

Project Nexus Workgroup Minutes
Wednesday 18 June 2014
at 31 Homer Road, Solihull B91 3LT

Attendees

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Mike Berrisford (Secretary)	(MiB)	Joint Office
Alison Salisbury	(AS)	Corona Energy
Andy Miller	(AM)	Xoserve
Brendan Cooper*	(BC)	GDF Suez
Carl Whitehouse	(CWh)	first:utility
Chris Warner	(CW)	National Grid Distribution
Colette Baldwin	(CB)	E.ON UK
David Addison	(DA)	Xoserve
Elaine Carr	(EC)	ScottishPower
Emma Lyndon	(EL)	Xoserve
Emily Wells	(EW)	Corona Energy
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	Xoserve
Huw Comerford	(HC)	utilita
James Rigby	(JR)	RWE npower
Johan Buys	(JB)	Corona Energy
Jon Dixon	(JD)	Ofgem
Kathryn Allen	(KA)	RWE npower
Lorna Lewin	(LL)	DONG Energy
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Michele Downes	(MD)	Xoserve
Mike Fensome*	(MF)	GDF Suez
Richard Franklin	(RF)	Opus Energy
Sandra Simpson	(SS)	Xoserve
Steve Mullinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Sue Cropper	(SC)	British Gas

* via teleconference

Copies of all papers are available at: <http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/nexus/180614>

1. Introduction and Status Review

1.1. Review of Minutes

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted.

1.2. Review of Actions

NEX03/06: Xoserve (FC/MD) to examine the proposed options (in the British Gas 'Further Analysis on Meter Reading Validation Tolerances proposed by Project Nexus' presentation) with an eye to providing an informed view on the preferred solution(s) so that the PNUNC could consider in due course.

Update: Update to be provided at the 22 July meeting. **Carried Forward**

NEX06/01: Xoserve (AM) to consider whether or not DMV sites could migrate to Class 2, and if not, what would be the shortest period that Xoserve required to re-confirm them to NDM before Nexus implementation.

Update: AM advised that consideration is ongoing with the focus on migrating DMV sites into Class 2. He added that the Transporters had offered to extend the DMV (read service) provisions for up to 6 months following Project Nexus go-live date. Thereafter, the Transporters read service would be withdrawn and DMV sites moved into Class 4 unless the sites have been designated as Class 2 by Shippers. Furthermore, he reminded parties that the read provision remains a Transporter obligation during the transition period.

When asked whether or not Modification(s) 0473/0473A could have a potential impact on matters, AM suggested that focus remains on the unconfirmed sites and the main goal is to a best fit solution for these wherever possible. SM felt that the proposed solution was a sensible one – a view that met with general support of those present.

CW then advised that the Transporters are considering what to do with their existing 'in-situ' equipment, which may culminate in Shippers having the option to purchase the equipment at some point or replace it.

When asked if there was a cut-off date for the NDM switching (alternative) option, AM responding by indicating that this would simply be undertaken in accordance with any existing Code rules, whilst at the same time taking into consideration any potential non effective dates. AM also confirmed that for the time being it is envisaged that the NDR file formats would continue to be utilised within Xoserve systems for Class 1 sites, although the file formats themselves may require changes in due course. **Carried Forward**

NEX06/02: Xoserve (AM) to provide an explanation, including any Network referral activities, for the confirmation of a supply point to Class 2.

Update: AM requested that the action be carried over until the 22 July meeting. **Carried Forward**

1.3. Pre Modification Discussions (10mins/item)

None.

2. Workgroups

2.1 0473 – Project Nexus – Allocation of Unidentified Gas

(Report due to Panel on 18 September 2014) – Papers at:

<http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0473>

3. Issues and topics for discussion

3.1 Transition Business Rules for Project Nexus Document Update

MD explained that the published document (v0.3, dated 16/06/2014) represents the culmination of discussions to date and requested that should anyone have any comments/feedback to please feel free to submit them to her.

