



respect > commitment > teamwork

SCHEDULE 2 – PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE REPORTS

Version 1.0

Rachel Hinsley

Xoserve have conducted an internal review to assess the feasibility of delivering the Schedule 2 reports, as outlined in the 'Performance Assurance Report Register Document – UNC Modification 0520A Reports'.

The design team has provided feedback with the elements of the report which they are unable to build or create complexity. The recommendations and issues are outlined below for which PAC will need to agree. A modification will need to be raised to amend the Report Register.

2A.1 – Estimated & Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Product Classes 1 & 2

Report Purpose: Daily read estimates for product 1 and 2 are generated to repeat the consumption from a week ago (7 days previously) and where there is no consumption history an estimate of AQ/365 will be used. The use of estimated reads will only materially affect settlement if there is no replacement read within gas flow day+5. The report assesses the impact of estimated reads being used for daily-metered sites at initial allocation and evaluates where check reads are not completed.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report included:

- Estimate
- Read Count divided by Total Read count per shipper
- Product Class
- Date
- Percentage of Check Reads outstanding by Product Class

During the month, there may be Class changes or change of Shipper to the Supply Point. As a Class or Shipper change during the month creates a level of complexity the design team have requested for any Supply Points which change Class or Shipper during the month to be excluded from the report.

Recommendation – to exclude Class change and Shipper change from the report.

2A.3 – No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve Report Purpose: To extend the view of report PARR 2.2 where no meter asset is attached but Xoserve are receiving data flows implying that a meter is present.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report to be MPRNs where data flows received, but no meter attached to the supply point.

Clarification – Attempted Meter installations, submission of meter reads, any meter updates, or inspection visits and Connections & Disconnection transactions will be included.

2A.5 - Read Performance

Report Purpose: To compare shipper reading submission performance to requirements set out in the UNC for all Classes, estimated reads are excluded for the purpose of this report i.e. an estimated reading will not count towards a positive performance.

Mod 520A Performance Assurance Report Register requests the data inputs into the report to be:

- SSC
- Meter read frequency
- Latest meter reading date
- Product Class

Recommendation – the report can reflect how many reads have been accepted across all Classes. However it is recommended that any supply points which change class during a month, any Shipper transfer scenarios and Faulty Meters are excluded from the report. Should these be included it creates the potential to adversely affect the performance results.

EUC Bands

Throughout the Report Register multiple reports are asked to be broken down by EUC bands. To break down reports by EUC bands requires a lot of complexity and makes the reports difficult to produce.

The proposal is to report based on AQ bands as opposed to EUC bands. The bands would be as follows:

| AQ Band | Commentary |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 0 - 73,200 | Equivalent to EUC01 |
| 73,201 – 293,000 | Equivalent to EUC02 |
| 293,001 – 732,000 | Equivalent to EUC03 |
| 732,001 – 2,196,000 | Equivalent to EUC04 |
| 2,196,001 - 5,860,000 | Equivalent to EUC05 |
| 5,860,001 – 14,650,000 | Equivalent to EUC06 |
| 14,650,001 – 29,300,000 | Equivalent to EUC07 |
| 29,300,001 - 58,600,000 | Equivalent to EUC08 |
| 58,000,001 and above | Equivalent to EUC09 |

Recommendation – By including EUC bands, the report performance will be reduced due to the enhanced size of the reports therefore the recommendation is that the AQ bands should be used instead. The committee are asked to consider whether AQ bands are reasonable to use within the report.