Organisation	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Organisation Comments	Xoserve Comments
Northern Gas Networks	James Marrington	03/01/2017	TBC	Y	Is there going to be a short period planned for testing that this compatibility works? Or is the assumption that it will work across the industry? If not, I was just wondering whether there is a risk that this doesn't work if it is not tested.	Thank you for your comment. Once the relevant component has been implemented, the newer security protocols (TLS 1.2) will be active concurrently with the existing protocols (TLS 1.1 and TLS 1.0). Users can therefore test and transition their environments to the new protocols at their leisure over a period of at least 6 months. Following the transition period, Xoserve will disable the older protocols. We will of course issue further communications regarding this change nearer the time.

## 1680.2- RH -SN COR1154.15.85 – Shipper Rejection Codes V2.14FA

Organisation	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Organisation Comments	Xoserve Comments
British Gas	Graham Wood	30/12/2016	Y	Y	We are fine with the change.	Thank you for your comment.

Organisation	Name	Date	In Support / Not In Support	Publish	Organisation Comments	Xoserve Comments
Gazprom Energy	Alison Neild	19/12/2016	TBC	Y	1680.3 CP - Deferred Change - Nomination ReferralsPlease confirm that networks have stated they are able to manage this process within the 12 day SLA. There is a financial implication of these large sites transferring late that is currently not backed off through the currently standards of service penalties.Have you carried out any analysis to understand what the implication of referral volume there would be for networks?Would the networks know where the SOQ/SHQ was prevailing and within the ratio to be able to respond immediately with no need for referral activities?	Thank you for your comments. The screens that are available to Networks will provide the prevailing values as well as the nominated values therefore referrals for this reason should be readily identifiable. We would expect to see increased volumes of DM nomination activity around 1st October and implementation of UNC Modification 0441 (PNID+6M). It is difficult to project volumes of sites that will nominate without amending the capacity value, and also we are not aware of the projected uptake of class 2 meter points following 441.

## 1680.3 - RH -SN COR1154.15.86 - Notification of Deferred Change – Nomination Referrals

British Gas	Graham Wood	30/12/2016	TBC	Y	We have a few clarifications on the change:	Thank you for your comments.
					The change description does not provide any background rationale for the referral rule – can such communications identify the relevant Uniform Network Code rules (in this case we think it is Section G paragraph 2.3.8 of the Transportation Principal Document) so that code compliance checks can be made. Please clarify what you mean by "additional time" for the nomination – is this over and above the UNC maximum lead time and just how much extra time will be required? It is our assumption that the SOQ/SHQ ratio validations and referral rules against the DM Capacity Amendments (SPC.S38) is not impacted because of this change. Please confirm.	The new UK Link coding creates a capacity referral for all Nomination and Capacity amendments (SPC) where the ratio is >16 but <24 even if the values are the prevailing values. In the Legacy system this does not occur. TPD G 2.3.8 does reference responses to a nomination, one of which is a referral notice. These will still be subject to the UNC rules G4.1 will apply for Nomination referrals, the reference to extra time is just to make Users aware in these scenarios the request will refer, unlike in legacy, and therefore will be a need to account for this.