Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Request and Response

1. Purpose of a ROM

The DSC CDSP Service Document – Change Management Procedure sets out the expectations of the ROM process.

4.6.2 Subject to paragraph 4.6.3, within 10 Business Days after receiving a ROM Request, the CDSP shall send to the Customer and the Committee a report (Rough Order of Magnitude Report or ROM Report) setting out (so far as the CDSP is able to assess at the time):

- (a) a high level indicative assessment of the impact of the Potential Service Change on the CDSP Service Description and on UK Link;
- (b) the CDSP's opinion as to whether the Potential Service Change would be a Restricted Class Change, would have an Adverse Impact on any Customer Class(es)) or would be a Priority Service Change, where applicable;
- (c) the CDSP's approximate estimate of:
 - (i) the Costs (or range of Costs, where options under paragraph (e) are identified) of Implementing the Potential Service Change;
 - (ii) the impact of the Potential Service Change on Service Charges; and
 - (iii) the period of time required for Implementation;
- (d) any material dependencies of Implementation on other Proposed Service Changes or other likely Priority Questions; and
- (e) if it is apparent to the CDSP that there are likely to be materially different options as to how to Implement the Potential Service Change, a high level description of such options.

2. ROM Request –2a. ROM Request Details

ROM Request Details					
Change Title	Introduction of cost efficiency and transparency requirements for the CDSP Budget, and revisions to DSC change processes				
Regulatory Impact	⊠ Yes □ No				
Regulatory Reference (if applicable)	UNC 0841				
Change Overview	 Introduce new business rules that the CDSP is obliged to follow in presenting its annual business plan / budget; Introduce an obligation on the CDSP to provide specific content in its business plan; Place additional requirements on parties acceded to the DSC "to ensure that CDSP costs are efficient". This will be "in addition to the obligation to jointly govern the CDSP on an economic and efficient basis". The mod proposes that these new requirements are fulfilled by the DSC Contract Management Committee (CoMC); Re-work the change processes so that any contract/finance related matters are handled by the DSC Contract Management Committee, and specify criteria for testing DSC change proposals to ensure they represent improvement to the baseline. 				
	The mod proposes that the above principles should be achieved via amendments to the following documents: 1. UNC GTD - Uniform Network Code – General Terms Section D 2. DSC Terms & Conditions 3. CDSP Service Doc - Credit Policy 4. CDSP Service Doc - Change Management Procedures 5. CDSP Service Doc - Contract Management Arrangements 6. CDSP Service Doc - Third Party and Additional Services Policy 7. CDSP Service Doc - Budget and Charging Methodology				
Date Raised	28/04/2020				
Required Response Date	As per the DSC, the official SLA for a ROM response is 10 working days. If there is a specific date in which the ROM response is required by (noting the 10-day SLA) please specify that here.				
Requestor Contact Details	Name:	James Rigby			
	Organisation: Xoserve				
	Email: james.rigby@xoserve.com				

	Number:	07739689512
Xoserve Lead Contact (to be provided by the	Contact Name:	James Rigby
CDSP)	Contact Email:	james.rigby@xoserve.com

3 ROM Response — To find the high-level costs and timescales please go to section 3c which can be found here.

3a. Impacted Constituency

Customer Class(es)	☐ Shipper	☐ Distribution Network Operator	
Impacted by	☐ NG Transmission	□ IGT	
Change:	⊠ AII	☐ Other <please details="" here="" provide=""></please>	
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	costs being shared by The Service Change v Confidential Information	ould impact all DSC parties with related all constituencies; would involve the CDSP disclosing on relating to such Customers to Customers Class or to Third Parties.	

3b. Overview of impacts

Requirement for additional CDSP resources The mod proposes over 100 individual changes to clauses in the Budget and Charging Methodology (BCM) which relate to: Business Plan process or content changes (74% of total BCM amendments); The Cost Allocation Model / Methodology (8%); The presentation of commercially sensitive content (12%); Changes to the current business plan governance arrangements (6%). The suggested clause updates are in some cases: **Overview of impacts** New items of information or process for inclusion in the business plan (23% of the total BCM amendments); Existing info / process that requiring amendment (66%); Existing and unchanged (but now obligated) provision of info (11%). The impact of the proposed amendments to the BCM would materialise from the additional resource it would take across a number of CDSP teams to ensure that the CDSP met its obligations.

UK Link Component Systems	Level of Impact (L/M/H)	File Format (Y/N)	Screens (Y/N)	Reporting (Y/N)	Batch Jobs (Y/N)	Validation (Y/N)	Processes (Y/N)	Other
UK Link Gemini								If 'Other' is ticked, please provide justification
UK Link System Application (e.g. SAP ISU, BW, PO)								As above
UK Link Portal								As above
UK Link Online Services								As above
Data Enquiry Services (DES) -To be removed post CSS implementation								As above
Contact Management Service (CMS)								As above
UK Link Network (Inclusive of IX, EFT and AMT)								As above

Additional Systems	Level of Impact (L/M/H)	File Format (Y/N)	Screens (Y/N)	Reporting (Y/N)	Batch Jobs (Y/N)	Validation (Y/N)	Processes (Y/N)	Other
Data Discovery Platform (DDP) Core								If 'Other' is ticked, please provide justification
Discovery API								As above
Reporting	Н	N	N	Y	N	Υ	Υ	
Gas Enquiry Service (GES) – To be included post CSS implementation								

3c. High level costs and timescales

Costs provided within the ROM response are indicative and high level based on high level analysis.

Below details the high-level implementation cost range and provides an indication of any ongoing costs identified from the high-level analysis.

Implementation costs

An enduring solution will cost at least £100k, but probably not more than £200k.

Ongoing costs

The ongoing annual cost would probably be less than the implementation costs but this is unknown at this stage given the mod allows for the CoMC to request further levels of detail in a number of related documents.

Timescales:

The high-level estimate to develop and deliver this change is approximately 12 weeks.

Validity of ROM:

Please note, the information provided in the ROM response is an 'at a point in time' assessment which is valid for 6 months.

3d. Release type

Please provide a view on the anticipated release type this change would need to be delivered under.

Release Type	⊠ Ad-hoc / Stand-alone	☐ Minor
Release Type	☐ Major	

Next available Release (based on the Release Type)		ChMC approval of Detailed Design
Ad-hoc – TBC	TBC	TBC

3e. Impact on Service Line(s)

	• *
Impact on Service Line(s)	Xoserve to provide a view on potential impact to Service Line(s)

3f. Assumptions

- Any changes in the approach to the solution may affect the overall schedule and costs for the change.
- Costs are high level, based on high level analysis. Detailed analysis will be needed to determine the final solution which will impact both cost and schedule.
- Any costs associated to Market Trials are not included.
- The high-level analysis is based on changes to central processes and does not account for changes to customer processes as a result of any potential work.
- The high-level analysis and costs are based on current production system

8. Version Control

Version	Date:	Author	Status
1.0	15/05/2023	James Rigby	Final version