Schedule 2A.x – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2A.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum requirements at levels over the Performance Period.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during the month Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	SSC Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Count of sites converted by the CDSP Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding convention	Whole numbers
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any date in the calendar month.
formula/specification to prepare the report)	Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Supply Points converted from PC2 or PC3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read submission (in accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)							
	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months
Sub-category	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	
Identifier A	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Identifier B	0	0	0	0	00	0	
etc							
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0	

Schedule 2B.x – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2B.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum
	requirements at levels requirement levels over the Performance Period, as a count of Supply Points, as a percentage of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class and as an aggregate Rolling AQ.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Short Code Product Class
heading)	Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during the month Percentage of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that have been moved each month (as a percentage of their position at the start of the performance month) Aggregate Rolling AQ of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that have been moved each month
Data inputs to the report	Industry Totals SSC Product Class Count of sites converted by the CDSP Rolling AQ of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that have been moved Total count of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class at the start of the month Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding	Whole numbers
convention	Percentage figures to 1 decimal place
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual formula/specification to prepare the report)	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any data in the calendar month. Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Shipper shortcode alphabetically

Page 3 of 10

History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

UNC Modification 0664

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Count of Supply Points converted from PC2-Class 2 or to PC3 to PC4-Class 4 by the CDSP due to low								
read submission (ir	read submission (in accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)							
	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months	
Sub-category	PC2Cou	PC3AQ	CountPC	AQPC3	CountPC	<u>AQ</u> PC3	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>
	<u>nt</u>		2		2			
Shipper A	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Shipper B	0	0	0	0	00	0		0
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0		0

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Percentage of Shipper's Supply Points in Class 2 converted to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read								
submission (in accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)								
Class 2	<u>Month x</u>	Month x Month x + Month x Month x Month x Month x + Etc for						
		<u>1</u>	<u>+ 2</u>	<u>+ 3</u>	+ 4	<u>5</u>	<u>months</u>	
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
<u>etc</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	0.0%	
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Performance								

Formatted: Font: Bold

Count of Supply Points converted from Class 3 to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read submission (in								
accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)								
Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12		
						months		
<u>Count</u>	AQ	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	<u>Count</u>	AQ	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	
<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	
<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	
<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	
	INC obligati Month x	NC obligations x.x.x) Month x	NC obligations x.x.x) Month x Month x	$\frac{\text{NC obligations x.x.x}}{\text{Month x}} \frac{\text{Month x} + 1}{\text{Month x} + 1}$	Month x Month x + 1 Month x - 1 Count AQ Count AQ Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Percentage of Shipper's Supply Points in Class 3 converted to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read							
submission (in acco	submission (in accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)						
Class 3	<u>Month x</u>	Month x +	<u>Month x</u>	<u>Month x</u>	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		<u>1</u>	<u>+ 2</u>	<u>+ 3</u>	+ 4	<u>5</u>	months
Identifier A	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
Identifier B	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
<u>etc</u>	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
Industry	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
Performance							

Formatted: Font: Bold

Schedule 2A.y – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2A.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement, plus the count (Note that the Minimum Percentage Requirement will be reviewed by PAC each year and therefore may change from time to time).
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio <u>(by count)</u> which met the Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period Industry Performance Percentage
Data inputs to the report	SSC Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which reads were accepted for the month) Minimum Percentage Requirement
Number rounding convention	To one decimal place
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change (whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
formula/specification to prepare the report)	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Monthly Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
History/background Additional comments Estimated development	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
costs Estimated ongoing costs	

I

UNC Modification 0664

I

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has							
been achieved by r	been achieved by month <u>(by count)</u>						
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Performance							

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of **[x%]** has been achieved by month <u>(by count)</u>

Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Performance							

Schedule 2B.y – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2B.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement, <u>plus the</u> <u>count and aggregate Rolling AQ of the Supply Points that have not</u> <u>achieved the Minimum Percentage Requirement</u> . (Note that the
	Minimum Percentage Requirement will be reviewed by PAC each year and therefore may change from time to time).
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met, and quantify the likely risk to Settlement of Supply Points which are falling below the standard. The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Shipper Shortcode Product Class Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio which met the Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period Industry Performance Percentage <u>Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points which did not meet the</u> <u>Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period</u>
Data inputs to the report	SSC Product Class Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which reads were accepted for the month) Rolling AQ
Number rounding convention	To-Percentages to one decimal place Whole numbers of Supply Points Aggregate Rolling AQ (kWh)
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change (whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
formula/specification to prepare the report)	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Monthly Shipper Shortcode alphabetically
History/background Additional comments Estimated development costs Estimated ongoing costs	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations

Page 8 of 10

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has been achieved by month									
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12		
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months		
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Performance									

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement has been achieved by month

,							
Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Performance							

Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of										
[x%] has not been achieved by month										
Class 2	Month x		<u>Month x + 1</u>		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months			
	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	<u>Count</u>	AQ	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u> •		
Shipper A	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>		
Shipper B	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>		
<u>etc</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>		
Industry Totals	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000		

Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of										
[x%] has not been achieved by month										
Class 3	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months			
	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	<u>Count</u>	AQ	<u>Count</u>	<u>AQ</u>	<u>Count</u>	AQ		
Shipper A	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000		
Shipper B	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000		
<u>etc</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000		
Industry Totals	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	<u>00,000</u>		

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table