***Change Proposal***

**EU Gas Change Roadmap - 2018/19**

**Feasibility and Analysis**

**Mod reference *(where applicable): N/A***

**CDSP Reference: CP4262**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Document Stage | Version | Date | Author | Status |
| ROM Request / Change Proposal | 1.0 | 25/4/17 | Beverley Viney | Submitted to Change Committee |
| ROM Response |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome | 1.0 | 11/05/17 | Change Management Committee | Approved by Change Committee |
| EQR | 1.0 | 30/05/2017 | Xoserve | Submitted to Change Committee |
| Change Management Committee Outcome |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| BER |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| CCR |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome |  |  |  | Choose an item. |

***Document Purpose***

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Section*** | ***Title*** | ***Responsibility*** |
| 1 | Proposed Change | Proposer / Mod Panel |
| 2 | ROM Request / Change Proposal | Proposer / Mod Panel |
| 3 | ROM Request Rejection | CDSP |
| 4 | Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis | CDSP |
| 5 | Change Proposal: Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 6 | EQR: Change Proposal Rejection | CDSP |
| 7 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date | CDSP |
| 8 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR) | CDSP |
| 9 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 10 | Business Evaluation Report (BER) | CDSP |
| 11 | Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 12 | Change Completion Report (CCR) | CDSP |
| 13 | Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 14 | Document Template Version History | CDSP |
| ***Appendix*** | | |
| A1 | Glossary of Key Terms | N/A |

