

Unique Ref	Shipper	Name	Date DD/MM/YY	In Support / Not Support	Publish Y/N	File Extension	File Name	Record Number	Field Name	Shipper Comments	Response
00027	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	POSTCODE_OUTCODE	Why is this included, will it be validated, could it cause rejections?	The provision of postcode data enables validation. This principle is consistent with a number of other processes where this validation reduces the risk of erroneous transactions.
00028	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	POSTCODE_INCODE	Why is this included, will it be validated, could it cause rejections?	The provision of postcode data enables validation. This principle is consistent with a number of other processes where this validation reduces the risk of erroneous transactions.
00029	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REQUEST_REASON	When can code 4 be used. Under what scenario?	The AQ correction (code 4) can be used to change the AQ value where meter readings have failed to load due to the tolerance validation. Once the AQ has been corrected, then the subsequent meter reads can be loaded.
00030	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REQUEST_REASON	If a customer terminates a business activity what code should be used?	We have assumed that your term 'business activity terminations' relates to the original customer having vacated the premises. The AQ correction isn't applicable to business activity terminations.
00031	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REQUEST_REASON	What code should be used if a site becomes vacant and the AQ is no longer representative?	The AQ correction is not designed to support vacant sites.
00032	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REJECTED_METER_READING	Need more details of the process, what reading are you expecting us to put in here?	The AQ correction will allow the submission of a meter read and date, this is to further support your justification behind the tolerance change of Code 4.
00033	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REJECTED_METER_READING_DATE	Need more details of the process, what reading are you expecting us to put in here?	The AQ correction will allow the submission of a meter read and date, this is to further support your justification behind the tolerance change of Code 4.
00034	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	SUPPORTING_INFORMATION	What level of supporting data is deemed to be enough?	Supporting Information would need to be sufficient to be able to justify the change in AQ value.
00035	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	SUPPORTING_INFORMATION	Can you confirm no supporting information is required for reason codes 1,3,4 and 5?	Yes
00036	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	REQUESTED_WC	What is the unit of measure, is it the 121 day kWh value?	Yes
00037	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C41	METER_SERIAL_NUMBER	How can the serial number mandatory when the reading it relates to is optional?	The meter serial number will serve as part of the validation to ensure that we are correcting the right supply meter point.
00038	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C42	POSTCODE_OUTCODE	Same Comments as per C41	The provision of postcode data enables validation. This principle is consistent with a number of other processes where this validation reduces the risk of erroneous transactions.
00039	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQI		C42	POSTCODE_INCODE	Same Comments as per C41	The provision of postcode data enables validation. This principle is consistent with a number of other processes where this validation reduces the risk of erroneous transactions.
00040	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQR		C43	All	Same Comments as per C41	See above.
00041	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	AQR		C45	All	Same Comments as per C41	See above.
00042	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	CNC		S66	CONTACT_FIRST_NAME, CONTACT_SURNAME	First name and surname have a combined length of 45 characters yet the premise_customer_name and shipper_customer_name on the S81 are only 40 characters long. Why?	These files are not related, first name/surname are for people. Premises customer name is for a commercial business
00043	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	CNR			CONFIRMATION_EFFECTIVE_DATE	Description needs an extra space	Accepted - the subsequent version of the record will correct this.
00044	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	All		All		Remove blank rows	The presence of blank rows in the record formats is a consequence of these being shown in 'mark up'. These fields will no longer appear in the records issued by UK Link (i.e. these will not be Null fields comma'ed out).
00045	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	SFN		O15		How do we indicate to Xoserve that non DRE has gone faulty to prevent those readings being used in future RAQ calculations?	Faulty Assets for Class 3 & 4 sites will be reported via the SFN file. Class 2 will be reported via a Web service.  The fault status will be removed following a Meter or Convertor Exchange or as a result of an indication in the site visit details that the fault was the DRE and it has now been corrected.
00046	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	SPC		S34	MRF_TYPE_CODE	Why is 6 monthly still a valid frequency. Will it be treated as annually from a UNC perspective?	Carry over from existing allowable values. Will be treated as Annually read for UNC purposes
00047	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	SPC		S34	REQUESTED_EFFECTIVE_DATE	Should this be mandatory, what if the change is to the batch frequency? Or does this date also get set for changes to batch frequency, if so considered altering the description.	The effective date should be populated with respect to the Meter Read Frequency as the description indicated. This field should be shown as Optional.  The subsequent version of this record will correct this.

00048	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	URS		U02	SUPPLY_METER_POINT	Description should state Supply Meter Point References not Meter Point References	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00049	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	URS		U02	REJECTED_ENERGY_VALUE	Where the tolerance override indicator was set to Y then will this value be in relation to the marker breaker tolerance or the upper tolerance? More detail needed.	The tolerance override indicator should be set on the incoming record where the User is aware, as a result of their validation, that the read validation described in the UNC Validation Rules would fail.  The rejection code will give details of which tolerance test the read has failed. If a read has been rejected due to the tolerance it will be populated with the energy Xoserve has calculated using this read.
