PROJECT NEXUS DOCUMENT REVIEW FORM V3

DELIVERABLE DETAILS		
PROJECT NAME: Project Nexus	**DOCUMENT NAME: BRD: Reconciliation**	
DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Business Requirements Document		
DATE: 21st November 2011	**VERSION: 0.6**	
AUTHOR: Xoserve	**WORKGROUP: PN UNC - Reconciliation**	
REVIEWER NAME: Various	**DATE OF REVIEW: 31/10/2011 – 11/11/2011**	
REVIEW DETAILS		
REVIEW MEETING DETAILS: 21st November 2011		
COMMENTS DUE BACK BY DATE: 11th November 2011		

No.	Raised By	Document Ref	Comments Received	Workgroup Comments
1	Grace Smith, npower	General	npower are satisfied that the Business Requirement Document summarises the consensus of the workgroup.	Noted at Workgroup. No changes to BRD
2	Grace Smith, npower	9.2.2	We believe that Option 1 of 9.2.2 represents the most efficient and least complex solution but look forward to the cost analysis and comparison.	Noted at Workgroup. No changes to BRD
3	Xoserve	Page 15. Section 8.	Product 4, page 15 - the allocation is not an 'estimate' but an 'allocation of the share of NDM throughput, based on the site/meter's historical usage'.	Workgroup agreed to Change to ‘Allocation Profiles and AQ’. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.
4	Xoserve	Page 17, Section 8.2.2	Allocated energy isn't estimated - it's an actual allocation	Workgroup agreed to remove “estimated” from the sentence. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.

No.	Raised By	Document Ref	Comments Received	Workgroup Comments
5	Xoserve	Page 17, Section 8.2.4	The RF is derived for a period as Act vol/Alloc vol. The 'Actual energy' is RF * Alloc energy. The Rec Quantity is Actual - Alloc energy. This is done for periods of constant commodity transportation rate to enable commodity rec. For energy, the cumulative SAP factors are used, which take into account daily changes in SAP. Description of periodic rec is incorrect: We don't actually derive daily rec quantity - it's for the rec (or variance) period. Rec volume is not used - it's the rec Quantity, in kWh.	Workgroup agreed to amend description as follows: Reconciliation quantities will be calculated as follows; Calculate a Reconciliation Factor (RF) for the period as Actual Volume/ Allocated Volume. Reconciliation Energy = RF*Allocated Energy Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.
6	Xoserve	Page 18, Section 8.4.1	Allocated not estimated	Workgroup agreed to remove “estimated” from the sentence. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.
7	Xoserve	Page 19, Section 8.5.4	Currently for a re-synch there is no allocated volume, it's a previous actual. Do we do RF etc. for re-synchs?	In future may be resynching on all Products (1 to 4). Previous volume may be DM or NDM. Previous reconciliation may or may not have occurred. Workgroup agreed to replace “actual volume” with “resynch volume” and replace “allocated volume” with “previous volume” throughout this section. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.
8	Graham Wood, British Gas	8.7 NDM CSEP Reconciliation	The harmonisation of arrangements for IGT supply points is clearly the goal that the industry should be seeking to achieve. Should this not be achievable then we do not agree, at this time, that Option E is the most appropriate solution and that further analysis would be required in order to agree the most optimal solution.	Discussed at Workgroup & agreed Option E would not be a preferred option. No changes required to document.

No.	Raised By	Document Ref	Comments Received	Workgroup Comments
9	Graham Wood, British Gas	8.9 Validation of Reconciliation values	We believe that more consideration and analysis will be required here to ensure that read validation processes are appropriate to balance the need between protecting the industry from 'market breakers' and not over-loading the industry with USRV's. We are mindful that if USRV volumes today are consistent and extrapolated to future read volumes then volumes of USRV's would be intolerable.	Agreed further analysis is required at a later stage. No changes required to document.
10	Graham Wood, British Gas	8.11 Reconciliation 'Line in the sand'	There is a requirement to make it clear that there will be different rules for LSP's and SSP's (or those supply points which are currently reconciled against those that will be new to the process). e.g. for an existing LSP the line in the sand will be in accordance with their last rec, whereas for 'new' SSP's the line in the sand will commence from implementation date of the new regime.	Comment relates to section 9. Workgroup agreed that further clarification should be made to paragraph 9.1. Additional paragraph added: 9.1.6. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.
11	Graham Wood, British Gas	8.11 Reconciliation 'Line in the sand'	Wider consideration should also be given to alignment with back-billing rules.	Discussed at Workgroup. No changes required to document as already under discussion at Distribution Workgroup.
12	Graham Wood, British Gas	8.14 Allocation of Unidentified Gas Expert (AUGE)	We are still giving consideration to the potential role of the AUGE under these new arrangements and do not agree with this statement at this time.	Agreed at workgroup to add to concerns section. Updated in version 0.7 of BRD.