5.1, I'm not sure whether these sit in UNC though so will be guided by the experts	BG		Passed to Dentons	
Legal Text					A section on MPRN lifecycle has been added to the BRD under section 7.13, and see above note on 7.1.3. Do we need some legal text adding?	BG		Passed to Dentons	
1.1		N/A			Include MPRN Creation arrangements	PN UNC	Accept	Document updated	
1.1		N/A			Include arrangements for address updates	PN UNC	Accept	Document updated	
1.1		N/A			Add assumption regarding DMSP activities	PN UNC	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1.1		N/A			Add assumption regarding C&D obligations on the Shipper & GT	PN UNC	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1.1		N/A			Include arrangements for RGMA updates	PN UNC	Accept	Document updated	
1.1		N/A			Include list of invoices that will be issued in old file formats after Go Live	PN UNC	Accept	Document updated	
1.1		7.1			Update section regarding CMS activities	PN UNC	Accept	Document updated	
1			All		The document assumes and references a 1 October go live date so will need to be updated once a new date is agreed.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Enquiries		Need clarification on "in flight" web requests, can phone calls continue during non effective days?	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Nominations		Will we be notified of a lapsed nomination? Needs to be clear and explicit in the document.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Offers		Will we receive any notification of live offers being invalidated, needs to be clear.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Referrals		"Any Referrals outstanding as a result of a Supply Point Nomination at Go Live will be cancelled and will not be migrated over" Will Shippers receive a cancellation flow. If so when and in what format	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Confirmation		Not clear whether in flight conformations with an effective date pre go live but in the non effective days will be processed.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Confirmation		Final bullet point around class change and registered Shipper sending a confirmation doesn't make sense at all, need clarification.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragraph	Bullet	Comment	Reviewer	Comments Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments	Response to Authors comments
1		7.2	Supply Point Confirmation		No rules defined for confirmation cancellations	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Confirmation		No rules for transfer effective flow	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Confirmation		"All In-Flight Confirmations, accepted pre Go Live with an effective date post Go Live, will continue to be processed and will be effective and categorised under the default Class (see section 7.1 Supply Point Category)." Will Shippers receive a response be it acceptance or rejection prior to the non-effective days starting? So if we send a confirmation on D-1 will we receive a response the same day? I am not sure that this is the case? If not will we get the acceptance after the non effective days and in what format.	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1			Supply Point Confirmation		It appears that you cannot have a confirmation effective date during the non effective days for GT supplires but that you can for iGT supplies. Is this correct.	BG	Accept	A Confirmation can be effective on a 'non-effective day'.	
1		7.2	Supply Point Opening Reads		Not clear what happens to in flight opening reads.	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		7.2	Supply Point Opening Reads		Are non effective days treated as non working days.	BG	Reject	See Modification 0528	
1		7.2	Supply Point Opening Reads		What are the rules for flows associated with opening read submission e.g. acceptance flow.	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1		N/A	Ceased Responsibility		Ceased responsibility flow not captured CFR.S88	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		N/A	Withdrawals		Nothing captured for withdrawals CFR.S10	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		N/A	Voluntary Withdrawals		No rules defined for voluntary withdrawals	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		N/A	MRI, Meter Read		No rules for MRI (meter read instructions) flow	BG	Accept	BRD updated	
1		N/A	Connections and		Need to understand what rules will be applied around compliance to C&D obligations	BG	Accept	No change to the existing obligations	
1		N/A	Erroneous Transfers		Rules for managing Erroneous Transfers	BG	Reject	Inter Shipper Dispute process	
1		N/A	Query Management		Will need the rules for the various query types in CMS	BG	Reject	Section 7.10	
1		N/A	N/A		For all files/transactions sent to Xoserve on the last day before go-live, will responses be created by Xoserve for all, and be sent back to the Shipper in the pre-Nexus format. This would include files/transactions sent just before go-live cut-off.	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	

BRD Version	Page	Section	Paragraph	Bullet	Comment	Reviewer	Comments Accepted /Rejected	Author Comments	Response to Authors comments
1		N/A	N/A		What is the latest time Xoserve will return responses to Shippers on the last day before the non effective days	BG	Reject	Modification 0528	
1		N/A	N/A		For all files/transactions sent from Xoserve on the last day before go-live, will Xoserve accept and process responses sent	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1		N/A	N/A		What is the latest time the Shipper can return the responses by	BG	Reject	Modification 0528	
1		N/A	N/A		If flows miss the last batch before NEXUS then will: • Unchanged flows be processed post NEXUS? • Changed flows be rejected post NEXUS as invalid?	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1		N/A	N/A		What, if anything, will be reported to or sent to Shippers for files/transactions not processed at migration	BG	Accept	Updated in assumptions	
1		N/A	N/A		Can Xoserve produce a generic timeline (similar to one produced for iGT) that illustrates from pre-'Go Live Date'	BG	Reject	This will need to be done with Modification 0528	
1		N/A	N/A		What would happen to the daily MDR data logger readings during the non effecive day period, would we still receive them	BG	Reject	Modification 0528	
1		N/A	N/A		We would suggest that the transition documentation should detail every flow so we can be assured that we treat them	BG	Reject	This will be done with Modification 0528	
1		N/A	N/A		We would like to see some explantory text indicating the customer impacts particularly with regard to Change of Supplier and Objections scenarios.	BG	Reject	This will be done with Modification 0528	