

**DSC Change Proposal**

**Change Reference Number: XRN4303 (UKLP 267)**

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Change Title** | Remove ‘n’ as an allowable value from the .SFN file in ‘Fault corrected’ field and remove as allowable value from AMT & SAP ISU. |
| **Date Raised** |  |
| **Sponsor Organisation** | Xoserve |
| **Sponsor Name** |  |
| **Sponsor Contact Details** |  |
| **Xoserve Contact Name** | Emma Smith |
| **Xoserve Contact Details** | <mailto:emma.smith@xoserve.com> |
| **Change Status** | Approved |
| **Section 1: Impacted Parties** | |
| **Customer Class(es)** | Shipper |
| **[Section 2: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change](C:\\Users\\Rebecca.perkins\\AppData\\Local\\Microsoft\\Windows\\Temporary Internet Files\\Content.Outlook\\EXD06YFG\\Change_Proposal_Template v2.0.docx" \o "WHAT – What is the required change? WHY – Why is the change needed? WHEN – Detail when the solution should be started/implemented.  )** | |
| Change Description:  The current file format allows the User to set ‘n’ when providing site visit reads via the SFN file, this indicates that, following site visit, the reads taken have not fixed the fault. The SAP functionality does not allow for these reads to be loaded and will reject the file, therefore need to remove as an allowable value in order the file format is aligned to the functionality.  **Requirements Discussion Output:**  The current allowable values for field DRE\_FAULT\_CORRECTED in O15 record type in SFN file are Y, Blanks and N. It is an optional field (hence a blank is also an allowable value).  If the provided value is N, then it indicates that following site visit, the reads taken have not fixed the fault. The SAP functionality does not allow for these reads to be loaded and will reject the file.  The change requirement is to remove N as an allowable value from the file format.  **Tier 2 IA Supporting Questions:**  1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites?  **Response:** Yes  2. How does this requirement impact Unique sites?  **Response:** No, as it is applicable to only class 3 and 4 sites  3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change?  **Response:** None  4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined?  **Response:** None  5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site?  **Response:** Applicable to only class 3 and 4 sites  6. Does this CR have any links to other known CRs?  **Response:** None  7. Does this change have any downstream impact?  **Response:** None  **Query Register Output:** None  **Tier 2 IA Assumptions:** None  **Note: - This change’s original change request number was UKLP267** | |
| **Proposed Release** | **R2 June 2018** |
| **Proposed IA Period** | **10WD / 30WD / XXWD** |
| **[Section 3: Benefits and Justification](C:\\Users\\Rebecca.perkins\\AppData\\Local\\Microsoft\\Windows\\Temporary Internet Files\\Content.Outlook\\EXD06YFG\\Change_Proposal_Template v2.0.docx" \o "Benefit Description: What, if any, are the intangible and tangible benefits of the change?Benefit Realisation:When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised? Benefit Dependencies:Detail any dependencies that are outside the scope.)** | |
| To ensure the file format and the system functionality are compatible. Should not allow for an update via a file format (that is allowable in a file format) that will reject every time as no the functionality will not allow these reads to be accepted.  There was an IA previously related to this IA235, to correct the functionality however it has been agreed that the functionality is correct and it’s the file format that needs amendment, therefore IA235 has now been closed and this IA has been raised. | |
| **Section 4: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations** | |
| **Discussed as part of backlog and recommended to proceed and deliver** | |
| **DSG Recommendation** | **Approve** / Reject / Defer |
| **DSG Recommended Release** | Nov 18 |
| **Section 5: DSC Consultation** | |
| **Issued** | Yes / No |
| **Date(s) Issued** |  |
| **Comms Ref(s)** |  |
| **Number of Responses** |  |
| **Section 6: Funding** | |
| **Funding Classes** | ☐ Shipper XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ National Grid Transmission XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ Distribution Network Operator XX% = £XXXX.XX  ☐ iGT XX% = £XXXX.XX  TOTAL = £XXXX.XX |
| **Service Line(s)** |  |
| **ROM or funding details** | Funded from UK Link deferred budget |
| **Funding Comments** |  |
| **Section 7: DSC Voting Outcome** | |
| **Solution Voting** | X Shipper **Approve** / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ National Grid Transmission Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ Distribution Network Operator Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain  ☐ iGT Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain |
| **Meeting Date** | Part of release 3 approvals in Dec-Feb 18 |
| **Release Date** | Release 3: Nov 18 |
| **Overall Outcome** | Approved for Release 3 |

**Please send the completed forms to:** [**.box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com**](mailto:.box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com)