



Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<p><b>0XXX:</b> <i>(Code Administrator to issue reference)</i></p> <p><b>Project Nexus miscellaneous requirements</b></p>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; background-color: #00a651; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;">01 Modification</div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;">02 Workgroup Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; background-color: #f2e6ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;">03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; background-color: #fff9e6; padding: 5px;">04 Final Modification Report</div> </div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>This UNC Modification Proposal aligns the UNC with a series of minor changes to Nexus-related legal text and related documents that have been agreed at PNUNC as part of the delivery programme.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>assessed by a Workgroup</li> </ul> <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 17 Nov 2016. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>Shipper Users, Transporters</p>

Contents	
<b>1 Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Governance</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Why Change?</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Code Specific Matters</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5 Solution</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6 Impacts &amp; Other Considerations</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7 Relevant Objectives</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8 Implementation</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>9 Legal Text</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>10 Recommendations</b>	<b>18</b>
Timetable	
<b>The Proposer recommends the following timetable:</b>	
Initial consideration by Workgroup	24 Nov 2016
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	19 Jan 2017
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	19 Jan 2017
Consultation Close-out for representations	Feb 2017
Final Modification Report available for Panel	Feb 2017
Modification Panel decision	16 Mar 2017

 **Any questions?**

Contact:  
**Code Administrator**

 **enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk**

 **0121 288 2107**

Proposer:  
**Andy Clasper**

 **andy.clasper@nationalgrid.com**

 **07884 113385**

Transporter:  
**National Grid Distribution**

Systems Provider:  
**Xoserve**

 **commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com**

# 1 Summary

## What

A number of areas of UNC require amending to accommodate additional Project Nexus requirements or updates to existing requirements, which have been identified within Project Nexus UNC Workgroup (PNUNC)

In addition to the changes identified at PNUNC and outlined within the Business Requirements Documents (BRDs) Xoserve, as part of their review of Nexus requirements, have also identified a number of Nexus related minor housekeeping errors (including incorrect references within the UNC), which would also benefit from amending at this point.

## Why

Modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440 were directed for implementation in February 2014 and January 2015. These additional requirements and housekeeping changes that have been identified and agreed at PNUNC are required to ensure that UNC aligns with the agreed additional Nexus requirements.

## How

This modification will place into UNC an accurate set of Project Nexus BRD requirements and will correct a number of identified incorrect references and minor 'housekeeping errors'.

# 2 Governance

Self-governance procedures are proposed on the basis that the changes required to UNC have already been agreed with industry participants at PNUNC.

## Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be treated as a Self-Governance modification
- be assessed by a Workgroup

# 3 Why Change?

Project Nexus has been an industry wide consultation and discussion process, which has culminated in a suite of BRDs. These documents were developed into a series of UNC modifications, which were directed for implementation in 2014/2015.

Since implementation of modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440, which put in place the Nexus requirements, a number of additional requirements & updates to existing requirements have been identified and agreed at PNUNC; these requirements have been documented within the relevant BRD.

In addition to the changes outlined within the BRDs Xoserve, as part of their review of the Nexus requirements, have also identified a number of minor housekeeping errors and incorrect references within the UNC, and it is efficient to address these at this time.

## 4 Code Specific Matters

### Reference Documents

Outlined within the Solution section

### Knowledge/Skills

N/A

## 5 Solution

A number of additional requirements have been identified since Modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440 were directed for implementation. These requirements have been assessed and agreed at PNUNC and subsequently documented within the relevant BRD. Each BRD has a summary of the additional requirements within a table, which documents:

1. Updates that have been made to clarify the business requirement
2. Updates to fully reflect Modification 0432/0434 Legal Text
3. New requirements or changes to requirements that have been agreed at PN UNC
4. Requirements that will not be delivered for Go Live and will need to be delivered in a later release of UK Link Replacement