00050	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	URS		U02	REJECTED_ENERGY_VALUE	Will a default CV be used where a actual value is not yet known?	Any reads received prior to the CV details being received will be validated using D-1 CV as a default. Once the day's CV is received the Energy will be re-calculated but will not be re-validated.
00051	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	URN		U03 final		Incorrect name on website. The link is to the URN U04	Accepted - This has been amended and re-issued
00052	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MDR		M00	CONVERTOR_SERIAL_NUMBER	Description needs an extra space	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00053	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MDR		M00	TOLERANCE_OVERRIDE_FLAG	What do these values mean. The void values in the description are inconsistent with the values used in this field on other files (e.g. U01, U02 etc.)	The U01 record etc contain reads received from Shippers and will give information on whether the Tolerance Flag was provided. This file contains reads obtained by the Transporter or estimated reads created by the system. If the read at D-7 was accepted with a Tolerance override flag this consumption will be used to create a D-7 estimate. This field will be populated to inform the User if this read has been created but is above the tolerance.
00054	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MID		N44	SUPPLY_METER_POINT	Description should state Supply Meter Point References not Meter Point References	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00055	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MRI		U06	DEPENDENT_STREET	The description is confusing and appears open to interpretation, also unsure should be ensure.	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00056	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MRI		N90	DEPENDENT_STREET	The description is confusing and appears open to interpretation, also unsure should be ensure.	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00057	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	MRI		K15	DRE_UNIQUE_NUMBER	Description needs an extra space	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00058	E.ON	Alex Cullin	13/10/2014	No	Y	NAC		T08	DEPENDENT_STREET	Description inconsistent with other files (eg.U06, N90)	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00059	SSE	Paul Harding	14/10/2014	No	Y			S59	NDM_SOQ_BILLING	If the flow is sent between December and April we will need to also see the billing SOQ for the following year - i.e. if we send the flow in January we will need the current and the proposed	There is currently (existing UKL) an Offer Addendum process and the relevant prospective Formula Year AQ/SOQ will be issued as per this process . The S59 has been issued via formal process in phase 2. Please be aware NDM SOQ Billing does not appear.
00060	SSE	Paul Harding	14/10/2014	No	Y			S75		If the flow is sent between December and April we will need to also see the billing AQ for the following year	There is currently (existing UKL) an Offer Addendum process and the relevant prospective Formula Year AQ/SOQ will be issued as per this process .
00061	SSE	Paul Harding	14/10/2014	No	Y			U01		TTZ is now compulsory on U01 flows, are we expected to do this for OPNT read , for Product 4	Yes. Previous read will be provided in Confirmation details to enable the TTZ count to be provided for Opening Reads.
00062	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct			Various	Various	CONVERTER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK	Field named CORRECTOR_ROUND_THE_CLOCK_COUNT renamed CONVERTER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK whilst CORRECTOR_THROUGH_ZERO_COUNTS is renamed CONVERTOR_ROUND_THE_CLOCK – are these 2 fields or one?	Accepted - Should be only 1 Field Name CONVERTOR_ROUND_THE_CLOCK	
00063	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct					PROVISIONAL_MAX_SOQ	Require consistent spelling of field names e.g. PROVISIONAL_MAX_SOQ and PROVNL_MAX_SOQ – are these 2 different fields or 1 field that's been named incorrectly in one instance	Accepted - Should only be 1 field name PROVISIONAL_MAX_SOQ	
00064	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct					S90		need to remove reference to Transco	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00065	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct					U14	Meter Reading Source and Reason	the valid combinations would be better shown as a matrix	This is current notation in the existing record formats which has been replicated in this format.
00066	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct					U14	Tolerance Override Flag	Change the valid set to Y & N and make the field mandatory. This would be a more robust option	The UNC obligation is to provide the flag where the tolerance will be failed. The approach taken with this new record has been to utilise the principles within the existing records.
00067	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct					Various	various	Field names should use CONVERTER not CONVERTOR	UNC states Convertor not Converter

00068	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				Various	Supply Point Class	No valid set defined for Supply Point Class	The Supply Point Classes are defined by UNC.
00069	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				various	Confirmation Reference	Why is the Confirmation Reference being changed from N9 to T10	This is to accommodate Logical Meter Number for NTS Supply Meter Points
00070	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				U02	Billing Indicator	It would be better to use the text 'Prime Meter Point' rather than 'Main Meter'	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00071	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				various	various	Address field sizes - Why not align Gas address details with Electricity ?	There is no cross utility recognised address structure. Until such time as this, or an alternative standard, is defined the address structure is in line with standard SAP Address structures.
00072	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				S66	Contact EFD	Why is there an EFD but no ETD - How would a contact be end dated	A User must provide valid contact details to supercede any existing details. The process does not allow for such details to be end dated without updated details being furnished. This is in line with the existing process.
00073	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				U06	Postcode fields	Why are there address details but no Postcode information ?	This is consistent with the existing address structure in the U06 / N90.
00074	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				S98	NWO Short Code	What are the list of Valid Values	The Network Identities are provided in existing records on UK Link. It is proposed that the relevant values are added to the existing file available on the UK Link Documentation Site (Extranet) that currently denotes valid Supplier, Shipper and MAM identities held in UK Link.
