



On 12 Jan 2011, at 17:01, .Box.UKLINK.Manual wrote:

Communication Ref : LJ/1035/DA
Subject : Representation matrices for the
Implementation Summaries issued
to the UK-Link Committee meeting in
December

Colleague,
Please find attached the representation matrices for the
Implementation Summaries issued to the UK-Link Committee
meeting in December.

These changes will be discussed at the UK-Link Committee
meeting tomorrow, 13th January 2011, to seek approval for
scheduling these changes.

Regards,

David Addison
Project Manager
xoserve Projects & Change Management
31, Homer Road, Solihull, B91 3LT.
0121 623 2741
david.addison@xoserve.com

If you have any comments, concerns or issues with this email, please
contact uklink@xoserve.com

 Save Paper – Do you really need to print this email?

LJ/1020/DJ – COR962 – Proposed Changes to Prime and Sub Deduct (PSA)

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | xoserve Comments |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| nPower | Amie Charalambous | 17/12/10 | Accept | | <p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the changes proposed below, on behalf of nPower, we would support the change in principle however; we would like to be able to download the queries from the web application in the same manner that the filter failure queries are handled presently.</p> | <p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>The Q system will have a search functionality that will allow Users to download contacts in a report format. We expect that there will be a maximum size of this report but this will capacity will be confirmed later through our design phase.</p> |

LJ/1025/DJ – TRF Hierarchy V7 for Representations

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | Reserve Comments |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| npower | Amie Charalambous | 24/12/10 | Accept | | Following clarification provided, we are now in support of this proposed change. | Thank you for your comments. |

LJ/1026/DJ – COR962 – Revision to the Proposed ‘Q Communication Interfaces’ following User Representation

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | Observer Comments |
|------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EDF Energy | Ashley Collins | 10/01/11 | Reject | | EDF does not support this proposal. This is not what we agreed at the CEUG and it's at this meeting where each interested organisation's process experts are present. We request that this is referred to CEUG for discussion prior to approval through UKLink. | Thank you for your comments. It is proposed that this is referred to the next CEUG to clarify this group's position. |
| nPower | Amie Charalambous | 06/01/11 | Accept | | On behalf of nPower, I wish to advise that we are satisfied with the contents of the presentation | Thank you for your comments. |

LJ/1027/DJ – COR962 – PRS Prime & Sub Deduct Meter Contacts

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | Reserve Comments |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| nPower | Amie Charalambous | 07/01/11 | Accept | | Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the changes proposed below, on behalf of nPower, I wish to advise that we are happy to accept the changes. | Thank you for your comments. |

LJ/1028/DJ – Unregistered Sites Process Information

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | xoserve Comments |
|----------|-------------|----------|---------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Centrica | Sue Cropper | 16/12/10 | | | <p>In terms of providing a response to unregistered sites – can these response be sent in bulk? Currently we respond in bulk as there are several hundred responses to be made, so this facility needs to continue. The note below is not clear and a “user interface” is often single item only.</p> <p>Assume access to shipperless is via the same search and download facility? Can we check.</p> <p>We will need access to SSP (different meter) shipperless as well as PTS (same meter) shipper specific. We currently receive these and would want to continue to do so.</p> <p>How will we access orphaned sites?</p> | <p>Thank your comments.</p> <p>Yes, you will have the ability to continue to respond to your Unregistered sites reports in bulk.</p> <p>Yes, both Shipperless and unregistered sites will assume the same communication route.</p> <p>xoserve are not proposing to make changes to the information provided, you will continue to receive both SSS and PTS sites at Shipper specific level.</p> <p>Orphan sites will be accessible via the Q system, but this will be confirmed at the design stage.</p> |

LJ/1032/DJ – COR962 – Proposed Changes to SARS

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | xoserve Comments |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NPower | Amie Charalambous | 07/01/11 | Supported | | Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the proposed change below. On behalf on npower, we would support the change in principle, assuming we have access to the User Interface on the Q system and any training support is given | Thank you for your comments. A User Guide and Training will be provided to Users prior to the Q system going live. Details of the training will be provided at a later date. |
| SSE | Martin Brandt | 06/01/11 | Rejected | | <p>This proposal has 2 elements to it:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will stop the ability of Shippers to send faxes in to xoserve with regard to SARs. We are not unhappy about this. 2. It will stop the sending of BISCUIT files via e-mail and require that this submission will be via the User interface on the Q system. <p>The e-mail also states that this will require a new User Pays service line for submissions via the Q system. I would like to see what the proposed charges for this will be before agreeing to it, otherwise its a bit like signing a blank cheque! I would expect if anything it will be cheaper than sending the e-mail, otherwise you would not be doing it!</p> | <p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>Your comment is noted, the plan is not to stop fax, it is envisaged this medium will not be used by User preference.</p> <p>It is proposed to stop email but retain the existing BISCUIT format, but enable submissions via the upload facility planned on the new Q system. We note representation from other Users with reference to the SPAA Schedule; this may result in our seeking a change to this schedule.</p> <p>We note your support in principle which will allow us to proceed. The proposed submission method is unlikely to be any more</p> |

LJ/1032/DJ – COR962 – Proposed Changes to SARS

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------|----------|--|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Eon | Danielle King | 22/12/10 | | | <p>Being unable to send biscuit files via email will mean a system change for E.ON which will be very costly.</p> <p>Can you tell me how the proposed change re. Biscuit files, has been considered in relation to the SPAA Schedule 13, CSV File Transfer Specification which denotes how a file is sent?</p> | <p>expensive than the existing email process.</p> |
| | | | | <p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>There are no proposed changes to the BISCUIT file, the same file format will be loaded into the Q system.</p> <p>We note the reference to the SPAA and will ensure compliance with this schedule. This may result in seeking to change this Schedule to remove the email provision in favour of this proposal, or to introduce this as an additional method of provision for such data to from Transporter's representatives.</p> | | |

LJ/1033/DJ – COR962 – Proposed Changes to MOD517

| Shipper | Name | Date | Accept/Reject | Publish | Shipper Comments | Reserve Comments |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| nPower | Amie Charalambous | 07/01/11 | Accept | | Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the changes proposed below, on behalf of nPower, I wish to advise that we are happy to accept the changes. | Thank you for your comments. |