

**UNC Workgroup 0529 Minutes  
Implementation of Retrospective Adjustment arrangements  
(Project Nexus transitional modification)**

**Tuesday 07 April 2015**

**31 Homer Road, Solihull, B91 3LT**

**Attendees**

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Mike Berrisford (Secretary)	(MB)	Joint Office
Aleksandra Cebo*	(AC)	EDF Energy
Alexa St Clair*	(ASC)	Opus Energy
Alison Neild	(AN)	Gazprom
Andrea Bruce	(AB)	Scottish Power
Colette Baldwin	(CB)	E.ON
David Addison	(DA)	Xoserve
Debbie Draycott*	(DD)	BP
Edward Hunter	(EH)	npower
Emma Lyndon	(EL)	Xoserve
Emma Smith	(ES)	Xoserve
Glen Nixon	(GN)	Utiligroup
Huw Comerford	(HC)	Utilita
James Hill	(JH)	EDF Energy
James Kleiner-Mann	(JKM)	Wingas
Karen Visgarda	(KV)	Joint Office
Leigh Chapman	(LC)	first:utility
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Michele Downes	(MD)	Xoserve
Naomi Nathanael	(NN)	Plus Shipping Services
Rachel Duke*	(RD)	EDF Energy
Rob Cameron-Higgs	(RCH)	Good Energy
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Steve Russell	(SR)	RWE npower
Sue Cropper	(SC)	British Gas

\*via teleconference

Copies of all papers are available at: <http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0529/070415>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 18 June 2014.

**1.0 Review of Minutes and Actions**

**1.1. Minutes**

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

**1.2. Actions**

No outstanding actions to review.

**2.0 Conclusion of Workgroup Report**

The Workgroup considered whether or not the descoping retrospective updates from Project Nexus delivery on 01 October would impact on this modification, as the implementation date would be unknown until there is an agreed amendment to the Modification 0434 legal text.

DA suggested that this was really the only outstanding item relating to progressing the modification. However, SM suggested that there remains some fundamental questions around the duration of transitional aspects and the impacts of deferring delivery of retrospective update facilities, as this is not simply related to a date change in his view. SM questioned how parties would be expected to submit their representations against the modification in its current guise. BF suggested that perhaps more clarity is needed around potential date changes and any knock on impacts before completing the Workgroup Report.

At this point MD provided an overview of the 'Project Nexus Workgroup Retrospective Updates' presentation.

In reviewing the 'Key Points' slide, SM suggested that there are some potential data cleansing/corruption related issues that need considering, as he is concerned that there is a risk to the new systems data as parties are likely to want to make more updates due to the changing nature of the process.

The focus of discussion then moved onto the 'Asset Updates – Installation' slide, where some parties wondered whether this assumes Class 4 reads only. CB suggested that if the transfer read is wrong, as the incoming Shipper, you would have no transparency of this. When asked, which Shipper would be expected to provide the readings needed to calculate the consumption adjustment for the period 15/06/2015 and 30/07/2015, MD indicated that this would be the previous Shipper, for correction of energy purposes. She also pointed out that this would only impact the new Shipper if they wish to change the transfer read(s). MJ suggested that the weakness with this process as it potentially cuts across Shipper systems.

Moving on to consider the 'Supply Point Updates' slide, SM suggested that the Supply Meter Point updates can only be applied for a date after the latest activity on UKLink begs the question as to what are the Users business rules relating to this matter would be in the new world.

Moving on once more to consider the 'Supply Meter Point Update – Correction Factor' slide, CB suggested that there are potential Unallocated Gas related impacts and issues here. When asked whether the consumption adjustments are linked to the meter installation rather than volume of gas for the period between 15/06/2015 and 20/10/2015, DA advised that this is triggered by site visits, and acknowledged that there may be a number of possible scenarios that might be applied as a consequence.

DA suggested that it might be prudent to defer further development of the Workgroup Report at this meeting and seek to complete the document at the next meeting in May, as the matter of retrospective updates is being discussed at the forthcoming Change Overview Board meeting. Some parties believe that making any Go/No Go decision on retrospective updates at the Change Overview Board and Nexus Steering Group meetings might prove difficult.

Pointing to Section 3 – Solution in the draft Workgroup Report, DA indicated that in his opinion this proposes some simple principles, although there may be issues related to deferral of introduction of the retrospective updates functionality.

BF added a statement into Section 5 – Implementation to reflect some of the Workgroup participants concerns that it would be difficult to complete the process without an indication of implementation timescales.

CB asked whether the build on retrospective updates and unique sites had stopped now they were considering a deferral of these elements, and EL answered that design was

complete but was unable to confirm whether build had stopped.

It was felt that a decision by the Nexus Steering Group should be awaited before progressing the modification further.

The production of the Workgroup Report was deferred at this point.

### 3.0 Next Steps

The Workgroup will consider further development of the Workgroup Report at the May 2015 meeting.

### 4.0 Any Other Business

None.

### 5.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: [www.gasgovernance.co.uk/Diary](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/Diary)

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Venue	Workgroup Programme
10:30 Wednesday 12 May 2015	31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	Consideration of amended modification (if provided)  Consideration of Legal Text  Completion of Workgroup Report