## At what stage is this **UNC Final Modification Report** UNC 0627S: Modification 02 Workgroup Report Removal of the absolute **Draft Modification** 03 requirement to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation for all new NTS Exit connections Purpose of Modification: This modification would remove the requirement for every new NTS Exit connection to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation. The Panel determined that this self-governance modification be implemented. High Impact: None Medium Impact: None Low Impact: Transporters, Shippers and Consumers

#### Contents **Any** questions? **Summary** 3 Contact: **Joint Office of Gas** 2 3 **Governance Transporters** 3 Why Change? 3 **Code Specific Matters** 4 4 enquiries@gasgove rnance.co.uk 5 4 **Solution** 5 6 **Impacts & Other Considerations** 0121 288 2107 7 **Relevant Objectives** 5 Proposer: **Nicola Lond Implementation** 7 8 20 7 **Legal Text** nicola.j.lond@natio 10 Consultation nalgrid.com 11 Panel Discussions 10 01926 654043 12 Recommendations 11 Transporter: **National Grid** Timetable **TBC** The Proposer recommends the following timetable: Systems Provider: Initial consideration by Panel 21 September 2017 **Xoserve** Modification considered by Workgroup 05 October / 02 November 2017 0 Workgroup Report presented to Panel 16 November 2017 commercial.enquiri Draft Modification Report issued for consultation 16 November 2017 es@xoserve.com Consultation Close-out for representations 07 December 2017 Other: Final Modification Report available for Panel 08 December 2017 **Insert name** Modification Panel decision 21 December 2017 email address telephone

## 1 Summary

#### What

At present, there is an absolute requirement for a new NTS Exit connection to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation as part of the connection. National Grid NTS wishes to have discretion as to whether the installation of an ROV is required or whether a manual isolation valve will be sufficient. For the avoidance of doubt, the requirement to include a ROV Installation will remain for all new Entry or Bidirectional NTS connections.

#### Why

National Grid NTS has challenged that an ROV installation is not needed in all instances and in particular at new Exit Point connections. By removing the requirement for a ROV a saving can be made on the cost of connection. This facilitates the work being carried out by Project CLoCC to reduce the time and cost of Connection to the NTS and addresses feedback from customers this would remove the requirement for installation of assets making it a more efficient connection.

#### How

A change would be made to the UNC to enable National Grid NTS to determine, via an appropriate assessment, whether there is a requirement for a new NTS Exit connection to include a ROV Installation, as part of the connection or whether a manual isolation valve will be sufficient.

#### 2 Governance

#### Justification for Self-Governance

Panel determined that Modification 0627 is subject to Self-Governance procedures as it is unlikely to have a material impact on consumers, competition, operation of the pipeline system, matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies, or governance procedures. In addition, it is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the UNC. This is on the basis that it seeks to make a minor change to the current requirement for all new NTS Exit connections to include a ROV Installation.

#### **Requested Next Steps**

This modification should:

Be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance

## 3 Why Change?

Project CLoCC is a Network Innovation Competition project with the objective of reducing the time and cost of connection to the National Transmission System (NTS). Project CLoCC is aiming to deliver in October 2018. As part of the simplified Standard Design development we have been challenging previous requirements and we have concluded that we can remove the absolute requirement for the Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) from UNC as the requirement to have one can be determined by site specific assessment. The cost of the installing an ROV of approximately £100k - £200k could be saved

for the customer compared to a manual valve, by removing the requirement to have this on new Exit Connections. In addition, the customer would not require power making a further saving. Ongoing annual maintenance costs can also be saved. By reducing the cost of exit connections to the NTS, these connections can be opened up to more potential customers. In addition to the Project CLoCC driver we have customers requesting this for new connections which are currently under development.

## 4 Code Specific Matters

#### **Reference Documents**

Network Innovation Competition information can be found here.

https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/network-regulation-riio-model/network-innovation/gas-network-innovation-competition

Published Project CLoCC documentation can be found at

www.projectclocc.com

### Knowledge/Skills

No specific requirements.

#### 5 Solution

It is proposed that paragraph 26 of SECTION 3 - CONNECTION CHARGING METHODOLOGY within Section Y of TPD is amended to provide that...

All new Entry and Bidirectional connections will include an ROV Installation.

All new Exit connections may include an ROV Installation, the need for which will be determined at National Grid's sole discretion (in accordance with the appropriate Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) assessment).

Note that in paragraph 27 UNC already gives National Grid sole discretion on design of connection at or adjacent to an existing site and does not specify the ROV requirement.

National Grid carries out Formal Process Safety Assessments which will include the ROV assessment. It is a requirement of National Grids Gas Transporters Safety Case to have an isolation valve and to carry out the HAZOP assessment. If the assessment concludes that a Remotely Operable Valve is not required then a Locally Operated Valve allowing a manual isolation will be included in the design.

Note that Gas Safety Management Regulations (GSMR) 1996 states 'Where any gas escapes from a network the person conveying the gas in the part of the network from which the gas escapes shall, as soon as is reasonably practicable after being so informed of the escape, attend the place where the gas is escaping, and within 12 hours of being so informed of the escape, he shall prevent the gas escaping'.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

# Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None

## **Consumer Impacts**

It is expected that connection costs will be reduced for connecting parties/shipper users which in turn may result in lower costs to consumers.

