Representation - Draft Modification Report UNC 0619 0619A 0619B Application of proportionate ratchet charges to daily read sites

11C3D0113C3 111VICG DV. ODIII OII OI IVIGIOII EUIO	Responses	invited by	v: 5pm	on 01	March	2018
--	-----------	------------	--------	-------	-------	------

To: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk

Representative:	Linda Burgess	
Organisation:	Saint-Gobain UK	
Date of Representation:	26 February 2018	
Support or oppose implementation?	0619 – Support 0619A – Oppose 0619B - Qualified Support	
Alternate preference:	If either 0619 or 0619A or 0619B were to be implemented, which would be your preference? 0619	
Relevant Objective:	a) 0619A Positiveb) 0619A Negativec) 619B Positive	

Reason for support/opposition: Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s)

We are an organisation with a large number of high consuming gas sites, some of which do exceed their SOQ on occasion. In some instances this is extremely hard to predict, even harder to prevent and always very expensive. Some of the sites also have their SOQ capped so they could ratchet on several occasions without the level being raised automatically which is also unfair.

Self-Governance Statement: Please provide your views on the self-governance statement.

Not Applicable

Implementation: What lead-time do you wish to see prior to implementation and why?

As soon as reasonably practicable

Impacts and Costs: What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face?

Not Applicable

Legal Text: Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the Solution?

We have not reviewed the legal text

Modification Panel Members have requested that the following questions are addressed:

Q1: Please provide clear views and supporting evidence on the self-governance status of this modification focusing, in particular, on whether this proposal is likely to have a material impact upon competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas.

No comment

Q2: Respondents to provide a view as to whether or not this modification should be [re]designated as self-governance.

No Comment

Q3: Please provide your views on the self-governance status.

No comment

Are there any errors or omissions in this Modification Report that you think should be taken into account? Include details of any impacts/costs to your organisation that are directly related to this.

No comment

Please provide below any additional analysis or information to support your representation

No comment