

UNC Modification		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0XXX:</h1> <p><i>(Code Administrator to issue reference)</i></p> <h2>Amendments to the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) UNC modification 0520A reports</h2>		<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; background-color: #008000; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 01 Modification </div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 02 Workgroup Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 03 Draft Modification Report </div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> 04 Final Modification Report </div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>To clarify amendments discussed and approved at Performance Assurance Committee for the production of the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) as defined in UNC modification 0520A, by the CDSP</p>		
	<p><i>Please provide an initial view of the preferred governance route/pathway and impacted parties</i></p> <p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be: <i>(delete as appropriate)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> subject to self-governance <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on dd mmm yyyy <i>(Code Administrator to provide date)</i>. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>	
	<p>High Impact: <i>(Proposer to identify impacted parties)</i></p> <p>Here</p>	
	<p>Medium Impact: <i>(Proposer to identify impacted parties)</i></p> <p>Here</p>	
	<p>Low Impact: <i>(Proposer to identify impacted parties)</i></p> <p>Shipper Users</p>	

Contents		 Any questions?
1 Summary	3	Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
2 Governance	3	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
3 Why Change?	3	 0121 288 2107
4 Code Specific Matters	4	Proposer: Steve Mulinganie
5 Solution	4	 steve.mulinganie@gazprom-energy.com
6 Impacts & Other Considerations	4	 07990 972 568
7 Relevant Objectives	6	Transporter: Insert name
8 Implementation	7	 email address
9 Legal Text	7	 telephone
10 Recommendations	7	Systems Provider: Xoserve
Timetable		 UKLink@xoserve.com
<i>Please provide proposer contacts and an indicative timeline. The Code Administrator will update the contents and provide any additional Specific Code Contacts.</i>		Other: Insert name
The Proposer recommends the following timetable: <i>(amend as appropriate)</i>		 email address
Initial consideration by Workgroup	dd month year	 telephone
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	dd month year	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	dd month year	
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	dd month year	
Consultation Close-out for representations	dd month year	
Variation Request presented to Panel	dd month year	
Final Modification Report available for Panel	dd month year	
Modification Panel decision	dd month year	

1 Summary

What

Modification 0520A introduced the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) which details a range of reports that are to be provided to the industry. Schedule 1 reports were developed and distributed from the modification 'go-live' date. Schedule 2 reports are going to be provided to the industry from implementation within Release 2 of UK Link.

The CDSP have completed analysis on the PARR as defined in UNC modification 0520A and concluded that the reports can be produced with more ease and less cost to the industry with some minor amendments. Additional to this, analysis has been conducted which highlights that some results would prove to be unrepresentative where certain factors are not accounted for within the reports, for example, change of supplier events.

As per the PARR 'Change Control' section 'Should a User or Transporter wish to propose modifications to any of the Documents, such proposed modifications shall be raised in accordance with the Modification rules', this modification has been raised to enact these changes to the PARR.

Why

These changes were presented and discussed at Performance Assurance Committee on the 8th August 2017. The recommended changes were agreed with the original 0520A modification proposer and the Committee to be progressed. The modification proposer and the committee felt these changes were minor, did not impact on the intention of the PARR or the outputs of the reports but allow for better data quality.

How

The PARR will be amended and approved via this modification. The Schedule 2 reports are scheduled for implementation within Release 2 on 29th June 2018 therefore this modification contains the amendments required to proceed with implementation.

2 Governance

Justification for Fast Track Self-Governance

This modification is not material as it seeks to make minor amendments to the PARR which allow for ease of the production of the reports and to ensure accurate data.

The suggested amendments were discussed at PAC on 8th August 2017 and agreed. This modification was discussed as a pre-modification on 12th December 2017.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should: *(delete as appropriate)*

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- proceed to Consultation

Assessment of the impacts has been presented and agreement from the modification proposer and PAC with the next steps.

3 Why Change?

This modification will impact Shipper Users.

As per the PARR 'Change Control' section 'Should a User or Transporter wish to propose modifications to any of the Documents, such proposed modifications shall be raised in accordance with the Modification rules', this modification has been raised to enact these changes to the PARR.