3.2 Nexus Workgroup CSEP Transition Topic

AM provided a brief overview of the presentation.

In considering the 5 DM supply points on a CSEP Network which do not meet the Class 1 DM mandatory criteria, AM noted that these would be picked up via DMV as discussed elsewhere in this meeting.

When considering the diagram on slide 12 MD confirmed that any aggregation of Supply Meter Points for transportation charging purposes is handled behind the scenes by Xoserve.

As far as the annual quantity review aspects outlined on the 'Scope of iGT Agency Services' slide, AM confirmed that he would remove this item as in future this would not be the subject of a review.

Moving on to consider the 'Purpose of Data Preparation' on slide 18, AM advised that as far as the mapping of MPRN to CSEP (i.d.) to iGT Licence Holder, the iGT data would be provided in January and would be followed by a 2/3 month mapping exercise. Whilst Portfolio reports would be provided to Shippers, the actual report layouts are yet to be determined. AM then advised that Xoserve had been engaging with iGTs on this preparation work for over 18 months and, as a consequence, he remains confident that the data provided by the iGTs would be robust and 'fit for purpose'.

Action NEX06/03: Xoserve (AM) to provide a list of portfolio reports data items for information to Shippers.

In considering the 'CSEPs Large Supply Point Reconciliation' diagram on slide 23, AM highlighted that this is Xoserve's proposed solution for the (circa) 4.5k LSPs involved, whilst adding that there would be no requirement for Shipper to change their processes. AM considered that the proposed solution would enable the correct management of the associated processes and ensure that all allocated energy was reconciled. AM indicated that he remains confident that all LMNs would be reconciled in advance (by the end of July 2015) with work ongoing towards this end, over the next 12 months or so. When asked AM suggested that any Rolling AQ impacts would be minor in nature, but did suggest that the more reads the Shippers could provide for the 4.5k sites, the more validation the iGTs could undertake, thereby potentially lessening the risks involved.

Whilst CB advised that the CSEP Ops Forum are trying to close off some of the missing LMNs reconciliations, AM also advised that Xoserve would be looking to email parties in due course to seek to resolve some of the outstanding/missing LMNs reconciliations. Consensus was that the proposal, whilst not perfect, provides for a workable solution.

AM pointed out that as far as the in-flight Change of Supplier events are concerned, consideration of the iGT arrangements going forward remains ongoing.

Moving on to consider the 'In-flight supply point register updates that pre-date 1st October 2015' slide, AM suggested during discussions that we may need some non effective days for the Change of Shipper aspects. CB suggested that it is the mid month CoS's that may be the issue (including ARVs and subs estimate change potential impacts). In acknowledging that there are possible timing issues, AM reminded those present that the iGTs would be providing the actual estimates with the real driver being related to flagging up CoS impacts, so that all parties are made aware of any potential issues. When asked how the iGT Query Resolution Process would be expected to work in the new world, AM responded by advising that after the 01 October date, Xoserve would not be accepting any updates to the Supply Point Register from the iGTs. However, it is hoped that the ongoing preparation work being undertaken with the iGTs should help to resolve some of the asset data related issues.

Closing the discussions, AM pointed out that as far as the six UNC Modifications highlighted on the final slide are concerned, Xoserve is currently considering the requirements and that the business case(s) for any new iGT raised modification(s) would need to 'mirror' its equivalent UNC Modification cousin.

3.3 Nexus Requirements Clarification Log update

MD provided a brief overview of the two 'live' requirements.

REQ16 – Support for removing the ability to cancel a Nomination - MD confirmed that Shippers would be able to resubmit as many nominations as necessary. She also advised that Xoserve would be contacting the Shippers who submitted Nomination Cancellation files to discuss the proposals with them, and

REQ17 – Support for only allowing Shipper Agreed Reads (SAR's) to be submitted by IX file (U01) - MD advised that only three Shippers utilise fax and indicated that Xoserve would be contacting these Shippers to request that they utilise IX files instead. DA pointed out that this matter potentially cuts across SPAA Schedule 11 & 12

provisions and would therefore potentially require changes to both the SPAA Schedule and the Non-Code User Pays service schedules.