Modification of the UNC is required in line with the tables highlighted within the BRDs. The BRDs identify detailed business rules, which form the foundation for the necessary changes to the UNC. The following BRDs are relevant to this Modification Proposal:

Document Name	Version and Date	Current Location
Annual Quantity BRD	v5.6 18 May 2015	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Annual%20Quantity%20BRD%20v5.6%20Clean.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Annual%20Quantity%20BRD%20v5.6%20Clean.pdf</a>
Invoicing Business Principles	v2.4 20 Mar 2015	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Invoicing_Bus_Principles_v2.4%20Clean_0.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Invoicing_Bus_Principles_v2.4%20Clean_0.pdf</a>
Settlement BRD	v4.6 4 July 2016	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Settlement%20BRD%20v4.6.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Settlement%20BRD%20v4.6.pdf</a>
Reconciliation BRD	v3.5 7 July 2016	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Reconciliation%20BRD%20v3.5.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Reconciliation%20BRD%20v3.5.pdf</a>

Retrospective Updates BRD –	v4.7 7 July 2016	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Retro%20Updates%20BRD%20v4.7_0.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/Retro%20Updates%20BRD%20v4.7_0.pdf</a>
Supply Point Register Business Principles –	v3.8 7 July 2016	<a href="http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/SP_Register_Bus_Principles_v3.8.pdf">http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/SP_Register_Bus_Principles_v3.8.pdf</a>

## **Amendment to the Uniform Network Code is required as follows.**

### 1. **Annual Quantity (AQ) corrections** *Annual Quantity BRD v5.6 section 8.6.*

Inclusion of the following relating to the AQ correction 'exception' process

- a. In order for an AQ correction to be effective for the 1st day of the following month it must be submitted by month minus 15 (M-15) business days (1st of the month less 15 business days).
- b. A response will be submitted with 2 business days of receipt if the request has been accepted or rejected
- c. A pending AQ correction can be cancelled up to month minus 8 (M-8) business days
- d. The AQ from a successful AQ correction request will be notified on month minus 5 (M-5) business days
- e. The AQ will become effective from the 1st day of the month
- f. Where an AQ correction is requested following a 'New Business Activity', this must be submitted by the Registered Shipper User within 3 months of registration.
- g. Where an AQ Correction is requested following failure of a meter reading due to the Outer Tolerance (Market breaker) the read that failed the validation must be submitted with the request
- h. A successful AQ Correction will update the Formula Year AQ & SOQ used for transportation charges for Product 3 and 4 Supply Meter Points with effect from the effective date of the new AQ.
- i. Where a new MPRN has been created following a gas service connection, the AQ can be updated before registration of the MPRN.

### 2. **Notification to Proposing Shipper User of the last Meter Reading & Re-confirmation**

*Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.9.*

- a. Following a change of Shipper activity the most recent Valid Meter Reading recorded within UK Link will be provided to the Proposing Shipper User at the time of transfer of ownership files.
- b. Where the current Registered Shipper submits a Re-confirmation for a Supply Meter Point, no change to Product, the old Confirmation will be end dated and a new Confirmation Reference will be issued to the Shipper. An Opening Read will be estimated if a read is not provided and a notification issued to the Shipper.

### 3. **Change of Shipper Transfer Reading** *Settlement BRD section 5.10 & 5.11*

- a. The outgoing Class estimation methodology will be used for estimating the transfer read.

- b. The Read is to be obtained between D-5 and D+5 (11 business day period) of the transfer date.

4. **Gas Nomination** *Settlement BRD section 5.1.2*

- a. Where a value for the gas nomination is not submitted by the Shipper for Product 1 and 2 sites a default value of D-7 will be used by the GT or AQ / 365 if a D-7 value is not available.

5. **Re-calculated estimates for Class 1 & 2** *Settlement BRD Section 5.5.10, 5.5.11, 5.6.10 & 5.6.11*

- a. Where an actual meter read is received following one or more estimated reads the estimated reads will be re-calculated to produce a 'better estimate' by deriving the energy between the two actual reads & apportioning the energy based on the original allocation for the days where an estimate was created. This replaced 'better estimate' will be issued to the Shipper.
- b. Where a read is received to replace an estimated read, after Close Out (GFD+5), any estimated reads within Close Out (GFD+5) will be re-calculated to produce a 'better estimate'. This replaced 'better estimate' will be issued to the Shipper.

6. **Change in Product** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.12*

- a. An election for a change of Product must be received and accepted a maximum of 30 and minimum of 5 business days before the gas day of the elected Product.
- b. When requesting to change from Product 3 or 4 to Product 1 or 2, the Supply Point registered SOQ must be Nominated for the request to be effective within 5 days via the Supply Point Amendment process. Where a change in SOQ is required this must be requested via the capacity revision process.
- c. The request to change Product can be cancelled up to D-3 of the effective date.
- d. A change in Product can only be effective 2 months after the current Product effective date except where;
  - i. There has been a change of Shipper.
  - ii. There has been a change of tenancy
  - iii. There has been a meter exchange or change of equipment (i.e. dumb to Smart)
- e. Only the Registered User or a Confirming User (with a confirmation about to become effective after D-7) can submit an election to change Product as described in this section 5.12. If the requesting Shipper User will not be the Registered User on the day to which the election refers, the election will be rejected.
- f. A Meter Reading is required for a change of Product. Where a read for the effective date of the change in Product is not provided an estimate will be created and notified to the Shipper User.

The date of the Meter Reading and submission of the read will follow the same timescales as the Shipper Transfer read.

7. **Supply Point Ratchets** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.20*

- a. Supply Point Ratchets will be applied to LDZ CSEP Supply Meter Points in Class 1 or 2 in place of Overruns.
- b. For a Supply Meter Point moving from Class 3 or 4 to Class 1 or 2 a Supply Point Ratchet will not be levied for the first 12 months when the NDM SOQ is Nominated and Confirmed.

8. **Clarification of Settlement process for Primes and Subs arrangements** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.21*

- a. Relevant guidelines to be referenced within TPD G.1.8

9. **Changes to Supply Point Category (SPC)** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.22*

- a. For a SPC of VLDMC and DMC Gas Nominations are required at Supply Meter Point level. Allocation will be available (on Gemini) at Supply Meter Point level.
- b. For a SPC of DMA Gas Nominations are required at an aggregate level for all Class 2 Supply Meter Points in an LDZ. Allocation will be available (on Gemini) at an aggregate level.
- c. For a SPC of NDMA Gas Nominations will be based on demand estimation methodology at an aggregate level for all Class 3 and 4 Supply Meter Points in an LDZ.
- d. With the introduction of two Classes for both DM (Class 1 and 2) and NDM (Class 3 and 4) the SPC requires defining based on the Class and AQ of a Supply Meter Point.
- e. The SPC to be applied as follows;
  - i. Supply Meter Point with an AQ equal to or greater than 1,465,000,000 kWh to have a SPC of VLDMC
  - ii. All Class 1 Supply Meter Points to have a SPC of DMC
  - iii. All Class 2 Supply Meter Points to have a SPC of DMA
  - iv. All Class 3 and 4 Supply Meter Points to have a SPC of NDMA
- f. The SPC will be applied at first registration based on Class and AQ, when the Supply Meter Point changes Class the SPC will be updated and the Shipper advised (except changes between Class 3 and 4). The SPC will not change as a result of AQ processes.

10. **Submission of consumption adjustments** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.23*

- a. A consumption adjustment can be submitted for the following reasons;
  - i. By-Pass: The consumption adjustment must be submitted for the total corrected volume for the period of the By-Pass, between the By-Pass Open Date & By-Pass Close Date.
  - ii. Theft of Gas: The start and end date of the theft period needs to be aligned to Meter Readings held on the system. The consumption adjustment to be the total corrected volume covering the period of the theft.
  - iii. Daily Read Error: Daily consumption adjustment to be submitted for the total corrected volume
  - iv. Faulty Asset: The total corrected volume for the period of the fault
  - v. Twinstream Meters: The corrected total aggregated volume (all meters in the arrangement) to be provided.