00075	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				T73,T74	Market Sector Code/Market Sector Flag	What is the correct field name ?	Correct field name is Market Sector Code
00076	British Gas	Mark Agnew	14-Oct				□M0,U14	Tolerance Override Flag	Valid set for Tolerance Override Flag is different to that in U14, why?	Tolerance Override Flag is provided by the Shipper in UMR, UBR and UDR files with a value of Blank or Y. The Response to these files, URN & URS will repeat the value given by the Shipper. The MDR record is providing readings obtained by the Transporter's Daily Read Service Provider. This additional information in the tolerance override flag is provided to assist Users when they receive the files. Please note, the MDR record may also be received where an actual reading has not been obtained - for example estimates may have been created using reads which were outside the Tolerance e.g. D-7 estimate or using a read provided by the Shipper with the Tolerance Override Flag of Y.
00077	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014						It is not possible to properly review the file formats in the absence of any design documentation to indicate how the data will be processed.	The information provided is consistent with other Change Summaries provided for UK Link Modifications. No other supporting data has been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.
00078	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014						The optionality of the data record groups is not indicated on the hierarchy diagrams.	Optionality is denoted in the hierarchy formats by the record table. This is intended to provide tighter control of the hierarchies to assist Users when future changes are notified.
00079	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQI	C41 / C42		There is a limit of 1000 C41 or C43 records on the file - is there a reason why? No volumetrics have been agreed for AQ corrections in the Nexus workgroups.	The AQ Correction process is intended to be used in exception circumstances only. Sizing of this file took account of this principle. If necessary Users may submit multiple files but this circumstance is not anticipated.
00080	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQI	C41	REJECTED_METER_READING	Shippers are expected not to submit a read to Xoserve if it will be rejected - there will be no rejected meter read to note on this file. Is the intention for shippers to submit on this file the read that they would have liked to have sent?	The AQ correction will allow the submission of a meter read and date, this is to further support your justification behind the tolerance change of Code 4.  The reading does not need to have been rejected in order for this to be submitted. If you consider that an alternative field name would be assist understanding we would be willing to rename this.
00081	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQI	C41	SUPPORTING_INFORMATION	Experience shows it would be beneficial to allow this field to be populated even if the reason code is not = 2	This information can be provided for all reason codes.
00082	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQI	C42		No reference is made to the original dataflow, i.e. this dataflow does not specify which AQ correction should be cancelled. A "Shipper reference" would be required to uniquely identify the AQ correction to cancel.	You can only submit one AQ correction and this cancellation can only be submitted for the correction that is pending.
00083	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQR	C45		No reference is made to the original dataflow, i.e. this dataflow does not specify which AQ correction / cancellation it refers to. A "Shipper reference" would be required to uniquely identify the original flow.	You can only submit one AQ correction and this cancellation can only be submitted for the correction that is pending.

00084	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			AQR		S72		A revised S72 record has not been published - are we to assume that there are no new rejection reasons related to the AQI file?	The S72 record is not amended therefore has not been issued. Additional rejection codes have been notified in Phase 3.
00085	British Gas	Matt Jackson	13/10/2014			UDR		U13 / U14		The intention was to enable submission of monthly batches or daily reads for individual MPRNs, the 1:8 relationship of U13:U14 does allow for this, but a 1:31 relationship would seem to suit the purpose better.	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00086	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	With the introduction of Smart Metering there is no need for fuzzy matching of meter serial numbers – in the Smart world precise matching is required.	The principle of retention of fuzzy matching has been agreed within SPAA Expert Group and at the UK Link Committee. Once sufficient penetration of Smart is available, and the processes by all industry participants sufficiently mature, this can be assessed.
00087	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	While the retention of iGT number ranges is understandable all flows should explicitly state the GT Identifier on all shipper flows	During the Change of Shipper process the Network Identifier will be provided to Users. Furthermore where rate information is available this will be provided in the Q44 record. The Meter Point ranges will continue to be allocated to iGTs but this may only be used by Users as an indication as Meter Points created in these ranges may transfer, as they currently do, from iGTs to Large Transporters.
00088	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	The Supplier Id should be stated against every MPRN level transaction on Shipper flows.	This would be a material change to all formats. No requirement of this nature has been stated previously.
00089	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	All acknowledgement flows should list the MPRN rather than just implying it through matched transaction numbers.	We will require specific examples of the flows to which you refer. Where these are existing flows we have followed the prevailing convention.
00090	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	Flows should contain a version number in the header to assist with change management through the introduction of Nexus and into the future.	Version control within records would require changes to every single record. This is inconsistent with requests from the industry to minimise changes to flows. This was recently discussed at the October UK Link Committee and Users indicated that they would not support a change of this magnitude.
00091	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	To help standardise the fields and definitions we would like to see the flows defined in a catalogue in much the same way as the DTC, this would prevent the multiple spellings, duplicate field names and things like Post Code having different lengths in different records	The Data Catalogue Portal was on 21st October. This was launched with partial content so that Users are able to access the functionality whilst content was added.
