



Tim Davis  
Joint Office of Gas Transporters  
31 Homer Road  
Solihull B91 3LT

**E.ON UK plc**  
Sherwood Park  
Little Oak Drive  
Annesley  
Nottingham  
Nottinghamshire  
NG15 0DR  
eon-uk.com

Glenn Sheern  
T 07834 621647  
glenn.sheern@eneregy.com

Friday 28 May 2010

**DNPC07 Consultation**

Dear Tim

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this consultation. Please find our response to the specific questions below.

1. Should the Charging Methodology be changed so that the capacity element of the LDZ System charges is set to recover 100% of the revenue from the LDZ system charges, and the commodity element is set to zero, compared with the current 95%/5% target split?

In light of the change in the latest price control it seems reasonable to set the commodity element in the LDZ system charge to Zero. It is proposed that the charge type should remain, but set to zero. We believe this should be reviewed as part of project Nexus to ascertain if it is worth removing.

There may be wider implications of this change, namely that moving to an entirely capacity based charge will mean we cannot adjust commodity charges via Reconciliation. This doesn't encourage suppliers to give customers the benefits of energy efficiency. It also makes accurate AQs in the Industry even more important and supporting the need for rolling AQ. Although the gas (GRE) element of the charge would still be reconciled, in the Reconciliation invoice at the moment for individual meter point recs this GRE line doesn't contain much information. Shippers might find it beneficial if more detail was added into this GRE line. For example the GRE line for LSPs doesn't contain the energy value we are being reconciled for. It only contains the charge and period it relates to meaning it cannot be validated?

E.ON UK plc  
Registered in  
England and Wales  
No 2366970  
Registered Office:  
Westwood Way  
Westwood Business Park  
Coventry CV4 8LG



2. Should Interruptible supply points pay 50% of the firm LDZ System capacity charge so as to maintain the value of the discount received by interruptible supply points at its current level, on average?

This seems a sensible solution.

3. Should this change be made with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2011?

We are comfortable with this implementation date.

If you have any questions regarding our response, please contact me.

Yours sincerely

G D Sheern