

Change Proposal

EU Gas Change Roadmap - 2018/19 Feasibility and Analysis

Mod reference (where applicable): N/A CDSP Reference:

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	1.0	25/4/17	Beverley Viney	Submitted to Change Committee
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendix	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Originator Details					
Submitted By	Chris Gumbley		Contact Number	07816341177 / 01926 654071	
			Email Address	Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com	
Customer			Contact Number	01926 653547	
Representativ e			Email Address	Beverley.viney@nationalgrid.com	
Subject Matter	Chris Gumbley		Contact Number	07816341177 / 01926 654071	
Expert/Networ k Lead			Email Address	Chris.gumbley@nationalgrid.com	
Customer Class		☐ Shipper			
		⊠ National Grid Transmission			
			on Network Operator		
		□ iGT			
		T	Overview of proposed	change	
Change Details		The priority of this Change Order Request is high. National Grid is legally obligated to be compliant with the EU Capacity Allocation Mechanism (CAM), EU Tariff (TAR) Network Codes and the UNC. Building on the lessons learnt from previous EU projects, National Grid has looked to undertake opportunities to analyse EU scope with suppliers at the earliest opportunity. National Grid requires Feasibility and Analysis (F & A) to be undertaken to assess the impacts to Gemini and associated 'downstream systems' from the changes introduced by the latest EU CAM and TAR code amendments, the GB Charging Regime options and the latest PRISMA schema upgrade, that have been captured in the attached Roadmap.			
		[Note: 'Downstream systems' refer to those NG systems managed by NGIS and therefore NGIS input and support maybe required to assess this change order.]			
		This F & A stage should drive the scope of potential options for full project delivery and implementation in 2018 and 2019 and options should consider a phased implementation approach to ensure compliance in 2018 and 2019 respectively. The current understanding is that the amendments to the CAM Code become legally binding on National Grid by the indicative dates identified in the attached Roadmap.			
		The changes are required to be delivered to meet both the stated EU Code obligation start dates and where applicable, to align with key NG internal process dates.			
		2018/19 Roadmap			
				ramme - 201819 Roadmap for Change V4.3.vsd	
		Change Overview:			
		The expectation is that the "Feasibility and Analysis stage" will last for a maximum of 6 weeks with the meeting scheduled and output requirements such as approved documentation should be agreed prior to commencing the F&A. National Grid SO, National Grid IS and Xoserve should ensure the correct knowledgeable resources attend and provide the desired information to support the effective completion of these sessions.			



The expected output from the F & A is:

- Business Requirements and Assumptions Document (NG document, Xoserve input)
- Solutions Options Analysis document (XO document, NG input)
- High Level Estimate (HLE) of the total cost for implementing a full solution delivery (Xoserve delivery) for 2018 and 2019 in a phased delivery plan.
- Base-lined Project Plan(s) (Xoserve and NGIS delivery)

•

Analysis of this change order should provide:

- Detailed plan for the Feasibility and Analysis phase, including a timeline and specifics related to the analysis workshop schedule, document reviews and approvals
- Detailed delivery timeline
- Fixed firm costs for delivery.
- An estimate of required NG business resource input
- An estimate of required NGIS resource input
- Indication of impacts on associated DN & Shipper systems and recommendations on engagement approach,
- Recommended delivery approach, including testing approach
- Indicative view of NG business and NG IS resource requirements for Delivery Phase.
- Initial view of project risks and dependencies.

Review of the E-Learning training package in relation to EU change to Gemini

Reason(s) for proposed service change

The European Parliament and the council of the European Union (EU) have introduced a third Energy Package that included the creation of the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSOG) and the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER). One of the tasks of ENTSOG is to prepare EU Network Codes to enable progress towards a competitive and efficient internal European market in gas. The Network Codes are reviewed by ACER, with the finalised codes subsequently included (by the European Commission) into EU law and thus the codes become binding on Member States of the EU and of Member States of the European Economic Area.

Each EU Network Code (CMP, CAM, Balancing, Interoperability and Tariffs) contains requirements for translation into the GB regime; however it is for Member States to decide (in conjunction with neighbouring states) what the logical grouping of implementation phases should be in order to achieve compliance.

Each phase will be associated with a particular IS release plan and associated UNC, Licence and process changes, stakeholder engagement plan (including TSO / TSO and Customer), customer contract changes, financial and people / training plan.

This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.

National Grid has a legal obligation to sell Interconnection Point capacity on a "Joint Booking Platform", as outlined in the EU Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code. National Grid discharges this obligation through the sale of capacity on the PRISMA platform. National Grid is a shareholder of PRISMA. The obligation to sell Capacity on the PRISMA Joint Booking Platform was transposed into the Uniform Network Code through Modification 500.

The relevant sections from the UNC are included below:

European Interconnection Document, Section B

1.6.2 "PRISMA European Capacity Platform is designated as Capacity Platform



	Operator to all Interconnection Points"
	1.6.13 "National Grid NTS shall:
	(a) in connection with Auctions of Interconnection Point Capacity:
	(i) send to the Capacity Platform Operator the information necessary to enable the Capacity Platform Operator to publish Auction Information;"
	PRISMA release yearly updates to its platform and messaging schema. TSO's are only allowed to use the current version, or the previous version of the schema.
	National Grid currently uses PRISMA schema version 6.4.1
	PRISMA schema version 7.1 was implemented in October 16 and the EU Phase 4 a project will ensure compatibility with this version.
	PRISMA schema version 7.2 is expected to be implemented in October 17 and the feasibility and analysis for the 2018/19 Roadmap should incorporate the next upgrade and a strategy for being fully aligned with each PRISMA upgrade in the future.
	National Grid is aware of changes to Interface 7 file (setting up auctions) where four additional fields are to be added to the file meaning that from October 2017 the interface between Gemini and PRISMA will fail. Without action National Grid will be in breach of the UNC and EU legislation or require a round the clock resource to manually set-up auctions on PRISMA on an hourly basis.
	Whilst the Business driver for the change is to initiate a project to ensure National Grid stay compliant with National and European legislation, it is also proposed to use this
	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market.
Status of related UNC Mod	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to
	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market.
UNC Mod Full title of related	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A
Full title of related UNC Mod	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A N/A This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA
Full title of related UNC Mod Benefits of change Required Change Implementation Date	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A N/A This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.
Full title of related UNC Mod Benefits of change Required Change Implementation Date Please provide an assessment of the	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A N/A This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.
Full title of related UNC Mod Benefits of change Required Change Implementation Date Please provide an assessment of the priority of this	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A N/A This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.
Full title of related UNC Mod Benefits of change Required Change Implementation Date Please provide an assessment of the	opportunity to enhance existing Business processes impacted by EU Legislation and to improve the quality of data provided to the market. N/A N/A This particular change order relates to the scope of pre-start up for compliance with obligations in 2018 and 2019, with the regulatory driver being the amendments to the primary legislation in the Capacity Allocation Mechanism Network Code, the Transparency obligations in the EU Tarff Code, the EU Tariff Network Code obligations associated with GB Charging Regime change requirements and the next PRISMA compatibility upgrade.



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation	Services	
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested □ Initial Ass		sessment (Mod related changes only)	
-		mate for Analysis and Delivery	
	CDSP Change Services		
	⊠Firm Quote for Analysis		
	□Firm Quo	te for both Analysis and Delivery	
Has any initial assessment	⊠Yes		
been performed in support of this change?	□No		
Is this considered to be a Priority	y Service	□Yes (Mod Related)	
Change?		⊠Yes (Legislation Change Related)	
		□No	
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers?			
Consider if the particular change is		□No	
to impact those who fall under a particular customer class			
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e.		□Shippers	
Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose 'No'.		⊠National Grid Transmission	
choose No.		☐ Distribution Network Operators	
		□iGT's	
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any		□Yes (please give details)	
other customer classes?	or uny	⊠No	
Diagon refer to appendix and for the definiti			
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'			
General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)			
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s) For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.			
	to require th	e creation of a new service area and service line please	
		ne of new service area and title of service line:	



Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

None expected

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

None expected

Impacts to Gemini System

This F & A would help define this

Please give any other relevant information.

