XOserve

Communication Ref : CB/981/DA
Subject : COR962.1 - Representation Matrix following Communication CB/978/DA and Amended Implementation Summary – M Number Creation (MNC) Process Format Change

Colleague,

Following the issue of the attached communication a number of UKLink Members and User organisations highlighted an alternative solution option that reduces the level of change within their systems. Please find attached a representation matrix with the response received.

This solution option was discussed at the ConQuest External User Group on 25th May 2010. At this group, I was asked by a number of UK Link Committee Members and system users to revise the Implementation Summary for the above change, to have a single concatenated file format for all operational contacts (i.e. excluding filter failures and adjustment requests). This approach is similar to the existing *.QMP input file format which currently has 95 fields, and defined conditionality dependant upon the contact code being raised.

xoserve is able to accommodate this request, however, in order to provide the maximum notice period to Users the attached template will be provided with each process conditionality. It is intended that this template will be used to seek formal UKLink Committee Approval for each process, prior to the finalised concatenated format being published at the end of the consultation period for all processes. The completed template will be published to assist users with the conditionality for this record, but the final format will reflect the standard UKLink file format conventions.

The attached template is provided with the M Number Creation (MNC) data included, and shows the fields that will be required for users to populate when submitting a MNC contact code.

As additional processes are added – at the conclusion of the CEUG business / technical representation period – these will be added to the template, so that users are able to see the likely final optionality. An example process is added to the right of the COR962.1 formats for illustration only – this is roughly based on an existing process (PRS) that will be issued for representation following conclusion of our analysis.

This revised summary is provided following comments received from Users, so it is my intention to seek formal approval at the UKLink Committee on 10th June for the MNC process data items. At this meeting we will also discuss the template, to ensure that this provides all relevant information to Users.

Should you have any questions or comments in advance of the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

David Addison
Project Manager
xoserve Projects & Change Management
31, Homer Road, Solihull, B91 3LT.
0121 623 2741
mailto:david.addison@xoserve.com

If you have any comments, concerns or issues with this email, please contact uklink@xoserve.com

P Save Paper – Do you really need to print this email?

CB978DA_R....pdf (22.0 KB)

COR962.1 - MNC - Request to Create a Meter Point Reference / SAMPLE PROCESS FOR ILLUSTRATION ONLY

Field Definition columns: Type (T/N/D/M), Length, Decimals.