00092	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	The UK Link programme have made no attempt to estimate the shipper impact of the new flows e.g. are they complex new flows or just simple information flows.	The impacts to User systems may potentially be varied from User to User. Wherever possible we have restricted the complexity of new records introduced.
00093	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						GENERAL	A range of new / revised flows to send readings – this brings the likelihood of new exception types where we choose the wrong flow type. Can the reading flows be rationalised to prevent potentially new and unnecessary exceptions?	It is important that these flows are differentiated. The files are designed around the new classes. Class 2 will require daily reads to feed allocation processes, and therefore can be given priority for processing each day. Class 3 reads will be sent in batches and so have been created as a parent and child record to prevent the repeat of Supply meter Point and asset details for all read records and Class 4 will be received as per current NDM files.
00094	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						General (Across all flows)	Why rename the MPR (Meter Point Reference) to Supply Meter Point – This will be confusing given the fact that it is so widely used, we would like to see this unchanged.	Thank you for your comment. This change has been proposed to bring consistent terminology between UNC and UK Link flows. We are assessing design impacts with regards to this change.
00095	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						General (Across all flows)	Please confirm the future field definition of Supply Meter Point/MPR – Is it going to be Numeric or an Integer? Will it potentially have leading zeros?	There is no intention to change the existing structure of the field. As a numeric field leading zeros are not provided.
00096	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						General (Across all flows)	Postcode Outcode/Postcode Incode – On some flows these fields are defined as mandatory, on others optional. Which is correct?	Optionality of fields are dependant upon the record and context provided. This is set out within each record.
00097	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						General (Across all flows)	Why has the field definition of the Confirmation Reference been changed from N9 to T10?	This is to accommodate Logical Meter Number for NTS Supply Meter Points
00098	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014						General (Across all flows)	Address field sizes – We would prefer aligned Gas and Electricity address field sizes. BG would prefer the use of SAF for addresses and the inclusion of the UPRN in the S70 record.	There is no cross utility recognised address structure. Until such time as this, or an alternative standard, is defined the address structure is in line with standard SAP Address structures. The use of UPRN in Gas Flows is not confirmed at this time.

00099	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Round the Clock indicators (Meter and Converter) – How could these be minus 9 (i.e. the range would go from 1 to 99) - Is it feasible/possible to expect Suppliers to maintain an exact count (how would they know?) – Isn't the requirement simply that a Supplier/Gas Transporter need to know when the RTC is reached?	If a read is following an estimated transfer read there is a possibility it has been incorrectly recorded as going through the zeros. In this case the TTZ count would be -1. e.g. Estimated Transfer read = 0010 Actual read received after = 9990 - TTZ would be -1. This is not intended to be a count of all times the meter has gone round the clock, it is intended to denote whether it has gone round the clock since the last reading was submitted. As such it is very unlikely that values other than 0 or 1 would be provided.
00100	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Shouldn't 'Convertor' be 'Converter'	UNC states Convertor not Converter
00101	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Meter Class – Won't this information need to be passed to Shippers at COS gain (e.g. On the TRF) – i.e. The Shipper will need the Transporters view of the Meter Class	The prevailing class of Supply Meter Point is provided to prospective Users as part of the Enquiry process. The incoming User will be able to infer the prevailing Supply Point Class as this will define the Transfer Read Deadline.
00102	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Tolerance Override Flag – There are different LOVs in some Record Types (although in most cases Y or blank) – What are the correct values?	The values are defined in each record. Tolerance Override Flag is provided by the Shipper in UMR, UBR and UDR files with a value of Blank or Y. The Response to these files, URN & URS will repeat the value given by the Shipper. The MDR record is providing readings obtained by the Transporter's Daily Read Service Provider. This additional information in the tolerance override flag is provided to assist Users when they receive the files. Please note, the MDR record may also be received where an actual reading has not been obtained - for example estimates may have been created using reads which were outside the Tolerance e.g. D-7 estimate or using a read provided by the Shipper with the Tolerance Override Flag of Y.
00103	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Supply Point Class – Where is the List of Possible Values?	The Supply Point Classes are defined by UNC.
00104	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	The new field LAST_READ (e.g. On the S88) – What will the format be? (i.e. will it potentially include leading zeros to reflect the number of digits as per other read fields?)	The structure is consistent with other Meter Reading Fields - i.e. it will be text and therefore leading zeros will be provided.
00105	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014							General (Across all flows)	Not all the dataflows are listed on the website – it's hard to establish which files are affected. E.g. looking at the URS file, but it's not listed under Phase 1 or Phase 2 Hierarchies, but one of the data records on the URS, the U02, is listed in the Records section.	Only the products that were changing were issued as part of the consultation process. For example, if a new field was added to an existing record then this record would be included within the consultation process, but if there were no other changes, the hierarchy would not be.
00106	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					S66	Record Specific		On the S66 Contact Details record how would a contact end date be set – there is an EFD but no ETD - Is there only one contact of each type?	A User must provide valid contact details to supercede any existing details. The process does not allow for such details to be end dated without updated details being furnished. This is in line with the existing process.