- b. The period of the consumption adjustment must align to meter reads held on the system and must equal the total corrected volume for the period.
- c. The consumption adjustment will be subject to the Market Breaker tolerance.
- d. Once a consumption adjustment has been processed reads within the period of the consumption adjustment cannot be replaced.

11. **Reconciliation following acceptance of consumption adjustment** *Reconciliation BRD v3.5 section 8.17*

- a. Following acceptance of the consumption adjustment (outlined in Business Rule 7) reconciliation will take place using the energy calculated from the consumption adjustment for the period which will replace the energy calculated previously from the reads from the same period.
- b. The energy will be apportioned using the same profile as the original allocation:
  - i. For Products 1 and 2 this is based on the daily energy
  - ii. For Products 3 and 4 this is based on the demand estimation methodology for the Supply Meter Point.

12. **Reconciliation by Difference (RbD)** *Reconciliation BRD v3.5 section 9.2*

- a. The Reconciliation by Difference arrangements to be amended to highlight that the allocation of Unidentified Gas will be over a 12 month period and not based on the MRF.

13. **Re-Synchronisation** *Reconciliation BRD v3.5 section 8.5*

- a. Once a re-synch has been processed, reads within the period of the re-synch cannot be replaced.
- b. For Class 3 and 4, following receipt of a Check Read where 'drift' has been identified, the re-synch will not be processed and will be held until M+10 when Reconciliation is processed reconciling the difference between allocated and actual energy.

14. **Consumption Adjustment** *Settlement BRD v4.6 section 5.2.3*

- a. A Consumption Adjustment submitted must align to meter reads recorded and must equal the total corrected volume for the period.
- b. A consumption adjustment can be submitted for the following reasons;
  - i. By-Pass: The Consumption Adjustment must be submitted for the total corrected volume for the period of the By-Pass, between the By-Pass Open Date & By-Pass Close Date.
  - ii. Theft of Gas: The start and end date of the theft period needs to be aligned to meter readings held on the system. The consumption adjustment to be the total corrected volume covering the period of the theft.
  - iii. Daily Read Error: Daily Consumption Adjustment to be submitted for the total corrected volume
  - iv. Faulty Asset: The total corrected volume for the period of the fault
  - v. Twinstream Meters: The corrected total aggregated volume (all meters in the arrangement) to be provided.



- c. The consumption adjustment will be subject to the Outer Tolerance validation (Market Breaker).

15. **Retrospective updates** *Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 section 3.1.1*

- a. An update to asset, Supply Meter Point data or Meter Readings can only be effective from a date no earlier than the Code Cut Off Date (Line in the Sand)
- b. Any retrospective updates to asset, Supply Meter Point or Meter Reading data submitted post Go Live but for an effective date pre Go Live will be accepted, subject to validation.

16. **Address/Post Code updates** *Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 sections 8.5*

- a. The ability of a Shipper User to retrospectively update address data will not be accepted.

17. **CV Updates after Exit Close Out (GFD+5)** *Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 sections 8.7*

- a. An update to a CV after Exit Close Out will not result in a financial adjustment at Supply Meter Point level.
- b. If a CV is identified as incorrect after Exit Close out an adjustment at LDZ level will be carried out (not at meter point level)

18. **Retrospective Updates** *Retrospective Updates BRD v4.7 sections 8.2.9, 8.3.7 & 8.5.5*

