



Representation Draft Modification Report 0550: Project Nexus – Incentivising Central Project Delivery

Modification Report

- **1. Consultation close out date:** 10th March 2016
- 2. Respond to: <u>enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk</u>
- 3. Organisation:

Gazprom Energy Bauhaus, 5th Floor 27 Quay Street Manchester

- 4. Representative:
 Steve Mulinganie

 Regulation & Compliance Manager

 stevemulinganie@gazprom-mt.com

 07590 245 256
- **5. Date of Representation:** 10th March 2016
- 6. Do you support or oppose Implementation: We Support implementation of the Modification

7. Please summarise (in 1 paragraph) the key reason(s) for your position:

The Transporters have already failed to deliver Nexus for 1st October 2015 and already a delay of 12 months to 1st October 2016 has been incurred. This 12 month delay should have provided the Transporters with sufficient time to successfully deliver the Nexus program. However Transporters have recently had to request a further 12 month delay, until October 2017, in delivering elements of retrospective functionality from core delivery with Shippers having to pick up the bill for supporting a manual workaround. In this context it seems fair and proportionate, having already been given an addition 12 months to deliver Nexus, that Transporters are further incentivised to deliver Nexus for the 1st October 2016.

- 8. Are there any new or additional Issues for the Modification Report: No
- 9. Self-Governance Statement Do you agree with the status? NA





10. Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with the modifications proposer that the proposal is positive in respect of relevant objective (f) as the proposal mitigates the risk of Project Nexus not being delivered because it incentivises Transporters to take actions designed to deliver on time.

11. Impacts & Costs:

What analysis, development and on-going costs would you face if this modification was implemented? We **have not** identified any significant costs associated with this modification

12. Implementation:

What lead times would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why? We would like to see a decision made ASAP as the development of this proposal has taken a considerable amount of time.

13. Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification? We have no comments on the Legal Text provided.

14. Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise. No.