Field Name	Type	Len	Dec	Current QMP Optionality	Future QMP Optionality* Subject to change until end of D&M	Current MNC Contact Code Optionality	Future Business Comm MNC Contact Code Optionality	Future Technical Comm MNC Contact Code Optionality	Description - Information related to Optionality for relevant Process Flow	Current PRS Contact Optionality	Future Business Comm PRS Contact Code Optionality	Future Technical Comm MNC Contact Code Optionality	Description.
Transaction Type	T	3	0	M		M	not applicable	M		M	N/A	X	
Stakeholder Type	T	30	0	M		M	not applicable	X	Derived from source system	M	N/A	X	Derived from source system
Stakeholder ID	T	8	0	C		M	not applicable	X	Previously provided - numeric Organisation Code. Will be derived from Originator Code.	CM	N/A	M	Must be provided to denote the Organisation on whose behalf the contact is being raised.
Stakeholder Personnel's Name	T	60	0	M		M	not applicable	O	If raised via screen, will be derived.	M	N/A	O	If raised via screen, will be derived.
Shipper Short Code Originator Code	T	3	0	M		X	not referenced	M	Revised Field Name - Must be provided to denote the Organisation on whose behalf the contact is being raised. For Shippers this will remain as the Shipper Short Code.				
Q System User ID	T	[20]	0				M	M	New Field - If raised via screen, will be derived. Required when contact raised using file interfaces.		M	M	New Field - If raised via screen, will be derived. Required when contact raised using file interfaces.
Contact Category	T	3	0	X		M	not applicable	X	Not required - Contacts won't be categorised as either INV or OPS in the Q system	M	N/A	X	Not required - Contacts won't be categorised as either INV or OPS in the Q system
Contact Code	T	3	0	M		M	M	M		M	M	M	
Top 50 flag	T	1	0	O		O	O	O		O	O	O	
Domestic vs Industrial Indicator	T	1	0	C		M	not applicable	X	Data will be derived from source.	M	N/A	X	Data will be derived from source.
Stakeholder Reference Identifier	T	30	0	O		O	not applicable	O	Unique Reference provided by user. If provided will be provided in response files.	O	N/A	O	Unique Reference provided by user. If provided will be provided in response files.
Response (Source) Channel	T	50	0	O						O	N/A	X	
Contact Explanation	T	2000	0	M		M	not applicable	X		M	M	M	PRS - Must be provided.
Project Code	T	10	0	C									
Party Requesting Project	T	60	0	C									
Entry Zone	T	3	0	C									
Exit Zone	T	3	0	C									
Charge item amount in dispute	N	12	2	C									
Charge Type amount in dispute	N	12	2	C									
Charge Type Withheld Amount	N	12	2	C									
Charge Item Reference Number	N	9	0	C									
Charge Item Withheld Amount	N	12	2	C									
Charge type code	T	3	0	C									
Invoice number	N	6	0	C									
Stakeholder Personnel's E-mail Address	T	60	0	C		CM	not applicable	X	Will be derived from Security information held in relation to the User Id.	CM	M	X	Will be derived from Security information held in relation to the User Id.
Confirmation Number	N	9	0	C						CM	M	M	
Meter Point Reference Number	N	10	0	C		X	not referenced	O	MNC - May be provided by Shippers, where known.	M	CM	C	PRS - Either MPRN or MSN is required
Meter Point AQ	N	12	0	C		CM	M	M					
Invoice Type	T	3	0	C									
BTU Forms/Bills Flag	T	1	0	C		CM	not applicable	X					
Stakeholder Personnel Fax Number	T	20	0	O						O	N/A	X	
Stakeholder Personnel Telephone Number	T	20	0	O						O	N/A	X	
Current Address Building Number	N	4	0	C		CM	CM	C	MNC; PRS - Must be provided, unless Building Name Provided.	N/A	CM	C	PRS - Must be provided, unless Building Name Provided.
Current Address Sub Building Name	T	30	0	O		O	O	O		N/A	O	O	
Current Address Building Name	T	50	0	C		CM	CM	C	MNC ; PRS - Must be provided, unless Building Number Provided.	N/A	CM	C	PRS - Must be provided, unless Building Number Provided.
Current Address Principal Street	T	35	0	O		O	M	M		N/A	M	M	
Current Address Dependent Street	T	35	0	O						N/A	O	O	
Current Address Dependant Locality	T	35	0	O		O	O	O		N/A	O	O	
Current Address Post Town	T	35	0	O		O	M	M					
Current Postcode	T	8	0	C		M	M	M		M	M	M	
Access Information	T	210	0	C		M	not applicable	X					
End User Contact Name	T	45	0	C		M	not applicable	X					
End User Telephone Number	T	15	0	C		M	not applicable	X					
Preferred Time to Call (HH:MM)	M	[6]	0	O									
Logical Meter Number	T	10	0	C									
Meter Serial Number	T	14	0	C		M	not applicable	X	PRS - Either MPRN or MSN is required	N/A	CM	C	PRS - Either MPRN or MSN is required
Meter Location	N	2	0	C		M	not applicable	X	PRS - Required in order to pinpoint for the engineer as to where the meter is located	N/A	M	M	PRS - Required in order to pinpoint for the engineer as to where the meter is located
Meter make	T	40	0	O									
Meter model	T	10	0	O									
Alternative Address Building Name	T	50	0	O									
Alternative Address Sub Building Name	T	30	0	O									
Alternative Address Building Number	N	4	0	O									
Alternative Address Principal Street	T	35	0	O									
Alternative Address Dependent Street	T	35	0	O									
Alternative Address Dependent Locality	T	35	0	O									
Alternative Address Post Town	T	35	0	O									
Alternative Address Postcode	T	8	0	O									
Any Meter Reads Outside SPA	T	1	0	O									
OPNN Readings	T	12	0	O									
Input File Name	T	20	0	C									
Date Received	D	8	0	C									
Response File Name	T	20	0	C									
Rejection Code	T	8	0	O									
Date Sent	D	8	0	C									
Does shipper hold valid contract	T	1	0	O									
ISD Resolved Flag	T	1	0	O									
ISD Contact	T	30	0	O									
ISD Shipper	T	20	0	O									
ISD Tel	T	20	0	O									
Maps/Additional Paper Information Flag	T	1	0	O						O	O	O	
Site Configuration	T	50	0	O									
MPRN2	N	10	0	O									
MPRN3	N	10	0	O									
Charge Item Code	T	1	0	C									
LDZ	T	2	0	C									
Gas Field Day	D	8	0	C									
Multiple Meter Points Back-Up Identifier Flag	T	1	0	C									
Job Reference Number	N	10	0	C									
Do Allegations Require Emergency Job	T	1	0	C									
Type of Theft	T	37	0	C									
Person Received Call	T	45	0	O									
Location of Person who Received Call	T	12	0	O									
Telephone No. of Person who Received Call	T	15	0	O									
Date Call Received	D	8	0	O									
Time Call Received	T	5	0	O									
2 - Meter Serial Number	T	14	0	O									
3 - Meter Serial Number	T	14	0	O									
Estimate of Amount OF ANY Gas Illegally Taken (kWh)	N	10	0	O									
Name of Informant	T	45	0	O									
Informant Address Building Number	N	4	0	O									
Informant Sub Building Name	T	30	0	O									
Informant Building Name	T	50	0	O									
Informant Address Principal Street	T	35	0	O									
Informant Address Dependent Street	T	35	0	O									
Informant Address Dependent Locality	T	35	0	O									
Informant Postcode	T	8	0	O									
Informant Telephone Number	T	15	0	O									
Service Type	**[T]**	**[1]**	**0**			X	M	M	**New Field:- MNC - Required to provide.**				
Delivery Point Alias	**T**	**[50]**	**0**			X	O	O	**New Field:- MNC - Required to provide.**				
Quotation System Reference Number	**[T]**	**[15]**	**0**			X	X	X	**New Field:- MNC - Only relevant for UIPs to provide.**				
[Requesting Shipper Name]	**T**	**[50]**	**0**			X	X	X	**New Field:- MNC - Only relevant for UIPs to provide.**				
Contact Person Name	**T**	**[75]**	**0**							X	M	M	**New Field:- PRS - Required to provide.**
Contact Persons Phone Number	**[T]**	**[20]**	**0**							X	M	M	**New Field:- PRS - Required to provide.**
Availability Information - Free Text	**T**	**[2000]**	**0**							X	O	O	**New Field:- PRS - Date/Time suitable for site visit to take place**
MPRN Link Code Status (claimed)	**T**	**[2]**	**0**							X	M	M	**New Field:- PRS - Required to provide.**