# *Section 1: Proposed Change*

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Originator Details** | | | | |
| **Submitted By** | Chris Gumbley | | **Contact Number** | 07816341177 / 01926 654071 |
| **Email Address** | [Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com](mailto:Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com) |
| **Customer Representative** | Beverley Viney | | **Contact Number** | 01926 653547 |
| **Email Address** | [Beverley.viney@nationalgrid.com](mailto:Beverley.viney@nationalgrid.com) |
| **Subject Matter Expert/Network Lead** | Chris Gumbley | | **Contact Number** | 07816341177 / 01926 654071 |
| **Email Address** | [Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com](mailto:Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com) |
| **Customer Class** | | Shipper  National Grid Transmission  Distribution Network Operator  iGT | | |
| **Overview of proposed change** | | | | |
| **Change Details** | | The priority of this Change Order Request is high. National Grid is legally obligated to be compliant with the EU Capacity Allocation Mechanism (CAM), EU Tariff (TAR) Network Codes and the UNC. Building on the lessons learnt from previous EU projects, National Grid has looked to undertake opportunities to analyse EU scope with suppliers at the earliest opportunity.  National Grid requires Feasibility and Analysis (F & A) to be undertaken to assess the impacts to Gemini and associated ‘downstream systems’ from the changes introduced by the latest EU CAM and TAR code amendments, the GB Charging Regime options and the latest PRISMA schema upgrade, that have been captured in the attached Roadmap.  [Note: ‘Downstream systems’ refer to those NG systems managed by NGIS and therefore NGIS input and support maybe required to assess this change order.]  This F & A stage should drive the scope of potential options for full project delivery and implementation in 2018 and 2019 and options should consider a phased implementation approach to ensure compliance in 2018 and 2019 respectively. The current understanding is that the amendments to the CAM Code become legally binding on National Grid by the indicative dates identified in the attached Roadmap.  The changes are required to be delivered to meet both the stated EU Code obligation start dates and where applicable, to align with key NG internal process dates.  **2018/19 Roadmap**  **Change Overview:**  The expectation is that the “Feasibility and Analysis stage” will last for a maximum of 6 weeks with the meeting scheduled and output requirements such as approved documentation should be agreed prior to commencing the F&A. National Grid SO, National Grid IS and Xoserve should ensure the correct knowledgeable resources attend and provide the desired information to support the effective completion of these sessions.  The expected output from the F & A is:   * Business Requirements and Assumptions Document (NG document, Xoserve input) * Solutions Options Analysis document (XO document, NG input) * High Level Estimate (HLE) of the total cost for implementing a full solution delivery (Xoserve delivery) for 2018 and 2019 in a phased delivery plan. * Base-lined Project Plan(s) (Xoserve and NGIS delivery)   Analysis of this change order should provide:   * Detailed plan for the Feasibility and Analysis phase, including a timeline and specifics related to the analysis workshop schedule, document reviews and approvals * Detailed delivery timeline * Fixed firm costs for delivery. * An estimate of required NG business resource input * An estimate of required NGIS resource input * Indication of impacts on associated DN & Shipper systems and recommendations on engagement approach, * Recommended delivery approach, including testing approach * Indicative view of NG business and NG IS resource requirements for Delivery Phase. * Initial view of project risks and dependencies.   Review of the E-Learning training package in relation to EU change to Gemini | | |
| **Reason(s) for proposed service change** | | The European Parliament and the council of the European Union (EU) have introduced a third Energy Package that included the creation of the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSOG) and the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER). One of the tasks of ENTSOG is to prepare EU Network Codes to enable progress towards a competitive and efficient internal European market in gas. The Network Codes are reviewed by ACER, with the finalised codes subsequently included (by the European Commission) into EU law and thus the codes become binding on Member States of the EU and of Member States of the European Economic Area.  Each EU Network Code (CMP, CAM, Balancing, Interoperability and Tariffs) contains requirements for translation into the GB regime; however it is for Member States to decide (in conjunction with neighbouring states) what the logical grouping of implementation phases should be in order to achieve compliance.  Each phase will be associated with a particular IS release plan and associated UNC, Licence and process changes, stakeholder engagement plan (including TSO / TSO and Customer), customer contract changes, financial and people / training plan.  This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.  National Grid has a legal obligation to sell Interconnection Point capacity on a “Joint Booking Platform”, as outlined in the EU Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code. National Grid discharges this obligation through the sale of capacity on the PRISMA platform. National Grid is a shareholder of PRISMA. The obligation to sell Capacity on the PRISMA Joint Booking Platform was transposed into the Uniform Network Code through Modification 500.  The relevant sections from the UNC are included below:  European Interconnection Document, Section B  1.6.2 “PRISMA European Capacity Platform is designated as Capacity Platform Operator to all Interconnection Points”  1.6.13 “National Grid NTS shall:  (a) in connection with Auctions of Interconnection Point Capacity:  (i) send to the Capacity Platform Operator the information necessary to enable the Capacity Platform Operator to publish Auction Information;”  PRISMA release yearly updates to its platform and messaging schema. TSO’s are only allowed to use the current version, or the previous version of the schema.  National Grid currently uses PRISMA schema version 6.4.1  PRISMA schema version 7.1 was implemented in October 16 and the EU Phase 4 a project will ensure compatibility with this version.  PRISMA schema version 7.2 is expected to be implemented in October 17 and the feasibility and analysis for the 2018/19 Roadmap should incorporate the next upgrade and a strategy for being fully aligned with each PRISMA upgrade in the future.  National Grid is aware of changes to Interface 7 file (setting up auctions) where four additional fields are to be added to the file meaning that from October 2017 the interface between Gemini and PRISMA will fail. Without action National Grid will be in breach of the UNC and EU legislation or require a round the clock resource to manually set-up auctions on PRISMA on an hourly basis.  Whilst the Business driver for the change is to initiate a project to ensure National Grid stay compliant with National and European legislation, it is also proposed to use this opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. | | |
| **Status of related UNC Mod** | | N/A | | |
| **Full title of related UNC Mod** | | N/A | | |
| **Benefits of change** | | This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade. | | |
| **Required Change Implementation Date** | |  | | |
| **Please provide an assessment of the priority of this change from the perspective of the industry.** | | High  Medium  Low  Rationale for assessment: | | |