## **Cross Code Impacts**

None

## **EU Code Impacts**

None

## **Central Systems Impacts**

None

## 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:			
Relevant Objective	Identified impact		
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	N/A		
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of  (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or	N/A		
(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.			
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	N/A		
<ul> <li>d) Securing of effective competition:</li> <li>(i) between relevant shippers;</li> <li>(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or</li> <li>(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.</li> </ul>	Positive		
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	N/A		
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	N/A		

g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions N/A of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

#### Section Y (Charging Methodology) Modifications

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Charging Methodology Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Save in so far as paragraphs (aa) or (d) apply, that compliance with the charging methodology results in charges which reflect the costs incurred by the licensee in its transportation business;	None
<ul> <li>aa) That, in so far as prices in respect of transportation arrangements are established by auction, either: <ol> <li>(i) no reserve price is applied, or</li> <li>(ii) that reserve price is set at a level -</li> <li>(I) best calculated to promote efficiency and avoid undue preference in the supply of transportation services; and</li> <li>(II) best calculated to promote competition between gas suppliers and between gas shippers;</li> </ol> </li></ul>	None
b) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the charging methodology properly takes account of developments in the transportation business;	Positive
c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers; and	Positive
d) That the charging methodology reflects any alternative arrangements put in place in accordance with a determination made by the Secretary of State under paragraph 2A(a) of Standard Special Condition A27 (Disposal of Assets).	None
e) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

The Workgroup agreed with the proposer that relevant objective c) is furthered in this case since the modification, if implemented, will facilitate cheaper and simplified connections and access to the NTS. In addition, relevant objective b) is furthered since the modification, if implemented, will facilitate customers being charged for the relevant installation and will also better facilitate new customers being brought onto the system.

It should be noted that this modification is one of three modifications to come out of Project CLoCC, which aims to reduce the time and cost of Connection to the NTS.

## 8 Implementation

Implementation is required before October 2018 to satisfy Project CLoCC implementation timescales, however earlier implementation is beneficial to customer connections and is sought as per the proposed timetable. National Grid are proposing to utilise the principles of Rapid Modification Development in order to develop this modification in the most efficient timescales.

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

## 9 Legal Text

### **Text Commentary**

Paragraph	Explanation	
TPD Y26A	Makes reference to the addition of Paragraph 26.B and determination made in existing paragraph 27 regarding the requirement of an ROV.	
TPD Y26B	Additional Paragraph to allow the installation of a manual valve in place of a ROV at Exit points	
TPD Y26C	Addition of the Manual valve installation as a cost to be paid as part of connection charges if this was installed in place of an ROV.	
TPD Y27	Removal of the word However at the start of the paragraph as this is now referenced in Y26A.	

#### **Text**

The following legal text has been provided by the proposer:

TPD Y 26 to be amended as follows:

#### Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installations

- 26A. Subject to paragraph 26.B and any determination by National Grid under paragraph 27 that an ROV Installation is not required, Aall new connections will include an ROV Installation which may be situated either:
  - a) at a point on the NTS, where the customer wishes to:
    - i. construct and connect a pipeline with a view to owning and operating the pipeline (such pipeline would not be a System Extension as it would not be owned and operated by National Grid), or

- ii. construct and connect a pipeline with the intention that it will transfer to National Grid under a Taking Ownership Agreement (in which case it would become a System Extension); or
- b) at the termination point of a System Extension constructed by National Grid. The costs of the ROV Installation form a part of the connection charge irrespective of whether the connection is for Exit, Entry or Bidirectional purposes.
- 26B. If a new connection comprises a new Exit Point, National Grid may determine that a manually operated valve installation shall be installed rather than an ROV installation.
- <u>26C.</u> The costs of any ROV Installation, <u>or manually operated valve installation, will</u> form a part of the connection charge irrespective of whether the connection is for Exit, Entry or Bidirectional purposes.
- 27. However, Wwhere a connection is requested at or adjacent to an existing National Grid Joint site, National Grid will at its sole discretion determine the most appropriate point and design of the connection taking into account potential costs of connection, future operational costs, security of supply and operational flexibility.

## 10 Consultation

Panel invited representations from interested parties on 07 December 2017. The summaries in the following table are provided for reference on a reasonable endeavours basis only. We recommend that all representations are read in full when considering this Report. Representations are published alongside this Final Modification Report.

Implementation was unanimously supported in the 4 representations received.

Representations were received from the following parties:			
Organisation	Response	Relevant Objectives	Key Points
British Gas Trading	Support	d - Positive Section Y b - Positive c - Positive	<ul> <li>Supports the proposal.</li> <li>Understands the proposal is to provide National Grid with discretion on whether they will install a remotely operable valve (ROV) at NTS exit points, compared with the current situation where the installation of ROVs is a requirement.</li> <li>Believes if implemented, the proposal will provide scope for reducing new connection costs whilst ensuring, through HAZOP assessments, that the safe operation of the NTS is maintained.</li> <li>Understands the Code change will help to encourage new connections and greater use of the system, and consequently will have a positive impact on the identified relevant objectives.</li> <li>Agrees Self-governance is appropriate.</li> </ul>
Cadent	Support	d - Positive	Supports the proposal.