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	xoserve Comments
EDF Energy	Ashley Collins	26/5/2010	Reject	Yes	EDFE will support the QMP file as a generic record for all relevant contact types if issued via IX albeit with redundant fields identified and removed and new fields added subject to review. If the contact is raised via the web however for ease of use only the relevant fields should be visible for the user to populate. This could be introduced by means of a drop down for the contact code. The EFT will need to look at to see which category it fits in with, i.e. if multiple different contacts are added to the EFT form it may not be viable to reduce the fields dependant on contact type so all fields would need to be visible as per the IX QMP. We believe the EFT form should be updated by xoserve in line with any changes to file formats to ensure that any uploaded file will not fail validation. For ease of distribution that latest version could be published on the xoserve website for users to locate and download themselves meaning xoserve just notify the UK Link members of a new version. This could also be indicated within you online training guides. We noted your concerns regarding UK Link members supporting file changes with less than 6 months due to prior notices going through CEUG to develop the files, however we agree with Centrica in that without visibility of a final file type and its records you should record it as a risk to your implementation plan as some UK Link members may not be able to book in IT changes without knowing what they are actually changing up front	Thank you for your comments. The proposed file format issued in the above communication will be amended following feedback from UK Link Members. We will revise the implementation summary to provide a generic record. Where the Q screens are used to raise the query only the relevant fields will be visible. The EFT template to which you refer is intended only to be a temporary measure to assist user transition to the new system – but your comments are noted regarding the functionality, and the longevity of this being available. We will consider these points as we progress through development. I note your comments regarding the timescales. I propose a wider discussion at the UKLink Committee.

		regardless of a work in progress at CEUG. Moving forward we believe it would be beneficial to bottom out the changes to the QMP and other conquest files asap in order to meet with a 2010 rollout of phase 1.