# *Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Level of Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested** | **Evaluation Services**  Initial Assessment *(Mod related changes only)*  ROM estimate for Analysis and Delivery  **CDSP Change Services**  Firm Quote for Analysis  Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery |
| **Has any initial assessment been performed in support of this change?** | Yes  No |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Is this considered to be a Priority Service Change?** | Yes (Mod Related)  Yes (Legislation Change Related)  No |
| **Is this change considered to relate to a ‘restricted class’ of customers?**  Consider if the particular change is only likely to impact those who fall under a particular customer class  If it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose ‘No’. | Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)  No  -----------------------------------------------------------------------------  Shippers  National Grid Transmission  Distribution Network Operators  iGT’s |
| **Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any other customer classes?**  Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an ‘adverse impact’ | Yes (please give details)  No |
| ***General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)*** | |
| 1. Customer view of impacted service area(s)   For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the ‘Charge Base Apportionment Table’ within the [Budget and Charging Methodology](http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/BUDGET-AND-CHARGING-METHODOLOGY.pdf). Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter ‘unknown’ if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the ‘split’ against each service area. | |
| 20 | |
| 1. If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line: | |
|  | |
| ***Specific Service Changes Only:*** | |
| Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges. | |
|  | |
| Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service. | |
|  | |
| **Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats** | |
| None expected | |
| **Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b** | |
| None expected | |
| **Impacts to Gemini System** | |
| **This F & A would help define this** | |
| **Please give any other relevant information.** | |
| **Change Overview:**  The expectation is that the “Feasibility and Analysis stage” will last for a maximum of 6 weeks with the meeting scheduled and output requirements such as approved documentation should be agreed prior to commencing the F&A. National Grid SO, National Grid IS and Xoserve should ensure the correct knowledgeable resources attend and provide the desired information to support the effective completion of these sessions.  The expected output from the F & A is:   * Business Requirements and Assumptions Document (NG document, Xoserve input) * Solutions Options Analysis document (XO document, NG input) * High Level Estimate (HLE) of the total cost for implementing a full solution delivery (Xoserve delivery) for 2018 and 2019 in a phased delivery plan. * Base-lined Project Plan(s) (Xoserve and NGIS delivery)   Analysis of this change order should provide:   * Detailed plan for the Feasibility and Analysis phase, including a timeline and specifics related to the analysis workshop schedule, document reviews and approvals * Detailed delivery timeline * Fixed firm costs for delivery. * An estimate of required NG business resource input * An estimate of required NGIS resource input * Indication of impacts on associated DN & Shipper systems and recommendations on engagement approach, * Recommended delivery approach, including testing approach * Indicative view of NG business and NG IS resource requirements for Delivery Phase. * Initial view of project risks and dependencies.   Review of the E-Learning training package in relation to EU change to Gemini | |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Xoserve Portfolio Office | changeorders@xoserve.com |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced? | Yes  No |
| If no, please define the additional details that are required. |  |

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee

# *Section 4: ROM Analysis*

This ROM is Xoserve’s response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators’ Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

|  |
| --- |
| ROM Analysis |
| **Change Assessment**  High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable |
| **Change Impact:**  Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:   * a restricted class change, * a priority service change * an adverse impact on any customer classes |
| **Change Costs (implementation):**  An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified |
| **Change Costs (on-going):**  The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges |
| **Timescales:**  Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.  Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X. |
| **Assumptions:**  Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale |
| **Dependencies:**  Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes |
| **Constraints:**  Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Xoserve Portfolio Office | changeorders@xoserve.com |
| Requesting Party | As specified in ROM Request |

# *Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested | Approved 11/05/17 | | |
| Approved Change Proposal version | V1.0 | | |
| The change proposal shall not proceed | Na | | |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting | Na | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal: | na | | |
| Updates required: | | | |

# *Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Proposal Rejection | | | | |
| × | **Yes** |  | **No** | Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced?  If no, please provide further details below. |
| Further details required: | | | | |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Notification of EQR Delivery Date | |
| Original EQR delivery date: | 31/05/2017 |
| Revised EQR delivery date: |  |
| Rationale for revision of delivery date: |  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project Manager | **Jessica Harris** | Contact Number | 0121 623 2632 |
| Email Address | [Jessica.harris@xoserve.com](mailto:Jessica.harris@xoserve.com) |
| Project Lead | **Hannah Reddy** | Contact Number | 0121 623 2826 |
| Email Address | [Hannah.Reddy@xoserve.com](mailto:Hannah.Reddy@xoserve.com) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on:   1. CDSP Service Description 2. CDSP Systems | None identified |
| Approximate timescale for delivery of ‘business evaluation report’  (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.) | 20th June, 2017 |
| Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation  This can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. *‘at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx’*. | This is a zero cost EQR. |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Restricted class change’ assessment (where provided)?  Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | Yes  No (please give detail below) |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Adverse Impact’ assessment (where provided)?  Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | Yes  No (please give detail below) |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Priority Service Change’ assessment (where provided)?  Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | Yes  No (please give detail below) |
| **General service changes** | |
| Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas?  This should refer to whether the proposing party considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area. | Yes  No (please give detail below) |
|  |
| **Specific service changes** | |
| Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges?  This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges. | Yes  No (please give detail below) |
| Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal |  |
| EQR validity period: | 30 days |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The EQR is approved |  | | | |
| Approved EQR version |  | | | |
| The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse |  | | | |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting |  | | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires updates to the EQR: |  | | | |
| Updates required: |  | | | |
| **General service changes only**  (The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the change proposal and potentially commented upon in the subsequent EQR) | | | | |
| 1. Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service line belongs and the weighting of the impact? | | Yes  No | | |
| 1. If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting: | |  | | |
| **Specific service changes only**  (The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the Change Proposal and potentially commented upon in the subsequent EQR) | | | | |
| 1. Please confirm the methodology for the determination of Specific Service Change charges | |  | | |
| 1. Please confirm the charging measure and charging period for the determination of Specific Service Change charges | |  | | |