- a. Retrospective updates are only to be effective for a date either equal to or post the Code Cut Off Date.
- b. Where the actual effective date of the update pre-dates LiS the Shipper User must submit the effective date equal to or greater than LiS date.
- c. If the effective date is equal to LiS there will not be reconciliation back to a previous read, reconciliation will only be carried out to the replaced 'suspect' reads or to a later read.
- d. If the effective date is post LiS there will be a reconciliation from the update read to the previous read, Reconciliation calculated and a variance created at LiS

19. **Asset Update Reads** *Retrospective Updates BRD section 8.4*

- a) Where the read is associated to an asset removal update, meter installation read or meter removal read, the Shipper registered at the meter point at the effective date of the update can update the read only where the asset update is already recorded within their ownership.
- b) Where the retrospective update for an asset removal, installation or exchange is submitted by the current Shipper, the reads associated with the asset update can only be amended by the Shipper who submitted the update (current Shipper)

20. **Twinstream Meters** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.14*

- a. A Twinstream Meter is where two or more meters are installed at a single Supply Meter Point connection. The following rules are to apply at such a Supply Meter Point.
  - i. There will be one MPRN for the Supply Meter Point
  - ii. All meters installed at the Supply Meter Point will be recorded
  - iii. A Twinstream Meter will be registered with one Shipper and processed under one Product.
  - iv. The AQ, SOQ and SHQ will be calculated and held at the Supply Meter Point level
  - v. Reads will be submitted at meter level, consumption & energy calculated will be aggregated at the Supply Meter Point level.
  - vi. Allocation and Reconciliation processes will take place at Supply Meter Point level
  - vii. When an estimate is required it will be generated using the estimation for the Product.

21. **Address Updates** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.16*

- a. Where an address update is submitted and accepted that amends the LDZ and or Exit Zone to which the Supply Meter Point is assigned to, an Offer will be issued to the Shipper User where there is a change in the transportation charges notifying them of the applicable rates to be applied.
- b. The address update and transportation rates will be applicable 2 business days from receipt of the valid update.

22. **SPA activities on the current portfolio of 'unique' sites** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.17*

- a. All Supply Point Administration processes, with some exceptions as detailed below, will be managed via standard file interface.
- b. All LDZ non-telemetered Supply Meter Points will be allocated a Confirmation Reference instead of a Logical Meter Number (LMN). Telemetered Supply Points (NTS & LDZ) will continue to be allocated an LMN.
- c. Nominations will be submitted via the standard SPA file flows. Nominations will be referred to the appropriate Network/NGT for the following checks;
  - i. Contract i.e. NExA
  - ii. MNEPOR (NTS only)
  - iii. NExA Max SOQ
  - iv. NTS/LDZ Optional Tariff
  - v. Capacity revisions

- d. Confirmations will be submitted via the standard SPA file flows. A Confirmation reference will be allocated and notified via SPA files and for Telemetered Supply Points an LMN will be allocated.
- e. Standard transfer of ownership files will be submitted to the Proposing and Outgoing Shipper.
- f. All updates to the Supply Meter Point will be via the SPA file flows and processes.
- g. Asset updates will be submitted via RGMA flows except for Supply Meter Points with 'Special Metering Arrangements' whereby the GT will continue to submit the asset update.

23. **Shared Supply Meter Points (SSMPs)** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.18*

SSMPs will not be included in standard SPA file flows due to the time bound activities and checks required for all sharing Users.

- a. The following activities will be managed by standard templates via email;
  - i. Nomination
  - ii. Offers
  - iii. Withdrawal
  - iv. Confirmation
  - v. Supply Point updates which results in an amendment to the set-up of the SSMP i.e. capacity revision
- b. Where a Withdrawal is submitted by a User, a notification will be issued to all Registered Users via email. If the Withdrawal results in the Supply Meter Point becoming a non SSMP a notification will be issued via SPA flows.

24. **Interconnectors** *Supply Point Register Business Principles v3.8 section 8.19*

- a. Interconnectors will require a Confirmation only process via email. Interconnectors have different data items and contractual agreements therefore the standard files would not be appropriate.

### Additional required amendments not captured within BRDs

In addition to the requirements outlined within each of the BRDs referenced above, a number of additional minor reference changes within the UNC have been identified following the work Xoserve has carried out as part of their review of Nexus requirements. It would be appropriate/beneficial that these amendments to UNC are carried out at the same time as the requirements highlighted within the BRDs.

The additional requirements over and above those documented above within the relevant BRDs are

1. UNC TPD B3.12.13

(a) requires amending to clarify that a CSEP may not apply for the NTS Optional Commodity Rate using Conventional Notice but must be via File Transfer only. (d) requires amending to clarify that the Nomination/Confirmation/Amendment process for CSEP NTS Optional Rate requests will be as per Section G

2. UNC TPD B4.6.9 (f)(i)

(f)(i) requires amending to clarify that a CESP may not apply for the LDZ Optional Commodity Rate using Conventional Notice but must be via File Transfer only. 'proposing' User also requires capitalising (Defined Term)

3. UNC TPD B4.9.7(b), B4.10.8(b) and E7.5.4

The use of the term 'ad-hoc' invoice was amended by Modification 0432. References to 'ad-hoc' within 0432 Legal Text require amending to Ancillary within the above sections of TPD.

4. UNC TPD E3.4

Requires amending to stipulate 'prior' to the Exit Close-out Date and not 'after' as no adjustments can be made after the Exit Close-out Date.

5. UNC TPD E3.5.1

Requirement to remove the term 'Larger' from this section of 0432 Legal Text to ensure all Supply Points, not just Larger Supply Points are included within the provision.

6. UNC TPD E6.1.3

Amend 0432 Legal Text to include Actual Meter Readings following estimated Meter Readings for Class 1 and 2 sites

7. UNC TPD E6.7.2

Remove sub paragraphs d) and e) from 0434 Legal Text as no financial adjustments following update to the LDZ or CV after Gas Flow Day +5 will be made.

8. UNC TPD G

NTS meter points - differences in registration:

i. User is not required to nominate the SOQ/SHQ as NTS have an MNEPOR value (max offtake rate)

ii. User will have a notional MPRN and will not be able to make a supply point enquiry

Interconnector registration:

i. Confirmation only with a requirement for 5 days' notice

9. UNC TPD G1.5.3

Seasonal Large Supply Points and LDZ Telemetered Meter Points must also be included within the definition of Class 1 Supply Points within 0432 Legal Text.

10. UNC TPD G1.6

A close out date for the submission of an AQ Correction is required.

Requests to be received 15 Business Days before the 1<sup>st</sup> of the following month.

Pending AQ Corrections can be cancelled up to 8 Business Days before the 1<sup>st</sup> of the following month

AQ Notification will be 5 Business Days before the Effective Date.

<http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/AQ%20Correction.pdf>

11. UNC TPD G1.6.14(a)

There is an incorrect reference within 0432 Legal Text. G1.6.14(a) should refer to G7.3.6 and not G7.4.2.

12. UNC TPD G1.6.14(c)

The AQ will be recalculated when Transporters have a Qualifying Meter Reading with a Read Date not less than 9 months after the Supply Point Registration Date.

13. UNC TPD G7.3.6

0432 Legal Text refers to the User providing an Annual Quantity within the Nomination file for a new Supply Meter Point. This is not required as the Annual Quantity is provided by the Transporter when the MPRN is initially created.

14. UNC TPD G1.6.14

Sub paragraph c 0432 Legal Text requires amending to reflect that the Annual Quantity recalculation will occur after 9 months of Meter Readings

15. UNC TPD G1.6.21

An additional 'eligible cause' to allow a User to correct an existing Annual Quantity is required to allow 'manifest errors'. This 'eligible cause' will be eligible for up to 3 months after the Confirmation Effective Date.