00107	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					S70	Record Specific		Shouldn't the Unique Property Reference Number (UPRN) be included on the S70 rather than the S98? The UPRN is required before the Smart Meter is installed.	UPRN was stipulated as a requirement as part of the Smart Programme therefore this was inserted into this flow. The use of UPRN in Gas Flows is not confirmed at this time.
00108	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					S88	Record Specific		On the S88 is the AMR_IND required? Given that there isn't a SMART Indicator what is the value of just flagging an AMR?	There was a Nexus requirement to flag where AMR is in situ and provide the info at transfer of ownership.
00109	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					T73/74	Record Specific		T73 and T74 records – There is a Field Name mismatch – T73 has a field name Market Sector Code , T74 has a field name Market Sector Flag	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00110	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					JOB/UPD	Record Specific		ONJOB/ONUPD – The Asset Class Code has a new possible value DRE (Derived Read Equipment). Please define more fully and explain the usage.	The acronym 'Derived Read Equipment' has been used to denote a class of equipment that is able to record and transmit meter readings. This classification includes AMR Equipment.
00111	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					U02	Record Specific		U02 – Use 'Prime Meter Point' rather than 'Main Meter' in Billing Indicator Definition	Accepted - This will be corrected in Live file
00112	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					U06	Record Specific		U06 – This record includes address information but not the Postcode fields – Why?	This is consistent with the existing address structure in the U06 / N90.
00113	British Gas	Graham Wood	13/10/2014					S98	Record Specific		S98 – What are the NWO_SHORT_CODE List of Values – Are they in SPAA MDD?	The Network Identities are provided in existing records on UK Link. It is proposed that the relevant values are added to the existing file available on the UK Link Documentation Site (Extranet) that currently denotes valid Supplier, Shipper and MAM identities held in UK Link.



00134	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SPC		C39	Cancel Class Change	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Class Change Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 25/11/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.
00135	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			AQI		C41	AQ Correction	We would like to see the rejection codes	Rejection Codes have been provided in Phase 3.
00136	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			AQI		C42	AQ Correction Cancellation	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the AQ Correction Process walkthrough that took place on 19/08/14. The process information is available here: <a href="http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf">http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf</a>  This file will allow you to submit a cancellation to an AQ Correction Request that you have submitted. This must be submitted in advance of the AQ becoming effective.
00137	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			AQR		C43	AQ Correction Response	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the AQ Correction Process walkthrough that took place on 19/08/14. The process information is available here: <a href="http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf">http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf</a>  The record will provide responses to AQ Correction Requests that you have submitted.
00138	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			AQR		C45	AQ Correction Cancellation Response	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the AQ Correction Process walkthrough that took place on 19/08/14. The process information is available here: <a href="http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf">http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/UKL-IE-Forum-AQ-Process.pdf</a>  The record will provide responses to AQ Correction Cancellation Requests that you have submitted.
00139	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			CNR		C50	Customer End Date Response	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Supply Point Update Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 25/11/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This record will provide the outcome of the Customer End Date Request.
00140	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SWN		C52	Shipper Warning (IGT unregistered)	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the iGT Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 16/12/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file may be used to denote that the meter has been attached at a Supply Meter Point where you are the appointed elected User.
00142	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			NMS		N99	SMP (MPRN) Status change	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Supply Point Update Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 25/11/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This format will be used by the Transporter to denote a change of status of the Supply Meter Point.
00143	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SFN		O15	Site Visit Details	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Supply Point Update Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 25/11/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file relates to Class 3 & 4 only and is to enable Shippers to provide Site Visit information following a Check Read on Derived Metering Equipment.

00144	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SFN		O17	Asset Fault Details	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Faulty Asset Equipment Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 24/10/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file relates to Class 3 & 4 only and is to enable Shippers to indicate there is a faulty Asset at a premise.
00145	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SFR		O20	Site Visit Read Response	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Faulty Asset Equipment Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 24/10/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file is a response to the Site Visit Details to confirm if the file has been accepted or rejected.
00146	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			SFR		O22	Asset Fault Response	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the Faulty Asset Equipment Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 24/10/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file is a response to the Asset Fault file to confirm if the file has been accepted or rejected.
00147	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			AES		Q44	CSEP details	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	This process is included within the iGT Process walkthrough that is scheduled for 16/12/14. The process walkthrough has not been indicated as a dependency of sign off of the file formats.  This file is a response to the Asset Fault file to confirm if the file has been accepted or rejected.
00148	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			UDR		U12	Class 2 Meter Read	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	There is no specific process walkthrough planned for this process. Some elements are dealt with within the Class Change Process walkthrough that it taking place on 24/10/14 - e.g. submission timings etc.  This file is to provide reads for Class 2 sites on a daily basis.
00149	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			UBR		U13	SMP detail	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	There is no specific process walkthrough planned for this process. Some elements are dealt with within the Class Change Process walkthrough that it taking place on 24/10/14 - e.g. submission timings etc.  As Class 3 reads are to be received in batches the files have been designed as a parent and child. The U13 will contain the Supply Meter Point and asset information, U14 will contain the reads.