# *Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)*

|  |
| --- |
| **Change Implementation Detail** |
| 1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description |
|  |
| 2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link |
|  |
| 3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual |
|  |
| 4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP |
|  |
| 5.) Implementation Plan |
|  |
| 6.) Estimated implementation costs |
|  |
| 6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes?  (General Service Changes only) |
| Please mark % against each customer class:   |  |  | | --- | --- | |  | National Grid Transmission | |  | Distribution Network Operators and IGT’s | |  | DN Operator | |  | IGT’s | |  | Shippers | | 100% |  | |
| 7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges |
|  |
| 8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service. |
|  |
| ***Implementation Options*** |
| Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:  This should include:  (i) a description of each Implementation Option;  (ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option  (iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option |
|  |
| Restricted Class Changes only  Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an ‘Adverse Impact’ on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? |
| Yes (please give detail below)  No |
| Dependencies: |
|  |
| Constraints: |
|  |
| Benefits: |
|  |
| Impacts: |
|  |
| Risks: |
|  |
| Assumptions: |
|  |
| Information Security: |
|  |
| Out of scope: |
|  |
| Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change: |
|  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The BER is approved and the change can proceed |  | | |
| ***Modification Changes Only***  Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date | | | |
| Approved BER version |  | | |
| The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse |  | | |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting |  | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires updates to the BER: |  | | |
| Updates required: | | | |

# *Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Overview | | | |
| Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP.  Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date | | | |
| Please detail any differences between the solution that was implemented and what was defined in the BER. | | | |
|  | | | |
| Detail the revised text of the CDSP Service Description reflecting the change that has been made | | | |
|  | | | |
| Were there any revisions to the text of the UK Link Manual? | | | |
| Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link manual below)  No | | | |
| Proposed Commencement Date |  | Actual  Commencement Date |  |
| Please provide an explanation of any variance | | | |
| Please detail the main lessons learned from the project | | | |
|  | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| Service change costs |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Approved Costs (£) |  | Actual Costs (£) |  |   Reasons for variance between approved and actual costs: |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved |  | | | |
| Approved CCR version |  | | | |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting |  | | Date of later meeting: |  |
| The committee requires further information |  | | | |
| Further information required: | | | | |
| The committee considers that the implementation is not complete |  | | | |
| Further action(s) required: | | | | |
| The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct | |  | | |
| Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink manual required: | | | | |

# *Section 14: Document Template Version History*

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

**Version History:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | **Author(s)** | **Summary of Changes** |
| 1.0 | Approved |  | CDSP | Version Approved by Change Committee |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**--- END OF DOCUMENT ---**

# *Appendix One: Glossary*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Term** | **Definition** |
| Adverse Impact | A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular Customer Class if:  (a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;  (b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;  (c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current, unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or  (d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such Customers. |
| General Service | A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on a uniform basis. |
| Non-Priority Service Change | A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change |
| Priority Service Change | A Modification Service Change;  or  A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change thereto which has been announced but not yet made). |
| Relevant Customer class | A Customer Class is a **Relevant Customer Class** in relation to a Service or a Service Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that Customer Class |
| Restricted Class Change | Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant Customer Classes, the Service Change is a **Restricted Class Change**; |
| Service Change | A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:  (i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and  (ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in the CDSP Service Description,  and any related change to the CDSP Service Description |
| Specific Service | A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the order of the Customer. |