

RE: 1211.3 - JH-DA Initial Consultation Responses Sought Regarding Organisation Notation

Shipper	Name	Date	Accept/Reject	Publish	Shipper Comments	Xoserve Comments
E.ON	Trevor Clark	14/05/13	Accept	-	E.ON supports an approach to use industry agreed Codes in all communication where the User is being informed of another organisation, however our strong preference would be for these Codes to be based on 3 digit MDD Market Participant Codes. Currently the K13 record layout supports the use of a 12 digit 'Supplier Abbreviated Name' which is populated with data that has not been agreed at an industry level, this can result in records being rejected by Supplier systems requiring manual intervention to correct. The use of existing MDD values would help facilitate the smooth processing of such records.	<p>Thank you for your response. We will assess amendment of records to provide a consistent reference to organisations as part of UK Link Replacement implementation.</p> <p>We will further assess whether tactical options exist for the K13 record in the short term.</p>
NPower	Stephanie Shepard	23/05/13	Accept	Yes	<p>Whilst Npower appreciate that there are several methods of reference currently being used within UK link systems, we do not support any further scoping of change in this area, and believe that project Nexus should address the wider data quality concerns.</p> <p>However, having understood that the current validation within the K13 could be causing potential issues for other suppliers in the market, we would suggest that any remedial fixes are done with to resolve the discrepancies in the short term.</p> <p>We seek to clarify the examples given in the communication, as a change from Optional to Mandatory on the K13 has already been implemented.</p>	
EDF Energy	Ashley Collins	17/05/13	Accept	Yes	<p>EDF Energy would be happy to support this proposal and confirm there will be no system impact. We propose the values are fixed to align to the MDD short codes as quickly as possible.</p> <p>To further support this change we have carried out the some analysis for year to date to date with the below items indicating where we have had issues validating values you have sent to us either due to the name you have used or that they have not been registered within MDD by the time</p>	

RE: 1211.3 - JH-DA Initial Consultation Responses Sought Regarding Organisation Notation

					<p>you have started to use the ID</p> <p>"AXIS TEL" "CES" "CIRCUIT SUP" "DALIGAS LTD" "DLG" "RGG"</p> <p>We do not believe the S07 record is impacted by this change however? As this uses the current supplier reference number not an abbreviated code that you are seeking to change</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--