Change Overview:

The expectation is that the "Feasibility and Analysis stage" will last for a maximum of 6 weeks with the meeting scheduled and output requirements such as approved documentation should be agreed prior to commencing the F&A. National Grid SO, National Grid IS and Xoserve should ensure the correct knowledgeable resources attend and provide the desired information to support the effective completion of these sessions.

The expected output from the F & A is:

- Business Requirements and Assumptions Document (NG document, Xoserve input)
- Solutions Options Analysis document (XO document, NG input)
- High Level Estimate (HLE) of the total cost for implementing a full solution delivery (Xoserve delivery) for 2018 and 2019 in a phased delivery plan.
- Base-lined Project Plan(s) (Xoserve and NGIS delivery)
- •

Analysis of this change order should provide:

- Detailed plan for the Feasibility and Analysis phase, including a timeline and specifics related to the analysis workshop schedule, document reviews and approvals
- Detailed delivery timeline
- Fixed firm costs for delivery.
- An estimate of required NG business resource input
- An estimate of required NGIS resource input
- Indication of impacts on associated DN & Shipper systems and recommendations on engagement approach,
- Recommended delivery approach, including testing approach
- Indicative view of NG business and NG IS resource requirements for Delivery Phase.
- Initial view of project risks and dependencies.

Review of the E-Learning training package in relation to EU change to Gemini



Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- · a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	
Approved Change Proposal version	
The change proposal shall not proceed	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:	
Updates required:	



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

Change Proposal Rejection				
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	er deta	ails red	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date		
Original EQR delivery date:		
Revised EQR delivery date:		
Rationale for revision of delivery date:		

Recipient	Email	
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.) Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation This can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. 'at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx'.				
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Change' assessme	ree with the 'Priority and (where provided)? ail provided in the Ch		□Yes □No (please give detail below)	
General service changes				
in the Change Propareas?	ree with the assessmoosal regarding impact	cted service	□Yes □No (I	s (please give detail below)



considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	□Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

Recipient		Email	
ſ	Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
	General service changes only (The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the change proposal and potentially commented upon in the subsequent EQR)			
1.) Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service line belongs and the weighting of the impact?	e	⊒ Yes ⊒No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response windown potentially commented upon in the substitution of th	ill be b	pased is originally defined in the nt EQR)	e Change Proposa	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specific Service Change charges	у			
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period for the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail				
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description				
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link				
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual				
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP				
5.) Implementation Plan				
6.) Estimated implementation costs				
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)				
Please mark % against each customer class:				
National Grid Transmission				
Distribution Network Operators and IGT's				
DN Operator				
IGT's				
Shippers				
100%				
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges				
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.				
Implementation Options				
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:				
This should include: (i) a description of each Implementation Option:				
(i) a description of each Implementation Option; (ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option				
(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option				



Restricted Class Changes only
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers
outside the relevant customer class(es)?
□Yes (please give detail below)
Dependencies:
Constraints:
Benefits:
Impacts:
Risks:



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of scope:
Out of scope.
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed				
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date				
Approved BER version				
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting	Date of later meeting			
The committee requires updates to the BER:				
Updates required:				



Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview				
Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date				
Please detail any differences between the solution that	was implemented and what was defined in the BER.			
Detail the revised text of the CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that has been made			
Were there any revisions to the text of the UK Link Man	ual?			
☐Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link man	nual below)			
□No				
Proposed Commencement Date	Actual Commencement Date			
Please provide an explanation of any variance				
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project				



Service change costs					
	Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)		
	Reasons for variance between approved and actual costs:				

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved			
Approved CCR version			
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting		Date of later meeting:	
The committee requires further information			
Further information required:			
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete			
Further action(s) required:			
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct			
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual requir	ed:	



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s) Summary of Changes	
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service),
	including:
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and (ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in
	the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.