

Change Proposal

UK DataCentre Clearance Removal of Xoserve NTP dependency from Legacy DataCentres

Mod reference (where applicable): CDSP Reference: CP4335

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	1.0	20/07/17	Beverley Viney	Draft
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER	0.1	18/08/17	Jessica Harris	Submitted to Change Committee
Change Management Committee Outcome	1.0	13/09/17	CDSP	Approved by Change Committee
CCR	0.1	1/12/17	Jessica Harris	Submitted to Change Committee
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility			
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel			
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel			
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP			
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP			
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP			
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP			
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP			
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP			
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP			
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP			
Appendix	Appendix				
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A			



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Originator Details						
Submitted By	Beverley Viney		Contact Number	01926 653547		
	National Grid		Email Address	Beverley.viney@nationalgrid.com		
Customer	Beverley Vine		Contact Number	01926 653547		
Representative			Email Address	Beverley.viney@nationalgrid.com		
Subject Matter Expert/Network Lead	Dan Jackson Dawn Seymo	ur	Contact Number	07976 873590 07801 145180		
			Email Address	Dawn.seymour1@nationalgrid.com		
Customer Class		 □ Shipper ⋈ National Grid Transmission □ Distribution Network Operator □ iGT 				
	Overview of proposed change					
Change Details		Xoserve to remove all NTP dependency from the National Grid Legacy Datacentres.				
Reason(s) for pro service change	posed	We are removing all non-CNI equipment from the legacy datacentres and have found four servers performing Xoserve NTP functionality.				
Status of related l	JNC Mod	n/a				
Full title of related	I UNC Mod					
Benefits of chang	e	Removing dependency from the legacy datacentres as well as de-risk the functionality. These are connected to 20 year old network switches.				
Required Change Implementation D	ate	31 st August 2017				
Please provide an assessment of the this change from perspective of the	e priority of the	☑High☐Medium☐LowRationale for assessment:				



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation Services		
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	☐Initial Ass	sessment (Mod related changes only)	
7.04400.04	□ROM esti	imate for Analysis and Delivery	
	CDSP Change Services		
	□Firm Quo	te for Analysis	
	⊠Firm Quo	te for both Analysis and Delivery	
Has any initial assessment	⊠Yes		
been performed in support of this change?	□No		
Is this considered to be a Priority	/ Service	□Yes (Mod Related)	
Change?		□Yes (Legislation Change Related)	
		□No	
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers? Consider if the particular change is only likely to impact those who fall under a particular customer class If it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then			
		□No	
		□Shippers	
		⊠National Grid Transmission	
choose 'No'.		□Distribution Network Operators	
		□iGT's	
Is it anticipated that the change would have		□Yes (please give details)	
an adverse impact on customers of any other customer classes?		⊠No	
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'			
General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)		re that either A or B below is completed)	
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s) For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.			
It is unknown what NTP truly impacts. To be discovered as part of this change.			
	B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:		



None

Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

n/a

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

n/a

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

Not expected at this time – analysis to delivery

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

Not expected at this time – analysis to delivery

Impacts to Gemini System

Not know at this time – analysis to delivery

Please give any other relevant information.

Impacts the following known servers

MATT Hinckley
ALAN Leicester
LINUS Hinckley
OLAF Leicester

Recipient	Email	
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com	
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- · a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	
Approved Change Proposal version	
The change proposal shall not proceed	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:	
Updates required:	



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

Change Proposal Rejection				
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	er deta	ails red	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date		
Original EQR delivery date:		
Revised EQR delivery date:		
Rationale for revision of delivery date:		

I	Recipient	Email	
ſ	Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nu	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems				
evaluation report'	cale for delivery of 'bu			
Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation This can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. 'at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx'.				
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (p	(please give detail below)	
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Priority Service Change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
General service changes				
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		



considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	□Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

