Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	
Scottish & Southern Energy	Cher Harris	23/03/07	Accept	YES	We should be able to accommodate the proposed reduced timescales for these specific flows, provided we get confirmation as soon as it is approved.	
Npower	David Mayne	22/03/07	Accept	YES	 Npower support this change in principle, though we would like clarification on two issues: 1) We are uncertain why the Invoice Number has not been mandated on the File Format - what instances would there be that this would not be required? 2) How many other File Formats are to follow as there could be an additional cost involved when each new one is put forward? The current list of flows to be sent via this method is not exhaustive 	 For this adjustment purposes GRE is a calculation of Energy Value based on energy factors. In cases of GRE value there will not always be an original invoice number. Reconciled GRE will have an Invoice number whilst GRE used for Gas value Refunds will not have an invoice number as the value has never been previously invoiced. This results in the field being optional rather than mandatory. There are currently no other plans for further file format changes however if the need arises we will highlight these changes via the formal route.
Shell Gas Direct	Mick Curtis		Reject	YES	Whilst Shell Gas Direct fully support the move to delivering data via the IX rather	Thank you for your

	than via alternative mechanisms except as a contingency arrangement, we are unable to meet the shortened timescale due to other IT commitments and would wish the implementation to be in line with the normal UK Link timescales of a minimum of 6 months and as such would support the implementation being proposed for the	comment; we can satisfy your requirements. The supporting documentation will be e-mailed to you until the November 2007 implementation.
	implementation being proposed for the November 2007 implementation.	