# At what stage is this document in the process? Olimination Oliminati

# Implementation of iGT 'single service provision' arrangements (Project Nexus transitional modification)



### **Purpose of Modification:**

This 'transitional' UNC Modification Proposal identifies changes to the UNC to facilitate an orderly transition for the existing arrangements governing unmetered connected Independent Gas Transporter (iGT) systems (Connected Systems Exit Points - CSEPs) to the new 'single service provision' UNC framework to be implemented under UNC Modification 0440 'Project Nexus – iGT Single Service Provision'.

The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:



assessed by a Workgroup

This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 19<sup>th</sup> January 2017. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.



Low Impact:

Large and Small Transporters

# Contents

- **Summary**
- 2 Governance
- 3 Why Change?
- 4 **Code Specific Matters**
- Solution 5
- **Impacts & Other Considerations**
- 7 **Relevant Objectives**
- 8 **Implementation**
- **Legal Text**
- 10 Recommendations

3

3

3

4

4

6

6

Any questions?

Contact:

**Joint Office of Gas Transporters** 



enquiries@gasgover nance.co.uk



0121 288 2107

Proposer:

Andy Clasper



andy.clasper@national grid.com



07884 113385

Transporter:

**National Grid Distribution** 

Systems Provider:

Xoserve



commercial.enquirie s@xoserve.com

### Timetable

### The Proposer recommends the following timetable:

26<sup>th</sup> January 2017 Initial consideration by Workgroup

Workgroup Report presented to Panel

Draft Modification Report issued for consultation

Consultation Close-out for representations

Final Modification Report available for Panel

Modification Panel decision

### 1 Summary

### What

UNC Modification 0440 'Project Nexus – iGT Single Service Provision' was directed for implementation by the Authority on 14 January 2015. UNC terms are necessary to ensure an orderly transition to the new arrangements on 01 June 2017.

### Why

Modification 0440 introduces the new UNC iGT Arrangements Document (iGTAD) which replaces the Generic LDZ CSEP Network Exit Agreement (NExA) which is presently a UNC code referenced document.

It is necessary to introduce provisions within the UNC Transition Document (TD) to facilitate an orderly transfer of commercial arrangements pertaining to iGT arrangements to apply before, on and after the PNID.

### How

Modification of the UNC is required to facilitate transition from the LDZ CSEP NExA to iGTAD during implementation of Project Nexus. This will be in the form of a series of rules setting out the treatment of arrangements pertaining to both documents during the transition period

### 2 Governance

This Modification is proposed as self-governance as the Modification Proposal, if implemented, would not have a material effect on relevant commercial activities as set out in the Uniform Network Code (UNC) or on consumers. This is because the proposed arrangements are concerned with addressing commercial agreements which affect Large and Small Transporters only..

### **Requested Next Steps**

This modification should:

· be assessed by a Workgroup

## 3 Why Change?

UNC Modification 0440 'Project Nexus – iGT Single Service Provision' was directed for implementation by the Authority on 14<sup>th</sup> January 2015. It is due to become effective on the Project Nexus Implementation Date (PNID) being 01 June 2017.

Modification 0440 introduces the new UNC iGTAD which replaces the Generic LDZ CSEP NExA which is presently a UNC code referenced document.

It is necessary to introduce provisions within the UNC Transition Document (TD) to facilitate an orderly transfer of commercial arrangements before, during and after the PNID.

### 4 Code Specific Matters

### **Reference Documents**

- iGT Arrangements Document (iGTAD)
- Generic LDZ CSEP NExA (including Annex part 13)

### Knowledge/Skills

Specific knowledge of the arrangements to be implemented under UNC Modification 0440 would be useful.

### 5 Solution

Modification of the UNC is required as follows:

- To introduce IGTAD/TPD transitional terms associated with:
  - o DN CSEP connection arrangements
  - o iGT data migration
  - Treatment of classification of CSEP Supply Meter Points at PNID
- To amend the CSEP Network Exit Agreements including:
  - Provision of iGTSPRD datasets
  - Provision of iGT Licence Data
- To amend the Transporters Framework Agreement and DSC Agreement to address:
  - o Application of the Transporter Framework Agreement
  - iGT accession to the DSC
- To incorporate terms to enable Termination of the CSEP Network Exit Agreement including:
  - Termination details
  - Provision of information/communication transition arrangements

### 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

# Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This Modification is essential to the implementation of the UK Link Replacement programme (which incorporates the changes required for 'Project Nexus').

This Modification contains transitional terms and obligations, which will expire over time.

### **Consumer Impacts**

There are no consumer impacts

### **Cross Code Impacts**

It is not expected that there would be any cross-code impacts although it would be beneficial for the iGT UNC to be assessed for any consequential effects

### **EU Code Impacts**

No impacts have been identified.

### **Central Systems Impacts**

There are no central systems impacts. However the Modification is required to facilitate implementation of the UK-Link Replacement programme.

### **User Pays** (Proposer to complete this table)

User Pays		
Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.	Costs arising from the UK Link replacement and including enhancements to the existing UNC regime have been considered when price controls were set and funding provided. On this basis, no User Pays service would be created or amended by implementation of this modification and it is not, therefore, classified as a User Pays modification.	
Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.	Not applicable	
Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.	Not applicable	
Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.	Not applicable	

### 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:		
Re	levant Objective	Identified impact
a)	Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b)	Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None
	(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or	
	(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c)	Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d)	Securing of effective competition:	None
	(i) between relevant shippers;	
	(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or	
	(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation	
	arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	
e)	Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to	None
	secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	
f)	Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
		Nicos
g)	Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of	None
	Energy Regulators.	

This Modification is required to provide clear rules and guidance to Large Transporters, iGTs and Shipper Users on the contractual arrangements pertaining to the UNC before and during implementation of the UK-Link Replacement Programme (including Project Nexus). The measures identified can be expected to facilitate relevant objective f) 'Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

### 8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed. However, this Modification could be implemented immediately upon direction and it would be desirable if this Modification were implemented by no later than the end of [March] 2017 to add certainty to the transition process.

# 9 Legal Text

### **Text Commentary**

To be provided

### **Text**

Insert text here

## 10 Recommendations

### **Proposer's Recommendation to Panel**

Panel is asked to:

