

Updates requested to Workgroup report 0645S from South Hook Gas 05/03/18:

- Section 7, page 6 para 2. ~~This analysis essentially replicated the analysis provided for Modification 0581S. A similar approach was adopted to the heat map analysis provided for Modification 0581S, however the scenarios for South Hook differ as the FES forecast has changed over time.~~ I have discussed this clarification has been discussed with Phil Hobbins and Murugan Babumohanana at NGG
- Section 7, page 6 para 3. Include: *There has been no evidence submitted by storage operators to date, that a marginal increase in O2 concentration will have any impact on facilities in the North West, or importantly impose any costs on storage facilities.*
- Page 11, The workgroup agreed that ~~though~~ the analysis could be re-run to improve the accuracy relating to the incorrect figure used for South Hook's maximum capacity, ~~which would result in a slight improvement in the results.~~ *There* was, however, little need for this since the overall conclusion would not be changed.
- Page 12, ~~After the UNC Modification Panel decision, the NEA can be modified. Once this is in place, the risk of an oxygen breach will be reduced, and therefore reduce the likelihood of being unable to deliver gas to consumers. Cargoes with a wider specification will also be acceptable at South Hook LNG, allowing this gas to deliver into the NTS. cargoes with a greater oxygen limit can be accepted at South Hook LNG and the gas can flow into the NTS.~~