00150	DONG Energy	Lorna Lewin	14/10/2014			UBR		U14	Meter reads	As this is a new record we would like to see the process walk through before approval.	There is no specific process walkthrough planned for this process. Some elements are dealt with within the Class Change Process walkthrough that it taking place on 24/10/14 - e.g. submission timings etc.  As Class 3 reads are to be received in batches the files have been designed as a parent and child. The U13 will contain the Supply Meter Point and asset information, U14 will contain the reads.
00151	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			A10				On the control spreadsheet but is not included on the published files	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  AIO file was incorrectly included in the informal process.



00152	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		NSC			Missing from the control spreadsheet but is included on the published files	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  NSC file was issued in Phase 1 of the formal review.
00153	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		NRL			Appears twice on the control spreadsheet - but contains two different levels of change each time.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  NRL file was issued in Phase 3 of the formal review.
00154	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		SSC			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  We can find no record of the file extension that you cite.
00155	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		SAR			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  We can find no record of the file extension that you cite.
00156	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		OSG			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  The file format has been published in Phase 2. This is a new file and will be set Live as v1.
00157	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		NSC			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats. The file format has been published in Phase 2. This is a new file and will be set Live as v1.
00158	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		LNR			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  The LNR file formats are currently ...
00159	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		FSI			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  v2 is published on the UK Link Documents Website: <a href="https://www.xoserveextranet.com/uklinkdocs/list_view.asp?parentid=271&amp;subfolderid=309&amp;filefor=310">https://www.xoserveextranet.com/uklinkdocs/list_view.asp?parentid=271&amp;subfolderid=309&amp;filefor=310</a>
00160	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		EOI			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  v1 is published on the UK Link Documents Website: <a href="https://www.xoserveextranet.com/uklinkdocs/list_view.asp?parentid=271&amp;subfolderid=309&amp;filefor=310">https://www.xoserveextranet.com/uklinkdocs/list_view.asp?parentid=271&amp;subfolderid=309&amp;filefor=310</a>
00161	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		DMR			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  DMR is now listed as decommissioned.
00162	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		DMI			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  DMI is now listed as decommissioned.
00163	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		CRC			Cannot find existing file hierarchy or format - please provide	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  We can find no record of the file extension that you cite.

00164	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	How can we tell if a record is a new record entirely or a new record for the flow only?	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  The notation on the formal formats will clearly indicate whether a new record is inserted - if this is in a concatenated file format the entire record will be inserted, if this is published as a first issue of the record this will be shown as v1FA.
00165	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	There seems to be a number of new records that are very similar in format e.g. C41, C42, C43, C45 etc. Why have these been split into different records rather than as a single record with different field conditionality as it has been previously?	The C41 has been designed to provide the information required to submit the AQ Correction Request. The C42 was designed to be as simple as possible to revoke such requests. In order to merge these records this would result in fields in the majority of fields in the C41 being made optional, and it was considered that this would add complexity to this record build. The design of the response files has followed the input record approach.
00166	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			AQR/AES	N/A	Q44	N/A	The Q44 records shown in the AQR & AES files contain different data items?!	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the Q44 as a discrete record.
00167	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Certain published file formats are in a different format to the rest e.g. AIO, COS, CZS - could these be published in a consistent format please?	We have sought to achieve consistency in terms of the formats issued. The convention for SPA is to issue at record level, but at Invoicing level as a consolidated file format. We have retained this convention for providing the UKLP formats.
00168	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			AES	N/A	S70	N/A	The S70 is listed in the published file format as an outbound record in an inbound file	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  AES is an outbound file.
00169	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			TRF	N/A	C44?	N/A	CFR shows the same record as Q44 not C44...is this a typo?	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.
00170	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	K14	N/A	The K14 record appears in multiple file formats published - NMR/CFR & NRF. The field descriptions are different for the K14 showing in the NMR to that of the other flows. Also there is an additional record in the K14 published for the NMR compared to the others - 'METER_READING_UNITS'	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the K14 as a discrete record.
00171	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	K15	N/A	The K15 record appears in multiple file formats published - MRI & PAC. The field description is different for 'SUPPLY_POINT_CLASS' in the MRI's K15 record to 'CLASS_TYPE' in the PAC's K15 record.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the K15 as a discrete record.
00172	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	Q44	N/A	The Q44 is shown to appear in 8 file types - AES/AQR/BTF/CFR/CRF/NMR/NRF/TRF. The record format is inconsistent in terms of field naming and field count (there are an additional 2 data items in the AES & NMR flows)	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the Q44 as a discrete record.
00173	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	S21	N/A	There are inconsistencies in the way the S21 records appear within the NMR & NRF flows - there is an additional field in the NMR S21 record - 'IGT Network Charges' with the NRF S21 record format showing the records to be deleted (red) where the NMR has removed these already.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the S21 as a discrete record.

00174	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	S64	N/A	There are inconsistencies in the way the S64 records appear within the NMR & NRF flows - there are some fields which appear in the NRF S64 record and not in the NMR S64 record and vice versa. The NMR S64 record format also shows the records to be deleted (red) where the NMR has removed these already.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the S64 as a discrete record.