		Section Y b - Positive c - Positive	<ul> <li>Believes the proposer provides a solution which allows for the requirement for an ROV installation to be determined on a case-by-case basis.</li> <li>Understands this takes account of the merits of doing so in each particular situation e.g. as justified by a Hazard and Operability study (HAZOP).</li> <li>Believes where it is found that the requirement for an ROV is not needed, a saving can be made on the cost of connection, reducing both time and cost to the customer.</li> <li>Agrees Self-governance is appropriate.</li> <li>Agrees implementation should proceed as per the proposed timetable.</li> <li>Understands that it is anticipated that connection costs will be reduced for connecting parties, which in turn may result in lower costs to consumers.</li> <li>Approves the content of the legal text.</li> </ul>
CNG Services Ltd	Support	d - Positive Section Y b - Positive c - Positive	<ul> <li>Supports this proposal.</li> <li>Believes the NTS connection policy that required an ROV at every connection dates back to the early 1990s; a time when the 'dash for gas' was at its peak and the connections that were built were large scale CCGTs with the potential to affect flows in the wider network. The concern at the time was related to the power station taking more gas than allowed in the NExA; the ROV was a last resort in case this caused problems for the NTS.</li> <li>Understands this change takes into account experience since the first gas fired CCGTs in the early 1990s; it will simplify smaller projects. The change is welcome.</li> <li>Agrees with implementation as soon as possible.</li> <li>Believes this proposal will result in reduced capital costs for connecting parties and reduced operating costs for National Grid compared to the 'compulsory ROV' alternative.</li> </ul>
National Grid NTS	Support	d - Positive Section Y b - Positive c - Positive	<ul> <li>Fully supports the implementation of the proposal, as the Proposer.</li> <li>Believes that the proposal furthers the relevant objectives as outlined in the Draft Modification Report. In terms of Relevant objective (d) securing of effective competition (i) between relevant shippers, believes that it is furthered in this case since the modification, if implemented, will facilitate cheaper and simplified connections and access to the NTS, opening up potential to new entrants.</li> </ul>

- Believes the implementation of this Modification furthers
  the objective of the Project CLoCC Network Innovation
  Competition project by reducing the time and cost of
  connection to the National Transmission System (NTS). If
  implemented, UNC Modification 0627S, will remove the
  absolute requirement for every new NTS Exit connection
  to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation
  and allow National Grid NTS to determine, via an
  appropriate assessment, whether there is a requirement
  for a new NTS Exit connection to include a ROV
  Installation, as part of the connection. This will benefit
  customers by enabling a reduction in the cost of these
  connections.
- Agrees with the self-governance statement and supports self-governance.
- · Agrees with implementation as soon as possible.
- Does not expect there to be any ongoing costs as a result of this modification.
- Agrees the legal text proposed will deliver the intent of this modification proposal.

Please note that late submitted representations will not be included or referred to in this Final Modification Report. However, all representations received in response to this consultation (including late submissions) are published in full alongside this Report, and will be taken into account when the UNC Modification Panel makes its assessment and recommendation.

#### 11 Panel Discussions

#### **Discussion**

The Panel Chair summarised that Modification 0627S would remove the requirement for every new NTS Exit connection to include a Remotely Operable Valve (ROV) Installation.

Members considered the representations made noting that implementation was unanimously supported in the 4 representations received.

Members agreed with respondents and the Proposer that this modification would provide National Grid with discretion on whether they will install a remotely operable valve (ROV) at NTS exit points, compared with the current situation where the installation of ROVs is a requirement. Members also felt that this proposal provided scope for reducing new connection costs whilst ensuring, through HAZOP assessments, that the safe operation of the NTS is maintained. The implementation of this Modification also furthers the objective of the Project CLoCC Network Innovation Competition project by reducing the time and cost of connection to the National Transmission System (NTS).

#### **Consideration of the Relevant Objectives**

Members considered relevant objective d) Securing of effective competition between Shippers and/or Suppliers, agreeing that implementation would have a positive impact because the Code change will help

to encourage new connections and greater use of the system, and consequently will have a positive impact on the identified relevant objectives.

#### Section Y

The Workgroup agreed with the proposer that relevant objective c) is furthered in this case since the modification, if implemented, will facilitate cheaper and simplified connections and access to the NTS. In addition, relevant objective b) is furthered since the modification, if implemented, will facilitate customers being charged for the relevant installation and will also better facilitate new customers being brought onto the system.

It should be noted that this modification is one of three modifications to come out of Project CLoCC, which aims to reduce the time and cost of Connection to the NTS.

#### **Determinations**

Members voted unanimously to implement Modification 0627S

## 12 Recommendations

#### **Panel Determination**

Members agreed:

that Modification 0627S should be implemented.