

# 0523S:

## Supply Point Registration – Facilitation of ‘faster switching’ for Shared Supply Meter Points

This modification seeks to bring the Shipper User transfer timescales for Shared Supply Meter Points into line with that set out for all other Supply Points as implemented by Modification 0477 (Supply Point Registration - Facilitation of Faster Switching).



The Workgroup recommends that this self-governance modification should now proceed to consultation.



High Impact:  
None










Medium Impact:  
None



Low Impact:  
Shipper Users holding a Shared Supply Meter Point portfolio

01	Modification
02	Workgroup Report
03	Draft Modification Report
04	Final Modification Report

Contents		 Any questions?
<b>1 Summary</b>	<b>3</b>	Contact: <b>Code Administrator</b>
<b>2 Why Change?</b>	<b>3</b>	 <b>enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk</b>
<b>3 Solution</b>	<b>3</b>	 <b>0121 288 2107</b>
<b>4 Relevant Objectives</b>	<b>5</b>	Proposer: <b>Chris Warner</b>
<b>5 Implementation</b>	<b>5</b>	 <b>chris.warner@nationalgrid.com</b>
<b>6 Impacts</b>	<b>6</b>	 <b>07778 150668</b>
<b>7 Legal Text</b>	<b>6</b>	Transporter: <b>National Grid Distribution</b>
<b>8 Recommendation</b>	<b>7</b>	Systems Provider: <b>Xoserve</b>
<b>About this document:</b>		 <b><a href="mailto:commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com">commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com</a></b>
This report will be presented to the panel on 16 April 2015.		Systems Provider: <b>Xoserve</b>
The panel will consider whether the modification should proceed to consultation or be returned to the workgroup for further assessment.		 <b><a href="mailto:commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com">commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com</a></b>
The Workgroup recommends the following timetable:		
Initial consideration by Workgroup	26 February 2015	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	16 April 2015	
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	17 April 2015	
Consultation Close-out for representations	11 May 2015	
Final Modification Report published for Panel	13 May 2015	
UNC Modification Panel decision	21 May 2015	

## 1 Summary

### Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

The Modification Panel determined that this is a Self Governance modification as the low numbers of relevant Supply Points is unlikely to have material effect on gas consumers; competition or the operation of pipe-line systems.

### Why Change?

Modification 0477, Supply Point Registration - Facilitation of Faster Switching implemented on 07 November 2014, enabled 'faster switching' to take place for all Supply Point categories with the exception of Shared Supply Meter Points (SSMPs). Workgroup 0477 excluded SSMPs from the scope of Modification 0477 as the Shipper User transfer process is dealt with manually under the 'unique sites' procedures. Now that the systems requirements and impacts for SSMPs are understood, it is timely that this modification be progressed which would bring the Shipper User transfer timescales for SSMPs in line with all other Supply Points.

### Solution

Modification of the UNC is required to amend the obligation contained in TPD Section G1.7.10 from a minimum of 20 Business Days to a minimum of 14 Calendar Days for SSMPs.

### Relevant Objectives

The modification would reduce the minimum timeframe for the change of Shipper User Registration for SSMPs. A quicker process would increase consumer confidence and engagement in the change of Supplier process and thus be expected to better facilitate Relevant Objective d) Securing of effective competition between Shippers and Suppliers.

### Implementation

This modification would be implemented in line with the Project Nexus Implementation Date, as this is when the revised Shipper User transfer timelines under Modification 0477 will take effect.

This modification would be delivered in conjunction with the Project Nexus programme and has no impact on the Significant Code Review.

### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

This modification would be delivered in conjunction with the Project Nexus programme. The SCR is not impacted.

## 2 Why Change?

Modification 0477 - Supply Point Registration - Facilitation of Faster Switching implemented on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2014 was raised as a consequence of a Government initiative to reduce the change of supplier timescales within the UK. This initiative did not distinguish between Supply Point categories and so implementation of this modification would ensure compliance with the Government initiative.

The UNC was amended under Modification 0477 and UNC TPD G2.5.8b was revised to change the minimum timescales for transfer of Shipper User Supply Point ownership from 20 Business Days to 14 Calendar Days.