00175	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	S69	N/A	The NOM & NMR S69 record formats show the records to be deleted (red) where the NRF S69 record format has removed these already.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  The current live version of the NRF (v5 Live) shows the S69 included.
00176	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	S75	N/A	Please check the inconsistencies of the S75 records across the CFR/NMR/NRF/TRF file formats - there are additional fields, records to be deleted showing in some and not others, additional records and different naming conventions across these files for the same record.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  Inconsistency in record information that is inherent in concatenated files has been overcome for these records by providing the S75 as a discrete record.
00177	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	TBC	N/A	AIO, COI, COS, CZI, CZS & MIF files were published with record names 'TBC'. Can these be confirmed ASAP?	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.
00178	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	AIO	TBC	N/A	The AIO TBC record is not coloured i.e. shows as no change, however if the record name is TBC how can that be?	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.
00179	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Where the file formats are published in a different format - AIO/COI/COS/CZI/CZS/FSI/INV/MIF/OSG/TDS - file hierarchies are not coloured coded as are the rest of the files published	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.
00180	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	CZS	N/A	N/A	CZS published file format shows 109 record twice in hierarchy diagram	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  The revised format / hierarchy was issued in Phase 2. This record was only published once in the format (now named ZCS).
00181	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	AIO published as file format on website but not included on 'File Format Names - Summary' document	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  AIO file was published in error.
00182	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	U03/U04	N/A	Phase 1 - U04 record still published under the title of U03	Accepted - This has been amended and re-issued
00183	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	M83/M84	MSN/FINAL_METER_RTC_COUNT	It seems the M83 update to the MSN "Description" and also the M84 update to the FINAL_METER_RTC_COUNT "Dom" value is changed marked but this is not change marked in the M84/M83 records. We therefore have concerns signing off any Description/Dom changes unless we can see clearly where these changes have taken place. If this inconsistency is correct and some updates are not change marked then we have concerns that other changes may not be marked.	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  This notation error has been corrected in the formal process.
00184	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	N44	N/A	There is a change in the N44 to the total record length but no change to individual field lengths	These questions appear to have been raised against files issued in the informal stage and file formats have now been superceded by the issuing of formal file formats.  An error on the live file format document has been corrected.
00185	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	O15/O17/O20/O22	N/A	We believe these are new records but the published versions are significantly change marked rather than new records?? Or are these changes since the informal release?	The records previously appeared in the DMI / DMR Files which are now proposed for decommissioning.  These records now appear in new files, but we have marked up changes within the records.
00186	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014		N/A	N/A	N/A	Market_Sector_Code	Market sector code – retains same allowable values but one of the BRDs we reviewed yesterday says they are	The Market Sector Code indicator remains as currently. The allowable values are not extended.

00187	EDF Energy	Eleanor Laurence	14/10/2014			ONJOB/O NUPD	N/A	Asset Location Notes	N/A	ONJOB updated but ONUPD not. Also where update has been made Transporter is spelt incorrectly. All other fields should be checked for consistency between ONUPD and ONJOB if changes are going to be presented by file and not record type	Accepted - spelling error will be amended.  We are following the existing convention of publishing the RGMA UK Link file formats in a consolidated file.
00188	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	EDF Energy would request an explanation to each of the changes in field length, field decimal digits, field type, field optionality, field domain and removal of fields. We are investigating the solution for our core processes, i.e. transmission and storage of data flows but we are concerned that each field will potentially impact our internal processes and reporting. We want to ensure we have a full understanding of each field change before signing off changes.	A single document containing this information is not available at this time.
00189	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			Portfolio Data	N/A	N/A	N/A	Currently we receive monthly portfolio extracts from Xoserve. We assume these will also be updated to align with the field amendments included in the Nexus projects?	The amendments to field names are intended to bring consistency between UNC and UK Link. The Data Warehouse will use these naming conventions.
00190	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			DES DVD	N/A	N/A	N/A	Currently we receive quarterly DES extracts from Xoserve. We assume this will also be updated to align with the field amendments included in the Nexus projects?	The amendments to field names are intended to bring consistency between UNC and UK Link. Data Enquiry Screens will use these naming conventions.
00191	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	We would like to understand the reason for updating field names in the file formats. Bearing in mind the aim to have minimum change (and therefore impact) we have concerns about the impact this may have and would like to fully understand the justification for change before approval.	The amendments to field names are intended to bring consistency between UNC and UK Link. We need Users to provide a view of the impacts that this will have as this was assumed to have a limited impact in amending the names within the file.
00192	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	We would like to highlight that a solution is required to any SAP ISU system using iDocs where records are changing in regards to field length, field removal or the introduction of fields mid-record. Currently every single iDoc containing a changed field length would need to be retrospectively updated in the shippers system to allow continuous use of that data. It may be preferable to use a new record type from Nexus implementation, but we are still in the process of analysing this impact	Version control within records would require changes to every single record. This is inconsistent with requests from the industry to minimise changes to flows. This was recently discussed at the October UK Link Committee and Users indicated that they would not support a change of this magnitude.
