

Change Proposal

Transparency of the Rolling AQ Process Mod reference (where applicable): CDSP Reference: XRN4525

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	V1	24/10/17	Shane Preston	Draft
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendiz	ĸ	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

		Or	iginator Details		
Submitted By	Shane Presto	on	Contact Number	0141 614 5526	
			Email Address	Shane.preston@scottishpower.com	
Customer	Rachel Hinsl	әу	Contact Number	0121 623 2854	
Representative			Email Address	Rachel.hinsley@xoserve.com	
Subject Matter			Contact Number		
Expert/Network Lead			Email Address		
			er al Grid Transmission ution Network Operator		
		Overviev	v of proposed change		
Change Details Modifice (PARR) industry anonym Schedu Peer Co View. This ch into the increas movem Utilising code na calenda This is include metrics			produced a set of report e PARR is separated int and non-anonymised re- is post Nexus. Schedule arison View and 2B – Per proposal seeks to introo ting PARR Schedule 2A hsparency across activit existing PARR reports, i , it is proposed that addi- nth alongside the existin ded to all Shippers along hin the monthly PSR rep d be supplied within fold ided by Xoserve. etrics	ance Assurance Report Register ts to review performance across the o 2 sets of reports, split by eports. Schedule 1 is pre-Nexus. 2 reports are split into 2A – industry rformance Assurance Committee duce a number of additional metric (Industry Peer review) reports to ies relating to Annual Quantity including the anonymity of allocated itional metrics are included for each ng PARR reporting suite of metrics. gside the monthly NRL files or nort. Alternatively these additional er 32 in the secure Sharepoint	
service change Quantity (A Prior to the annual AQ			Q) process under MODO mplementation of the ne Review process was pub	luced a monthly rolling Annual 0432, alongside the formula year AQ. w arrangements, the outcome of the lished to all market participants to ovement in gas allocation volumes,	

X<>serve

	introduced by Modification 0081. The transparency around movements made to gas allocation volumes, under MOD0081, have ceased to continue under the new arrangements.
	Because there are commercial practices reliant on the accuracy of AQ values, this change proposal seeks to reintroduce a number of those metrics to provide Parties with the same transparency as was apparent prior to Project nexus, thus providing all parties with greater confidence that the accuracy and reliability of AQ's is maximised.
	The current reporting metrics introduced by MOD0520A do not provide Parties with the visibility of movements in AQ across the market. Whilst reconciliation does now take place for both LSP and SSP, Parties still require confidence that the accuracy and reliability of AQ's is maximised. This Change seeks to enhance the transparency across the market movements, whilst protecting company sensitive information by continuing with the anonymity of using code names.
	With the current volatility around Unidentified Gas (UIG) causing concern across the market, there is a lack of visibility around activity across the market. Without this reporting in place to give assurance and transparency, there is a potential for Parties to question the validity and accuracy of gas allocation, as there is a dependency on other parties to give confidence that reconciliation is taking place on a regular basis across all market participants. Uncertainty around this can result in risk premiums being passed onto customers. We believe that, as this reporting was provided previously and, with the implementation of Project Nexus, the information should be readily available from the new SAP platform that UK Link resides and therefore should not require significant cost to develop.
	This transparency of gas allocation brought about under MOD0081 has ceased to continue under the new arrangements. This had previously given all Parties a clear understanding of movements in gas allocation across the market. There are commercial practices reliant on the accuracy of AQ values; this change proposal seeks to reintroduce a number of those metric to provide Parties with the same transparency and confidence as was apparent with the annual AQ Review process.
Status of related UNC Mod	
Full title of related UNC Mod	
Benefits of change	Transparency, assurance and confidence of gas allocation across the market. Identification of potential issues and indicator towards volatility in UIG associated to movement in gas allocation.
Required Change Implementation Date	
Please provide an assessment of the priority of this change from the perspective of the industry.	□High ⊠Medium □Low
	Rationale for assessment: Owing to supporting the investigation of UIG issues



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation Services
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	□ Initial Assessment (Mod related changes only)
	⊠ROM estimate for Analysis and Delivery
	CDSP Change Services
	□Firm Quote for Analysis
	□Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery
Has any initial assessment	□Yes
been performed in support of this change?	⊠No

Is this considered to be a Priority Service Change?	□Yes (Mod Related)
	□Yes (Legislation Change Related)
	⊠No
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers?	⊠Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)
Consider if the particular change is only likely to impact those who fall under a particular customer class	□No
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e.	⊠Shippers
Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then	□National Grid Transmission
choose 'No'.	□Distribution Network Operators
	□iGT's
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any	□Yes (please give details)
other customer classes?	⊠No
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'	
General Service Changes Only (please ensur	re that either A or B below is completed)
 A) Customer view of impacted service area 	a(s)

For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget</u> <u>and Charging Methodology</u>. Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.

B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:



Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

None

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

Mention the updates to be captured in the Appendix 5B of the UK Link Manual due to this Change

Impacts to Gemini System

Please give any other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc. Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested		
Approved Change Proposal version		
The change proposal shall not proceed		
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting	
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:		
Updates required:		



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

				Change Proposal Rejection
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	ier deta	ails rec	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date			
Original EQR delivery date:			
Revised EQR delivery date:			
Rationale for revision of delivery date:			

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



T

Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.) Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation				
least £xx,xxx but pr	sed as a range of cos robably not more than	ı £xx,xxx'.		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (j	s (please give detail below)	
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Priority Service Change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
General service cl	hanges			
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party		⊡Yes ⊡No (s (please give detail below)	

X<>serve

considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	☐Yes ☐No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved					
Approved EQR version					
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse					
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting		
The committee requires updates to the EQR:					
Updates required:					
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response window commented upon in the subsequent EC	(The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the change proposal and potentially				
 Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service line belongs and the weighting of th impact? 	e	□ Yes □No			
 If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting: 					
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response wi potentially commented upon in the sub-	sequ		the Change Propos	al and	
 Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges 					
 Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges 	or				

X<>serve

Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change	Implementati	on Detail
enange	mpromonitati	on Dotan

1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description

2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link

3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual

4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP

5.) Implementation Plan

6.) Estimated implementation costs

6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)

Please mark % against each customer class:

National Grid Transmission

Distribution Network Operators and IGT's

DN Operator

IGT's

Shippers

100%

7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges

8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.

Implementation Options

Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:

This should include: (i) a description of each Implementation Option;

(i) a description of each implementation Option,

(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option

(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option



Restricted Class Changes only

Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)?

 \Box Yes (please give detail below)

 $\Box No$

Dependencies:

Constraints:

Benefits:

Impacts:

Risks:



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of scope:
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed					
<i>Modification Changes Only</i> Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date					
Approved BER version					
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse					
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting		Date of later meeting			
The committee requires updates to the BER:					
Updates required:					

X()serve

Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview

Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date				
Please detail any difference	es between the solution that	was implemented and what	was defined in the REP	
Detail the revised text of the	e CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that ha	as been made	
Were there any revisions to	o the text of the UK Link Man	ual?		
□Yes (please insert the re	vised text of the UK Link mar	nual below)		
□No		,		
Proposed		Actual		
Commencement Date		Commencement Date		
Please provide an explanat	tion of any variance			
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project				



Service change costs				
Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)		
Reasons for variance between approved and actual costs:				

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved	
Approved CCR version	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting	Date of later meeting:
The committee requires further information	
Further information required:	
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete	
Further action(s) required:	
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct	
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual required:



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and
	(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.