4. Any Other Business

4.1 iGT Agency Services Agreement (ASA) Arrangements

AM advised those present that it is expected that an equivalent iGT ASA document would be developed as part of the iGT UNC Modification 039 considerations and that it would also include a non Code User Pays Service related change, whilst no system build or process changes are envisaged at this time.

AM went on to indicate that Xoserve would be writing to Shippers in due course regarding any possible iGT data migration and cleansing related issues and also to provide a progress update.

When asked where any SSP capacity bundling would/could be challenged in future, CW advised that in his opinion this falls under the auspices of UNC Modification 0440 (CSEP) provisions, and as a consequence the governance of any challenges would be under Code provisions – in essence, its an aggregate of all downstream offtake points. DA also added that it also relates to what SOQ would/could be applied whilst MD pointed out that it is always the maximum AQ/SOQ that is applied in these instances (i.e. every supply point on that CSEP would have the same AQ/SOQ for iGT Transportation charges).

Action NEX06/04: Xoserve (MD) to provide a presentation on how SSP capacity aggregation is applied (inc. how the maximum AQ/SOQ aspects would work at a CSEP level).

4.2 UNC Modification 0467 Agency Charging Statement (ACS) update

When asked, AM suggested that an ACS was not required for UNC Modification 0467 on the grounds that there is in existence a 'wider' ACS relating to non Code User Pays provisions. BF pointed out that in his view an ACS would be needed for consideration by the Workgroup. CW advised that he would update the June Panel on this matter.¹

4.3 EUC Band 9 Analysis update

SM advised that he had identified a couple of sites within Gazprom's portfolio that exhibit some strange anomalies and that he would be discussing the matter in more detail with Xoserve in due course. AM also suggested that the current analysis report was wrong in stating that there are zero sites, as in actual fact, there are 18 impacted sites.

4.4 Xoserve 'to-be' process maps and file format flows

When asked, SS indicated that following feedback at the recent Technology Day (inc. AMT catalogue possibilities), an update would be provided at the following UPIEG meeting.

5. Diary Planning

The following meetings are scheduled to take place during 2014:

Time/Date	Venue	Workgroup Programme
10:30 Tuesday 08 July	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	0473/0473A

¹ Post Meeting Note: A non code User Pays ACS has been approved and published for this modification.

10:30 Tuesday 22 July	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed
10:30 Monday 11 August	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed
10:30 Tuesday 09 September	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed
10:30 Tuesday 07 October	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed
10:30 Tuesday 04 November	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed
10:30 Tuesday 09 December	National Grid Office, 31 Homer Road, Solihull. B91 3LT.	To be confirmed

Action Table

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
NEX03/06	19/03/14	3.1.6	To examine the proposed options (in the British Gas 'Further Analysis on Meter Reading Validation Tolerances proposed by Project Nexus' presentation) with an eye to providing an informed view on the preferred solution(s) so that the PNUNC could consider in due course.	Xoserve (FC/MD)	Update to be provided. Carried Forward <u>To be discussed at 22 July meeting</u>
NEX06/01	04/06/14	3.1.1	To consider whether or not DMV sites could migrate to Class 2, and if not, what would be the shortest period that Xoserve required to re-confirm them to NDM before Nexus implementation.	Xoserve (AM)	Update to be provided. Carried Forward
NEX06/02	04/06/14	3.1.1	To provide an explanation, including any Network referral activities, for the confirmation of a supply point to Class 2.	Xoserve (AM)	Update to be provided. Carried Forward

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
NEX06/03	18/06/14	3.2	To provide a list of portfolio reports data items for information to Shippers.	Xoserve (AM)	Update to be provided.
NEX06/04	18/06/14	4.1	To provide a presentation on how SSP capacity aggregation is applied (inc. how the maximum AQ/SOQ aspects would work at a CSEP level).	Xoserve (MD)	Update to be provided.