

**CHANGE REQUEST CR13618 – Network Code Reading & Customer Transfer Programme
Modifications - Representation Comments**

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/ Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	xoserve comments on behalf of Transporters
SSE	Cher Harris	1/07/05	Accept	Yes	Use of the same Meter Reading Source code of 'Q' for both Old Supplier Estimates and Gas Card Estimates potentially causes a problem for CTP reporting, although we don't believe that this issue is insurmountable.	The modification report is explicit that the solution for modification for 742 (Supplier Estimates) would be shared with mod 689 (Gas Card Estimates).
EDF Energy	Hazel Doyle	29/06/05	Reject	Yes	<p>EDF Energy does not approve these record formats. The proposal is to create a new meter reading source of 'Q' for Shipper Estimated Reads. However it will not distinguish between the estimated prepayment read (mod 689) and the old Supplier estimated read (mod 742).</p> <p>This is required because Quality Management reporting will be put in place under the Customer Transfer Programme to ascertain how successful the changes have been. Under this proposal the CTP will not be able to distinguish whether a supplier has used a prepayment estimated read or an old supplier estimated read. This will therefore distort the figures reported and CTP</p>	<p>The solution proposed is consistent with the modification report which is explicit that the solution for modification for 742 (Supplier Estimates) would be shared with mod 689 (Gas Card Estimates).</p> <p>Would assume that the reporting referred to would not rely upon the read types held on Transporter systems.</p>

**CHANGE REQUEST CR13618 – Network Code Reading & Customer Transfer Programme
Modifications - Representation Comments**

					<p>will be unable to determine effectiveness of the changes.</p> <p>EDF Energy would like to have another code included within the 'meter reading source' field to enable distinction between these two types of estimated reads.</p>	
BGT	Graham Wood	1/07/05	Accept	Yes	<p>BGT accepts the file formats as issued, however we have ongoing concerns with the proposed implementation date of November 2005 and do not support implementation until February 2006 in line with all other industry CTP changes.</p>	<p>We are seeking bundled implementation of the reading modifications with the CTP changes due to the common code that would be changed. The principle of the bundled November implementation was agreed at the April 2005 meeting:” It was acknowledged that the CTP changes were now not being implemented until February 2006, but that there may be no impacts of implementing the code earlier within UKLink, as the code would not be used unless triggered by a User providing a POS reading.”</p> <p>As the POS reading cannot be accepted for an Opening reading, there would be no impact of provision of a POS reading by a shipper prior to another shipper’s readiness. i.e. the issue of an unbundled opening read record (a U03/U04) would not be triggered by a POS.</p>