I	Recipient	Email
ſ	Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response w commented upon in the subsequent EC		e based is originally defined in th	ne change proposa	I and potentially
Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of the impact?	ie	□ Yes □No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response w potentially commented upon in the sub			ne Change Propos	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges	ду			
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description
N/A
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link
None
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual
None
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP
No impact to operating procedures or ongoing resource utilisation. Resources to co-ordinate the change are available.
5.) Implementation Plan
The current plan is to implement by October. This will depend on timely approval of the BER and the ability for Xoserve suppliers to align to a common timescale.
6.) Estimated implementation costs
The estimated cost for delivering the requirements of Change Proposal 4335 is forecast to be £31,700
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)
Please mark % against each customer class: 100% National Grid Transmission Distribution Network Operators and IGT's DN Operator IGT's Shippers
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges
Xoserve will be taking the NTP service from an alternative source and will no longer be paying NG for this serivce.
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.
None
Implementation Options
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options: This should include: (i) a description of each Implementation Option; (ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option (iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option



The recommended option is to utilise Xoserve's existing BT MPLS links to provide NTP services to all 4 of the Xoserve data centres.

This will require the routers at the data centres to be configured to synchronize with the BT NTP servers and remove the dependency on the NG provided service.

Xoserve Design Authority Group approved this option, given that it leverages an existing service. Alternative options discussed included Xoserve setting up a new NTP service but this would have been significantly more expensive.

The implementation of this option is estimated to be 6 weeks and includes:

- Supplier Analysis and Design across 4 Data Centres
- Engagement with BT
- Supplier configuration activities
- Testing
- Cutover
- Documentation updates

TCS manage the Peterborough/Kettering Data Centres and Wipro manage the two Sunguard Data Centres where UK Link is hosted.

Restricted Class Changes only
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)?
□Yes (please give detail below)

Dependencies:

 $\bowtie No$

- BT availability to carry out the configuration work
- Alignment of Wipro and TCS resources to carry out the required configuration work at the data centres

Constraints:

 NG have requested Xoserve to complete this work as quickly as possible as part of legacy data centre clearance. NG IS flagged in a call in August that security threats to the NTP service have been identified which has increased the urgency for this work to be carried out.

Benefits:

- Removes dependency from NG NTP service, allowing the servers in LDH to be decommissioned
- Secure and cost effective alternative solution

Impacts:

 Xoserve have engaged with TCS and Wipro via the procurement process to secure resources for these activities.

Risks:			



• There is a risk that at cutover, all Data Centes cannot synchronise to the new NTP Service. This will be managed through a robust test approach and cutover/rollback plan.

Assumptions:

• The timeline is based on BT being available to carry out the required configuration activities in line with the proposed timescales. NG IS will be kept informed of any deviation from this plan.

Information Security:

• The Xoserve Security Manager has provided approval for the design. As NG IS has highlighted potential security threats to the servers, the Xoserve Security Manager would like to be kept informed of the details of the identified threats.

Out of scope:

 Decommissioning of NTP servers at the NG data centres. Xoserve will advise NG when all Xoserve dependencies have been removed.

Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

No further information.

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed	Approved				
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date					
Approved BER version	1.0	1.0			
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse	NA				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting	NA	Date of later meeting			
The committee requires updates to the BER:					
Updates required:					



Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview					
Xoserve systems have bee	n disconnected from the NG	NTP server and migrated to	an alternative NTP server.		
	National Grid has been informed that they are now able to remove the four servers that were performing Xoserve NTP functionality at the legacy datacentres.				
Please detail any difference	es between the solution that	was implemented and what	was defined in the BER.		
None.					
Detail the revised text of the	e CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that ha	as been made		
Not applicable.		<u> </u>			
Were there any revisions to	the text of the UK Link Man	ual?			
□Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link manual below)					
⊠No					
Proposed Commencement Date	13/09/17	Actual Commencement Date	13/09/17		
Not applicable.					
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project					
	approach was adopted which systems impacted. This is a is kind.				



Service change costs				
Approved Costs (£)	£31,700	Actual Costs (£)	The costs incurred by Xoserve to deliver the project were £28,784. The charge to National Grid Transmission (including 4% margin) will be £29,935	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved			
Approved CCR version			
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting		Date of later meeting:	
The committee requires further information			
Further information required:			
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete			
Further action(s) required:			
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct			
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual required	d:	



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes	
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee	

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service),
	including:
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and (ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in
	the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.