00193	EDF Energy	Bryan Hale	14/10/2014			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	We would also like to highlight that any change to record formats will impact the DTS Data Flow application. This will require AMT level changes. This is another reason why change should be kept to a minimum	We have sought to minimise changes. If your comment is concerned with the renaming of fields we would like to understand the impacts of this change to Users.
00194	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	AQI	AQ Correction			ASI/ASO are marked as a 'No change but required'. What will they be used for? Or should they be marked decommissioned as being replaced with AQI/AQR? WSI/WSO are marked as 'Existing File with changes required' but not allocated to a Phase. What will they be used for? Or should they be marked decommissioned?	There is no stated requirement for ASI / ASO in UKLP. This files should be shown as decommissioned. This will be added to the decommissioned file log.
00195	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	AQR	AQ Correction Response			ASI/ASO are marked as a 'No change but required'. What will they be used for? Or should they be marked decommissioned as being replaced with AQI/AQR? WSI/WSO are marked as 'Existing File with changes required' but not allocated to a Phase. What will they be used for? Or should they be marked decommissioned?	There is no stated requirement for ASI / ASO in UKLP. This files should be shown as decommissioned. This will be added to the decommissioned file log.
00196	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	CDR	Connection / Disconnection Response File	M84	NEW_METER_CAPACITY	The new rules being proposed for how the field value is set can lead to ambiguity during transition. Current rules 'Y' = cubic feet 'N' - cubic meters. Future rules 'Y' = cubic feet	Accepted. Change will be made to the description.
00197	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	CNC	Customer Amendments	C37		Clarification of the new process in which the new C37 record is required	This record may be used by you to denote that the customer details previously provided have been superceded and are not to be replaced by alternative details.
00198	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	CNR	Customer Amendments	C50		Clarification of the new process in which the new C50 record is required	The C50 is a response to the C37 which would be submitted to denote that the customer details previously provided have been superceded and are not to be replaced by alternative details.
00199	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	DPS	Domestic Portfolio	M93	POSTCODE_OUTCODE & POSTCODE_INCODE	There is a discrepancy across the file formats in the ordering of the fields POST OUTCODE and INCODE within records. In M93 the record is ordered INCODE followed by outcode.	Outcode and Incode order has been made consistent across all SPA records.
00200	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	EPS	Preliminary Eligible supply point list to Shippers	Q01	POSTCODE_OUTCODE & POSTCODE_INCODE	There is a discrepancy across the file formats in the ordering of the fields POST OUTCODE and INCODE within records. In Q01 the record is ordered INCODE followed by outcode.	Outcode and Incode order has been made consistent across all SPA records.

00201	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	MDR	Daily Data Logger Reads File	M00	METER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK_COUNT	Please clarify when this field is reset i.e. on each read so the count is the number of RTC since the last read or since the meter was installed.	The METER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK_COUNT is if the Meter has gone through the zeros between this current read and the previous.
00202	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	MPE	Meter Point Status Notification	S06	CURRENT_YEAR_MINIMUM_SOQ	Please clarify why the BOTTOM_STOP_DM_SOQ has been removed and new has been added at the end of the file format instead of replacing at the same position within the record	Modification 0445 removes the principle of the BSSOQ.  As a result of early representations in advance of issuing the file formats from UK Link Committee we were instructed to insert new fields at the end of records in order to minimise the impact to Users.
00203	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	NMS	Notify Shipper of MP status change	N99		Clarification of process in which the new file is used is required	This is a notification that is issued to the Registered User if there is a change to the Supply Meter Point Status i.e. LI to DE
00204	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	PAC	Pre Transfer Asset Changes	U06	BUILDING_NUMBER	Changed from from Text(10) to Number(4). House number including character. Are these expected to be held in BUILDING_NAME?	Yes, this record has been amended to be consistent with other records.
00205	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	Y	Y	PRN	Provisional Ratchet Notification File			OK	
00206	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	Y	Y	RAT	Ratchet Notification File			OK	
00207	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	SFN	Site Visit and asset fault notification			Clarification of process in which the new file is used is required	This file relates to Class 3 & 4 only and is to enable Shippers to provide Site Visit information following a Check Read on Derived Metering Equipment and to indicate there is a faulty Asset at a premise.
00208	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	SFR	Site visit and asset fault response			Clarification of process in which the new file is used is required	This file is a response to an SFN file to confirm if the file has been accepted or rejected.
00209	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	SPC	Supply Point Amendments	S34	MRF_TYPE_CODE	MRF_TYPE_CODE made optional in S34 but not others	This record now allows batch frequency changes, so in certain cases MRF type code will not be required.
00210	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	SWN	IGT unregistered site notification to shippers			Clarification of process in which the new file is used is required	This file will be used to denote that the meter has been attached at a Supply Meter Point where you are the appointed elected User.
00211	Gazprom	Alison Neild	10/10/2014	N	Y	UMR	Unbundled Meter Read File	U01	METER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK_COUNT & CONVERTOR_ROUND_THE_CLOCK	Please clarify when these fields are reset i.e. on each read so the count is the number of RTC since the last read or since the meter was installed.	The METER_ROUND_THE_CLOCK_COUNT is if the Meter has gone through the zeros between this current read and the previous.