

CHANGE REQUEST CR13660 Representation Comments

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	Response
EDF Energy	Hazel Doyle	25/10/05	Accept	Yes	<p>EDF Energy raised this change because it was felt that the current 'Portfolio Effect Date' field was of very little benefit to Shippers.</p> <p>Replacing it with the 'Confirmation Effective Date' enables Shippers to provide information to ensure Suppliers are billing customers from the correct date. Customer billing problems were recently highlighted by Ofgem/Energywatch. Including the 'confirmation effective date' would enable Suppliers to identify MPRN's that need action to ensure prompt and accurate billing to customers. Shippers can locate the relevant TRF file(s) to enable Suppliers to establish the date. However this can be time consuming depending on how their systems operate.</p> <p>Advantages of this amended DPS file are thought to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Single file source for reconciling billing records. b) Process efficiencies in dealing with a single file source. c) Easier management of missing information. Using individual TRF files means any files, which are missing, will be difficult to monitor. 	
ScottishPower	Mark Pitchford	27/10/2005		Yes	<p>We have no specific view with this change. However, we have a concern that whenever a site is reconfirmed then the DPS file will not show the billing start date.</p>	
Centrica	Anup Pancholi	28/10/05	Reject		<p>British Gas has considered this change proposal (DPS file amendment) and as</p>	

CHANGE REQUEST CR13660 Representation Comments

					this is a Class 3 Modification we do not support the implementation of this change at this present time.	
Scottish Southern	Martin Brandt	28/10/05			Scottish and Southern Energy consider there is some merit in this change - subject to cost (if it was zero cost we would definitely want this.)	
RWE(Npower)	David Mayne	28/10/05	Reject		RWE npower feel that the proposed change NR/504/DA would be of little additional benefit and as such we reject this. The discussed data is already a mandatory item in an alternative data flow and therefore is readily available.	