Issues

Α	Incentivising operational behaviour	Are Over-run charges appropriate and incentivising "right" behaviour?	 Too penal, particularly with the introduction of the Charging Review. Overrun charges should encourage User's to be more accurate with their bookings 	Governance
В	Efficient use of Existing Capacity Rules	Is the process and the associated timescales for substitution appropriate? Is the application of User Commitment where there is no additional capacity release appropriate? Are retainers still required with the introduction of PARCA? Are there any issues with Trade and Transfer?	 Can the timescales for the substitution process be reduced? Can rules be made clearer, simpler? More clarity on process methodology. Affected Users able to respond to potential Substitution considered during the Annual Application Window Exchanges of NTS exit capacity between NTS exit points within same exit zone where capacity does not go above baseline Should User Commitment be applied to every enduring capacity release? 	UNC / Methodology
С	Capacity Products (including auctions)	Are new products required or redundant products?	 Development of a "mothballed" capacity product following baseline review at Theddlethorpe Development of a "tradeable" entry capacity product Within day, shorter term capacity product development Incentive for advance, long-term capacity bookings? Disaggregating NTS Exit capacity purchases for embedded "large" offtakes from DN capacity bookings. Temperature / seasonal based product 	Are the rules contained in the right place?
D	Zonal	Introduction of a zonal entry capacity regime	Could this be an alternative to substitution and transfer?	the righ
E	PARCA	Appropriateness of fees Embedding and extending the "PARCA light process" embedded with CLoCC.	Time consuming and expensive process Are the levels of User Commitment appropriate?	t place?
G	Flex	Dependent on outcome of network capability work	Is the existing flex product appropriate for Tx? Cadent RIIO BP	