## Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Request and Response

## 1. Purpose of a ROM

The DSC CDSP Service Document – Change Management Procedure sets out the expectations of the ROM process.

4.6.2 Subject to paragraph 4.6.3, within 10 Business Days after receiving a ROM Request, the CDSP shall send to the Customer and the Committee a report (Rough Order of Magnitude Report or ROM Report) setting out (so far as the CDSP is able to assess at the time):

- (a) a high level indicative assessment of the impact of the Potential Service Change on the CDSP Service Description and on UK Link;
- (b) the CDSP's opinion as to whether the Potential Service Change would be a Restricted Class Change, would have an Adverse Impact on any Customer Class(es)) or would be a Priority Service Change, where applicable;
- (c) the CDSP's approximate estimate of:
  - (i) the Costs (or range of Costs, where options under paragraph (e) are identified) of Implementing the Potential Service Change;
  - (ii) the impact of the Potential Service Change on Service Charges; and
  - (iii) the period of time required for Implementation;
- (d) any material dependencies of Implementation on other Proposed Service Changes or other likely Priority Questions; and
- (e) if it is apparent to the CDSP that there are likely to be materially different options as to how to Implement the Potential Service Change, a high level description of such options.

## 2. ROM Request -

Please populate the details below and send to <a href="mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com">box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</a>, to enable the CDSP to undertake the impact assessment to provide the ROM Response (section below). Please note, the ROM requestor may be asked for further details if it is believed that request is not clear and additional information is required in order to provide a ROM Response.

## 2a. ROM Request Details

ROM Request Details				
Change Title	Introduction of cost efficiency and transparency requirements for the CDSP Budget			
Regulatory Impact	⊠ Yes			
	□ No			
Regulatory Reference	UNC Modification 0841			
(if applicable)	UNC Modification 0841A			
Change Overview	Modification 0841 and 0841A background:			
	Both Modifications have been raised to improve the ability of UNC Parties to fulfil their obligation jointly to control and govern the CDSP on an economic and efficient basis (under UNC General Terms, Section D, 1.4.4), through the introduction of explicit requirements for economic and efficient.			
	At a high-level, both Modifications:			
	<ul> <li>Introduce new business rules that the CDSP is obliged to follow in presenting its annual business plan / budget.</li> <li>Introduce an obligation on the CDSP to provide specific content in its business plan</li> <li>Introduce a requirement for the CDSP to produce a report that indicates where it has and has not satisfied the information rules</li> <li>Introduce explicit assurance activities:         <ul> <li>Under Modification 0841, this is mandated as a third-party assurance activity which must be completed every year to demonstrate the CDSP Budget is meeting the business rule requirements.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Under Modification 0841A, assurance activities are at the request of the DSC Contract Management Committee (CoMC), rather than being mandated each year. These assurance activities can be conducted by the DSC Contract Assurance Audit Plan, the DSC CoMC or an independent third-party.</li> </ul>			
	The Modification proposes that the above principles should be achieved via amendments to the following documents:  1. UNC GTD - Uniform Network Code – General Terms Section D			

	2. DSC Terms & Conditions			
	3. CDSP Service Doc - Change Management Procedures			
	4. CDSP Service Doc - Contract Management Arrangements			
	5. CDSP Service Doc - Budge	et and Charging Methodology		
	S. OBS. Service Box Budget and Charging Methodology			
Date Raised	28/04/2023			
	Revised ROM requested at the Au	aust WG meetina		
	Nevised Northequested at the Mag	gust WG Meeting.		
	12/05/2022			
Required Response Date	12/05/2023			
	Revised ROM response provided p	ost September WG meeting.		
	27/10/2023			
	Revised ROM response provided post October WG meeting.			
Requestor Contact	Name:	James Rigby		
Details	Organisation:	Xoserve		
	Email:	james.rigby@xoserve.com		
		James.ngby@xoserve.com		
	Number:	07739689512		
Xoserve Lead Contact	Contact Name:	James Rigby		
(to be provided by the	Junes rugsy			
	Contact Email:	iamos righy@yasarya sam		
CDSP)	Contact Email:	james.rigby@xoserve.com		

# **3** ROM Response — To find the high-level costs and timescales please go to section 3c which can be found <a href="here">here</a>.

## 3a. Impacted Constituency

	☐ Shipper	☐ Distribution Network Operator	
Customer Class(es) Impacted by Change:	☐ NG Transmission	□IGT	
	⊠ All	☐ Other <please details="" here="" provide=""></please>	
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	costs being shared by  The Service Change of Confidential Information	vould impact all DSC parties with related y all constituencies would involve the CDSP disclosing ion relating to such Customers to Customers Class or to Third Parties;	

## 3b. Overview of impacts

Depending on which Modification is implemented and the chosen assurance option, there are varying indicative cost ranges for the assurance activities. A high-level summary of these assurance activities are detailed below:

#### Modification 0841

- This Modification requires assurance activities of the CDSP Budget to be conducted by 'a sufficiently independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party'.
- Based on this, the 3<sup>rd</sup> Party would need to be contracted via a robust procurement exercise and then managed / assured as BAU.
- An indicative cost range for 3<sup>rd</sup> Party audit of the CDSP Budget / business plan has been provided. This is based on including the following activities:
  - assessment of process and controls in place,
  - an integrity review of models used for the budget setting process.