16. UNC TPD G1.6.20

M-15 timescales to be included to clarify the latest a change in Annual Quantity request can be made to be effective as of the 1<sup>st</sup> of the following month.

17. UNC TPD G1.7

The concept of a 'lead' Shipper User to be defined to provide deemed. The 'lead' Shipper User will submit all updates, such as Meter Asset Data, on behalf of all associated Shipper Users of a Shared Supply Meter Point who will have given deemed approval.

18. UNC TPD G1.7.7

Allocation between Users at a Shared Supply Point is only to be allocated at % levels, the reference to allocation by tranches is to be removed.

19. UNC TPD G1.7.10

Amendment required as differing rules will no longer occur at Shared Supply Meter Points.

20. UNC TPD G1.7.10 (c)

Timescales to amend allocation between Users shall be those applied by Xoserve as per standard switching. This paragraph requires amending to reflect that.

21. UNC TPD G1.7.17

Shared Supply Meter Points cannot be amended through a Shared Supply Meter Point Notification as this is aligned to the Nomination process, a re-confirmation is required.

22. UNC TPD G1.7.8 (b) and G1.7.21

References to Partial Interruption to be removed.

23. UNC TPD G1.8

The document “*Guidelines for Sub-Deduct Arrangements (Prime and Sub-deduct Meter Points)*” is to be referenced within TPD G1.18. The document becomes live at PNID.

24. Annex G1

The Meter Asset Manager i.d can also be updated at Confirmation

25. UNC TPD G2.3.2

Supply Point Nominations cannot be carried out for Class 3 or 4 Supply Meter Points. The sections G2.3.2 (c)(iii) and G2.3.2 (e) of 0432 Legal Text will be removed.

26. UNC TPD G2.4.2(e)(ii)

References to SDMCI and Interruptible Tranches are not relevant to Supply Point Offers and should therefore be removed.

27. UNC TPD G2.4.7

Revised Supply Point Offers will not be sent by Transporters where the Annual Quantity is revised as a consequence of a ‘rolling AQ’, only Formula Year AQ/SOQ and change in EUC. This section of 0432 Legal text will be amended to clarify this.

28. UNC TPD G2.6.1

Where a User is providing a Confirmation for a Class 2 Supply Point then an SOQ must be provided. This requirement is for Supply Point Confirmations, Supply Point reconfirmations and Supply Point amendments.

29. UNC G3.3.1

The reference to NDM to be removed as the process applies to both NDM and DM Supply Points

30. UNC G3.3.2

Provide a more detailed reference under this section. Include the sub-section b – M5.13.4 (b)

31. UNC TPD G3.5.4

Amend to clarify that the Transporter will amend the Supply Point Register when one or more Registered User provides the required warrant.

32. UNC TPD G3.7 & G2.11

Where a Transporter is required to Confirm a Supply Meter Point in accordance with TPD G3.7, (circumstances in which the Transporter identifies either the same or different meter has been Re-established) it will do so by confirming the Supply Meter Point as a Class 4 Supply Meter Point.

33. UNC TPD G5.2.3 (d)

Capacity revisions cannot be processed at Shared Supply Meter Points but must instead be reconfirmed.

