

UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0XXX:</h1> <h2>Adding AQ reporting to the PARR reporting suite</h2>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> <span style="background-color: green; color: white; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px 5px;">01</span> Modification         </div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> <span style="background-color: lightblue; color: blue; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px 5px;">02</span> Workgroup Report         </div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> <span style="background-color: lightpurple; color: purple; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px 5px;">03</span> Draft Modification Report         </div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;"> <span style="background-color: lightorange; color: orange; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px 5px;">04</span> Final Modification Report         </div> </div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>This modification adds AQ reporting to the Performance Assurance suite of reports that was introduced through Mod 520A.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>assessed by a Workgroup</li> </ul> <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on <b>dd mmm yyyy</b> (<i>Code Administrator to provide date</i>). The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>High Impact: None</p>
	<p>Medium Impact: Shippers</p>
	<p>Low Impact: Transporters</p>

Contents			
1	Summary	3	 Any questions?
2	Governance	3	Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
3	Why Change?	3	
4	Code Specific Matters	4	<a href="mailto:enquiries@gasgovance.co.uk">enquiries@gasgovance.co.uk</a>
5	Solution	4	 0121 288 2107
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4	Proposer: John Welch
7	Relevant Objectives	5	
8	Implementation	6	<a href="mailto:john.welch@npower.com">john.welch@npower.com</a>
9	Legal Text	6	 07557 170816
10	Recommendations	6	Transporter: Insert name
Timetable			
<b>The Proposer recommends the following timetable:</b> <i>(amend as appropriate)</i>		 email address	
Initial consideration by Workgroup	dd month year	 telephone	
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	dd month year	Systems Provider: Xoserve	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	dd month year		
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	dd month year	<a href="mailto:UKLink@xoserve.com">UKLink@xoserve.com</a>	
Consultation Close-out for representations	dd month year	Other: James Rigby	
Variation Request presented to Panel	dd month year		
Final Modification Report available for Panel	dd month year	<a href="mailto:james.rigby@npower.com">james.rigby@npower.com</a>	
Modification Panel decision	dd month year	 telephone 07557 198020	

## 1 Summary

### What

Modification 520A introduced named reporting for the Performance Assurance Committee on a number of measures, the post Nexus content of which is due to be delivered imminently. Modification 520A did not introduce any reporting that detailed the movement of AQs on a monthly basis by shipper. This modification looks to address that omission by adding named AQ reporting to the PARR (Performance Assurance Report Register) suite of reports.

### Why

Given the industry wide issues experienced in relation to unidentified gas, and the potential importance of rolling AQ movements to unidentified gas levels at the allocation stage of energy balancing, it is important that the PAC are able to review AQ movements to be able to focus activity on this area as and when required.

### How

This modification would introduce a report / reports based on the pre-Nexus mod 81 style reports, with permissions for these to be seen by the PAC as a set of named, non-anonymous reports. They would also mirror the reports introduced through change order proposal xxxx to be sent to the industry on an anonymous basis.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for [Fast Track] Self-Governance, Authority Direction or Urgency

As these reports could have indirect impact on competition, it is proposed that this modification is sent for Authority Direction.

### Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be assessed by a Workgroup

## 3 Why Change?

The current suite of Performance Assurance Reports (due to be delivered in the near future) does not contain a set of AQ movement reports. This is an important omission which needs to be rectified to allow the PAC to gain additional understanding of industry behaviours in the post Nexus regime.

This modification allows a set of AQ reports, named by shipper, to be added to the PAAR and therefore delivered to the PAC on a monthly basis.

## 4 Code Specific Matters

### Reference Documents

The Performance Assurance Report Register details the named reports to be sent to PAC on a monthly basis.

[https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0\\_0.pdf](https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0_0.pdf)

## 5 Solution

It is proposed that the PARR is updated to add the AQ reports.

UNC Section V notes in 16.1.2 that “Any amendment to the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be made in accordance with the Modification Rules and for such purposes the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be deemed to be a part of the Code.”

Reports to be based on the specifications below.

By Class and by MRF

Rolling AQ

	Percentage Portfolio Calculated in month							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Percentage Increased in month							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Percentage Decreased in month							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Number of MPRNs with AQ calculation 1 month, 4 months, 12 months, 12 months plus							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Failure to calculate by rejection codes							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

AQ Correction

	Percentage accepted							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Percentage rejected							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

	Rejection Code 1-5							
	EUC 1	EUC 2	EUC 3	EUC 4	EUC 5	EUC 6	EUC 7	EUC 8
Shipper A								
Shipper B								
Shipper C								

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

**Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?**

None identified.

### Consumer Impacts

None identified.

### Cross Code Impacts

IGT mod potentially required.

## EU Code Impacts

None identified.

## Central Systems Impacts

There should be a limited impact as based on reports already being created.

## 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

## 8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed, but as these reports are a named version of the reports being created through DSC change XRN4525 Transparency of the AQ Process, it is proposed that implementation would mirror that change.

## 9 Legal Text

### Text Commentary

This modification should only require an update to the PARR document.

### Text

Existing section V 16.1.2 text should already allow amendment to the PARR by modification:

“Any amendment to the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be made in accordance with the Modification Rules and for such purposes the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be deemed to be a part of the Code.”

## 10 Recommendations

### Proposer’s Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.