#### Overview of impacts

#### Modification 0841A

- This Modification requires assurance activities of the CDSP Budget, however it allows for multiple options in terms of these assurance activities being conducted.
- At the request of DSC CoMC, the CDSP shall include details of assurance activities carried out by one of the following:
  - the DSC Internal Audit Process (CDSP Contract Assurance Audit Plan) or,
  - the DSC CoMC;
  - or a sufficiently independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party.
- o Indicative cost ranges have been provided for these options. This could differ dependent on the option as it could be covered under the existing Contract Assurance Audit Plan either by internal resource or by the independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party assurer who CDSP has a co-source arrangement with, for the purpose of providing independent assurance of the process being audited, as approved by ARC.

UK Link	Level of	File	Screens	Reporting	Batch	Validation	Processes	Other
Component	Impact	Format	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	Jobs	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	
Systems	(L/M/H)	(Y/N)			(Y/N)			
UK Link Gemini					N/A			
UK Link System					N/A			
Application (e.g.								
SAP ISU, BW, PO)								
UK Link Portal		N/A						
UK Link Online					N/A			
Services								
Contact					N/A			
Management								
Service (CMS)								
UK Link Network					N/A			
(Inclusive of IX,								
EFT and AMT)								

Additional Systems	Level of Impact (L/M/H)	File Format (Y/N)	Screens (Y/N)	Reporting (Y/N)	Batch Jobs (Y/N)	Validation (Y/N)	Processes (Y/N)	Other
Data Discovery				-	N/A	-	-	
Platform (DDP)								
Core								
Discovery API	N/A							
Reporting	Н	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N/A
Gas Enquiry		•			N/A			
Service (GES)								

## 3c. High level costs and timescales

Costs provided within the ROM response are indicative and high level based on high level analysis.

Below details the high-level implementation cost range and provides an indication of any ongoing costs identified from the high-level analysis.

#### **Implementation costs**

We've provided an indicative cost range for the assurance activity options which are detailed within the table below.

Please note, these cost ranges in the table are not intended to create the total estimated costs but the options available for the assurance activity.

Assurance Activity Options	Indicative Cost Range	Mod 0841	Mod 0841A
Assurance Audit conducted by 3rd Party (not under existing arrangement) (every year) or;	£45,000 - £70,000	<b>√</b>	√
Assurance conducted under the existing CDSP Contract Assurance Audit Plan by 3rd Party under the co-source arrangement (every 3 years) or;	£0	×	√
Assurance conducted under the existing CDSP Contract Assurance Audit Plan by existing CDSP resource (every 1 to 3 years depending on the outcome of the audit) or;	£0	×	√
Assurance conducted by the DSC CoMC	£0	×	<b>√</b>

For the Assurance Audit conducted by a 3rd Party (not under existing arrangement), the cost range includes both or a combination of the following activities:

- Assessment of the process and controls in place
- Integrity review of the models used in the budget setting process

Please note, the cost range for the Assurance Audit conducted by a 3rd Party is an indicative estimate and the actual cost will be determined following the procurement exercise which will be completed once the Modification is approved.

#### **Modification 0841 Indicative Costs**

For Modification 0841, there is one option available in terms of the assurance activity. This is to have an Assurance Audit conducted by a  $3^{rd}$  Party (which is not under an existing arrangement). This is to ensure compliance with the 0841 Business Plan Information Rules (BPIR) which requires assurance activities to be conducted by a sufficiently independent  $3^{rd}$  Party.

Based on this, the enduring solution for 0841 is estimated to cost between £45,000 - £70,000.

#### **Modification 0841A Indicative Costs**

For Modification 0841A, there are multiple options available in terms of the assurance activity. The assurance activity chosen will be determined by the DSC CoMC.

Based on this, the enduring solution for 0841A is estimated to cost between £0 - £70,000 dependent on the option chosen by DSC CoMC.

Please note, if the frequency of the audit as part of the co-source arrangement, is proposed to be more than every 3 years, there is expected to be an additional cost to DSC Customers.

## Ongoing costs

The estimated implementation costs would be ongoing.

#### Timescales:

The high-level estimate to develop and deliver this change is at least 16 weeks.

#### Validity of ROM:

Please note, the information provided in the ROM response is an 'at a point in time' assessment which is valid for 6 months.

## 3d. Release type

Please provide a view on the anticipated release type this change would need to be delivered under.

Release Type	⊠ Ad-hoc / Stand-alone	☐ Minor
Release Type	□ Major	

Next available Release (based on the Release Type)	ChMC approval to Release scope	ChMC approval of Detailed Design
N/A – ad hoc / standalone expected	TBC	TBC

## 3e. Impact on Service Line(s)

Impact on Service	Based on an initial view, we do not expect the implementation of this change will require a change to the DSC Service Description Table as we believe it is covered under existing Service Lines.
Line(s)	This will be clarified and confirmed ahead of implementation.
	In terms of funding, the change is expected to funded by all DSC Constituencies with the exact split to be determined and agreed.

## 3f. Assumptions

- Any changes in the approach to the solution may affect the overall schedule and costs for the change.
- Costs are high level, based on high level analysis. Detailed analysis will be needed to determine the final solution which will impact both cost and schedule.
- Any costs associated to Market Trials are not included.
- The high-level analysis is based on changes to central processes and does not account for changes to customer processes as a result of any potential work.
- The high-level analysis and costs are based on current production system

- It is assumed to meet the requirement of 0841 for the assurance activities to be conducted by 'a sufficiently independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party', they must be outside of existing assurance arrangements
- For the Assurance Audit conducted by a 3<sup>rd</sup> Party, a procurement exercise will be required to put this in place following a decision on the Modification