34. UNC TPD G Annex G-1

Additional requirements

- i. Supplier updates require a 2 business day notice period

- ii. Registered Users can request a NTS (but not LDZ) Optional Tariff change via a Supply Point Amendment request.
35. UNC TPD H2.2.1
- Shared Supply Meter Points cannot comprise Class 3 or 4 Supply Meter Points so the reference to this requires removing from the 0432 Legal Text. There is also a reference to H3.1.5 (a) which requires removing/ re-referencing as this reference no longer exists.
36. UNC TPD M2.4.5 (a)(ii)
- Reference is required to the demand estimation methodology as stated within TPD section H2.2
37. UNC TPD M3.3.1
- Supply Meters installed under Twin-stream Metering arrangements will not have individual MPRNs but will be multiple assets listed under one MPRN. This section requires amending within 0432 Legal Text to clarify this.
38. UNC TPD H4.1.5
- Transporters will accept updates from Proposing Users when confirming a new Supply Point
39. UNC TPD H4.1.7
- Transporters will not notify the previous User if that User submits a revised Meter Information Update Notification
40. UNC TPD M4.1.17
- Where a revised Meter Information Update is provided by The Registered User, Transporters will not notify or provide the revised Meter Information to any Previous Registered User.
41. UNC TPD M5
- Where a Registered User changes the Class of a Supply Meter Point then a Valid Meter reading is required. Where such Meter Reading is not submitted then the Transporter will estimate such read.
42. UNC TPD M5
- Where a User submits a meter install or meter removal read the Transporter will treat this as a check read.
43. UNC TPD M5.2.1 (f)
- Where a Meter Read has been submitted for a Class 4 Supply Meter where a Meter Read with a later Read Date has already been provided then the Transporter will accept the earlier Meter Read as a Valid Meter Read.
44. UNC TPD M5.3.5
- References to Opening Meter Reading should be removed in relation to Meter Reading Validation within this section.
45. UNC M5.12.4
- Legal Text within modification 0432 requires amending to clarify that Users will not submit a Check Read for Class 1 Supply Meters.
46. UNC TPD M5.13

Transfer Meter Readings for Class 1 or Class 2 Supply Meters and Class 3 or Class 4 Supply Meters with remote reading equipment will be treated as check reads.

47. UNC TPD M5.13.8

Legal Text in 0432 has omitted to mention the treatment of estimates as Opening Meter Readings for Class 1 Supply Meters. This section requires amending to bring Class 1 Supply Meters into scope.

48. UNC TPD M5.13.9

Incorrect reference to 5.13.8 (a) requires amending to 5.13.8 (b)

49. UNC TPD B

CSEPs will be subject to ratchet charges and not overrun charges at PNID. All references within section B to overrun charges are to be amended to ratchet charges.

50. UNC TPD B4.6.9 (iv)

CSEPs are not required to wait 15 days after first Nomination to confirm the acceptance of the offer made in accordance with TPD B4.6.9 (ii)

51. UNC TPD C2.2

Users shall not submit separate Output Nominations in respect of CSEPs.

References to DMA(i) also require removing throughout section C

52. UNC TPD F3.3.1

The reference to SDMC(i) and section (b)(ii) requires removing.

53. UNC TPD F3.3.2

Sections (a)(iv) and (b)(ii) require removing.

The reference to SDMC in (i) requires removing.

Reference to CSEP with section (d)(i) and (d)(ii) requires removing.

Remove section (d)(iv)

54. UNC TPD F3.3.4

Reference to SDMC(i) requires removing.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This Modification is essential to align the UNC with additional industry agreed Nexus requirements identified since implementation of modifications 0432, 0434 and 0440.

### Consumer Impacts

As this proposal simply aligns Code with the already-agreed position, there are no impacts on Consumers resulting from this modification.



## Cross Code Impacts

We have not identified any impacts on other codes (in particular the iGT UNC)

## EU Code Impacts

None identified

## Central Systems Impacts

There are no direct impacts on the delivery of Nexus since the proposal is to align Code.

### User Pays (*Proposer to complete this table*)

User Pays	
Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.	No User Pays costs arise from the implementation of this proposal.
Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.	Not applicable
Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.	Not applicable
Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.	Not applicable

## 7 Relevant Objectives

### Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation	None

arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This Modification is required to align the agreed changes identified at PNUNC with UNC and corrects a number of identified Nexus related minor housekeeping errors. The measures identified can therefore be expected to facilitate relevant objective f) 'Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

## 8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

## 9 Legal Text

### Text Commentary

Legal Text and Commentary will be provided by the proposer in due course.

### Text

Insert text here

## 10 Recommendations

### Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that self-governance procedures should apply
- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.