The CDSP have completed analysis on the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) as defined in UNC modification 0520A and concluded that the reports can be produced with more ease and less cost to the industry with some minor amendments. Additional to this, analysis has been conducted which highlights that some results would be unrepresentative where certain factors are not accounted for within the reports, for example, change of supplier events.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

Performance Assurance Report Register UNC Modification 0520A Reports v4.0

5 Solution

As per the track changes within the PARR version 4.0, the following amendments have been recommended:

2A.1 – Estimated & Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Product Classes 1 & 2

Report Purpose: Daily read estimates for product 1 and 2 are generated to repeat the consumption from a week ago (7 days previously) and where there is no consumption history an estimate of AQ/365 will be used. The use of estimated reads will only materially affect settlement if there is no replacement read within gas flow day+5. The report assesses the impact of estimated reads being used for daily-metered sites at initial allocation and evaluates where check reads are not completed.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report include:

- Estimate
- Read Count divided by Total Read count per shipper
- Product Class
- Date

- Percentage of Check Reads outstanding by Product Class

During the month, there may be Class changes or change of Shipper to the Supply Point. A Class or Shipper change during the month creates a level of complexity, therefore the design team have requested for any Supply Points affected by these changes during the month to be excluded from the report.

Change – to exclude Class change and Shipper change from the report.

2A.3 – No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve

Report Purpose: To extend the view of report PARR 2.2 where no meter asset is attached but Xoserve are receiving data flows, implying that a meter is present.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report to be MPRNs where data flows received, but no meter is attached to the supply point.

Clarification added into the data inputs – Attempted Meter installations, submission of meter reads, any meter updates, or inspection visits and Connections & Disconnection transactions will be included.

2A.5 – Read Performance

Report Purpose: To compare shipper reading submission performance to requirements set out in the UNC for all Classes, estimated reads are excluded for the purpose of this report i.e. an estimated reading will not count towards a positive performance.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report to be:

- SSC
- Meter read frequency
- Latest meter reading date
- Product Class

Change – the report can reflect how many reads have been accepted across all Classes. However it is recommended that any supply points which change Class during a month, any Shipper transfer scenarios and Faulty Meters are excluded from the report. Should these be included, it creates the potential to adversely affect the performance results.

EUC Bands

Throughout the Report Register multiple reports are asked to be broken down by EUC bands. To break down reports by EUC bands requires a great deal of complexity, resulting in the reports being difficult to produce.

The proposal is to report based on AQ bands as opposed to EUC bands. The bands would be as follows:

AQ Band	Commentary
---------	------------

0 - 73,200	Equivalent to EUC01
73,201 – 293,000	Equivalent to EUC02
293,001 – 732,000	Equivalent to EUC03
732,001 – 2,196,000	Equivalent to EUC04
2,196,001 – 5,860,000	Equivalent to EUC05
5,860,001 – 14,650,000	Equivalent to EUC06
14,650,001 – 29,300,000	Equivalent to EUC07
29,300,001 – 58,600,000	Equivalent to EUC08
58,000,001 and above	Equivalent to EUC09

Change – By including EUC bands, the report performance will be reduced due to the enhanced size of the reports. Therefore the recommendation is that the AQ bands should be used instead.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No

Consumer Impacts

None identified

Cross Code Impacts

None identified

EU Code Impacts

None identified

Central Systems Impacts

None identified, although the changes ensure the reports can be delivered more effectively

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

8 Implementation

Implementation to align to the production of the Schedule 2 reports within Release 2 in June 2018.

9 Legal Text

Proposers are welcome to provide Suggested Legal Text alongside their modification, but are under no obligation to do so unless Fast Track procedures are requested (see above).

Legal text will be drawn up by the relevant Transporter at a time when the modification is sufficiently developed in line with the [Legal Text Guidance Document](#).

Text Commentary

Insert text here

Text

Insert text here

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to: *[Delete as appropriate]*

- Agree that self-governance procedures should apply
- Issue this modification directly to Consultation