Modification 0477 enabled 'faster switching' to take place for all Supply Point categories with the exception of SSMPs. The 0477 Workgroup sought to exclude these from the scope of 0477 as the

Shipper User transfer process is dealt with manually. It was known at the time of 0477 development that the 'unique sites' processes relating to SSMPs would go 'on-line' with the implementation of the Project Nexus UNC Modifications. As a result it was identified that a separate UNC Modification to align the shipper transfer processes should be considered in due course. This is the modification referred to within the 0477 Workgroup developments.

UNC TPD Section G1.7.10 sets out the corresponding minimum timescales for Shipper User transfer of Supply Point ownership at SSMPs. This obligation currently stands at 20 Business Days. Therefore G1.7.10 is required to be amended from 20 Business Days to 14 Calendar Days.

This would bring the Shipper User transfer timescales for SSMPs into line with all other Supply Points.

### 3 Solution

Modification of the UNC is required to align the Shipper User transfer timescales for SSMPs to that of all other Supply Points. It should be noted that the amendment would solely reduce the minimum timescales for the submission of the Supply Point Confirmation from 20 Business Days to 14 Calendar Days, it does not affect the maximum period for the submission of the Confirmation.

#### Solution

Modification of the UNC is required to amend the obligation contained in TPD Section G1.7.10 from a minimum of 20 Business Days to a minimum of 14 Calendar Days for SSMPs.

#### Business Rules

The proposed Supply Point Registration Date shall be not less than 14 Calendar Days commencing on the Day after the Supply Point Confirmation is submitted. G1.7.10 – (Currently 20 Business Days)

User Pays	
Classification of the modification as User Pays, or not, and the justification for such classification.	No User Pays service would be created or amended by implementation of this modification and it is not, therefore, classified as a User Pays Modification.
Identification of Users of the service, the proposed split of the recovery between Gas Transporters and Users for User Pays costs and the justification for such view.	Not applicable.
Proposed charge(s) for application of User Pays charges to Shippers.	Not applicable.
Proposed charge for inclusion in the Agency Charging Statement (ACS) – to be completed upon receipt of a cost estimate from Xoserve.	Not applicable.

## 4 Relevant Objectives

### Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

In a similar manner to Modification 0477, implementation of this modification would facilitate Relevant Objective d) Securing of effective competition by reducing the minimum timeframe for the change of Shipper User Registration for SSMPs, in turn providing benefits for consumers as they would be able to change their Supplier considerably faster than under the existing arrangements. A quicker process would increase consumer confidence and engagement in the change of Supplier process and thus be expected to better facilitate effective competition between Shippers and Suppliers.

Associated systems and process changes to enable this to happen would be included within the Project Nexus Project therefore additional costs would be kept to a minimum.

## 5 Implementation

This modification is required to be implemented in line with the Project Nexus Implementation Date. Relevant systems and process are presently being developed to facilitate implementation.

### **Suggested wording for Modifications:**

The Workgroup has not proposed a timescale for implementation of this modification, but would suggest that it is implemented at the earliest practical opportunity.

### **Suggested wording for Self-Governance Modifications:**

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

### **Suggested wording for Fast Track Self-Governance Modifications:**

As fast track self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a unanimous vote in favour of implementation by the Modification Panel, subject to no objection being raised.

## **6 Impacts**

### **Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?**

This modification would be delivered in conjunction with the Project Nexus programme. The SCR is not impacted.

#### **Pre Project Nexus Implementation**

This modification would not be implemented prior to Project Nexus Implementation.

#### **Project Nexus Implementation**

This modification is expected to impact on existing processes and systems, specifically that some manual processes would be eliminated. This is planned for and is accommodated within the Project Nexus systems design.

#### **Post Project Nexus Implementation**

This modification is expected to be implemented on the Project Nexus Implementation Date.

## **7 Legal Text**

*While the Proposer is welcome to put forward suggested legal text, formal legal text and commentary will be provided by the Transporters when requested to do so by the Modification Panel.*

*If this is a proposed Fast Track Self-Governance modification then legal drafting and commentary must be provided.*

### **Text Commentary**

*In support of the legal text provided, the legal representative shall provide a plain English explanatory note setting out the approach taken to converting the Solution into legal text, illustrating how the legal text delivers the intent of the Solution.*

Insert text here

### **Text**

The following Text has been prepared by X, and no issues were raised by the Workgroup regarding its content.

or

Text was not available for Workgroup assessment. However Text has been provided as a separate document published alongside this report.

or

The following Text has been prepared by X at the request of the Modification Panel.

## 8 Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

- AGREE that this self-governance modification should be submitted for consultation.